F-maximization and the 3d Ftheorem

Daniel L. Jafferis

Harvard University

D.J. (1012.3210)

Exact Methods in Gauge/String Theories

Princeton

Nov. 12, 2011

D.J., Klebanov, Pufu, Safdi (1103.1181)

D.J., Yin (1103.5700)

See also: Hama Hosomichi Lee, Martelli Sparks, Cheon Kim Kim Motivation: c-theorems and R-symmetries

Supersymmetry on the round sphere

Non-renormalization of Z: localization

The exact superconformal R-charge

Examples and applications

c-theorems in various dimensions

- A measure of the number of degrees of freedom in interacting field theories. It should decrease along rg flow.
- Most obvious conjecture is the thermal free energy. Not constant along conformal manifolds. Also, in 3d, the critical O(N) model is a counter-example.

c-theorems in various dimensions

In 2d, the coefficient of the trace anomaly famously has this property. RG flow is the gradient flow for this quantity.

Zamolodchikov

- In 4d, $16\pi^2 \langle T^{\mu}{}_{\mu} \rangle = c(\text{Weyl})^2 2a(\text{Euler})$, and it is conjectured that *a* plays this role.
- In odd dimensions, there are no anomalies, so this has long been an open problem.

Superconformal R-charge

Theories with 4 supercharges admit a U(1) R-symmetry, under which the susies are charged. R+F is again an Rsymmetry for any flavor generator, F.

$$R = R_0 + \sum_{j=1}^f a_j F_j$$

- SCFTs must be R-symmetric, as the (now unique) Rcharge appears in anti-commutators. The dimensions of chiral primaries are given by their R-charge.
- The superconformal R in the IR typically differs from that in the UV SCFT by mixing with abelian flavor symmetries.

4d a-maximization

Solved using 't Hooft anomaly matching

The trace anomaly, $a = \frac{3}{32}(3\text{Tr}R^3 - \text{Tr}R)$, in terms of the exact superconformal R.

Anselmi Freedman Grisaru Johansen

It was shown that *a* is maximized as a function of a trial R-charge. Intriligator Wecht

Gives evidence for the *a*-theorem.

Other proposals in 3d

The two point function of an R-current is maximized for the superconformal one, since R-currents and flavor currents sit in different multiplets. However, it is quantum corrected and seems not to be exactly calculable in 3d.

Barnes Gorbatov Intriligator Wright

Myers and Sinha proposed an entanglement entropy, which reproduces *a* in 4d. It was later shown to be equivalent to the sphere partition function in 3d.

Casini Huerta Myers

Partition functions on S³

- Calculated by Kapustin Willett Yaakov using localization when there are no anomalous dimensions.
- This partition function of the Euclidean theory is given in classical supergravity by minus the Euclidean Einstein action of the AdS.

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} (R - 2\Lambda) + S_{surf} + S_{ct} = \frac{\pi}{2G_N}$$

[Henningsson Skenderis; Emparan Johnson Myers]

Matrix integral for the N=6 theory solved by Drukker
 Marino Putrov, reproducing N^{3/2} behavior.

Is the S³ partition function well-defined?

In general, a calculation in an effective theory with a lower cutoff Λ' < Λ differs by a local effective action for the background fields.

$$\int \sqrt{g}, \quad \int \sqrt{g} \mathcal{R}$$

In even dimensions, have the Euler density, which integrates to a number.

$$\mathcal{E}_4 = \frac{1}{4} R_{ijkl} R_{abcd} \epsilon^{ijab} \epsilon^{klcd}$$

$$Z_{\Lambda} = Z_{\Lambda'} e^{\operatorname{const} E} = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda'}\right)^a$$

- In odd dimensions, all such terms depend on the radius of the sphere – they correspond to power law divergences.
- Therefore, the odd-dimensional sphere partition function is a well-defined number for conformal field theories.
- Gravitational Chern-Simons term integrates to a number, but it only affects the phase, by reflection positivity. $\frac{i}{4\pi}\int Tr \left(\omega \wedge d\omega + \frac{2}{3}\omega \wedge \omega \wedge \omega\right)$

Z-minimization

- Return to Cardy's original motivation consider the theory on S³. Finite after power law divergences are removed.
- Susy preserving curvature couplings parameterized by an *R*-charge.
- Can be calculated exactly using supersymmetry (localization) as a function of R.
- Minimized by the IR R-charge, that uniquely corresponds to conformal coupling to curvature.

N=2 Chern-Simons-matter theory

Consists of a vector multiplet in the adjoint of the gauge group, and chiral multiplets in representations R_i

$$S_{CS}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A^3 - \bar{\chi}\chi + 2D\sigma\right)$$

- The kinetic term for the chiral multiplets includes couplings $-\bar{\phi}_i \sigma^2 \phi_i \bar{\psi}_i \sigma \psi_i$
- There is the usual D term $\bar{\phi}_i D \phi_i$

Integrate out D, σ , and x

$$S^{\mathcal{N}=2} = \int \frac{k}{4\pi} (A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A^3) + D_{\mu}\bar{\phi}_i D^{\mu}\phi_i + i\bar{\psi}_i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi_i$$
$$-\frac{16\pi^2}{k^2} (\bar{\phi}_i T^a_{R_i}\phi_i)(\bar{\phi}_j T^b_{R_j}\phi_j)(\bar{\phi}_k T^a_{R_k}T^b_{R_k}\phi_k) - \frac{4\pi}{k} (\bar{\phi}_i T^a_{R_i}\phi_i)(\bar{\psi}_j T^a_{R_j}\psi_j)$$
$$-\frac{8\pi}{k} (\bar{\psi}_i T^a_{R_i}\phi_i)(\bar{\phi}_j T^a_{R_j}\psi_j).$$

Note that this action has classically marginal couplings. It is has been argued that it does not renormalize, up to shift of k, and so is a CFT.

The recipe

 $Z = \int \prod_{\text{Cartan}} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \exp\left[\frac{i}{4\pi} \text{tr}_k \sigma^2\right] \operatorname{Det}_{\text{Ad}}\left(\sinh\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \\ \times \prod_{\substack{\text{chirals}\\\text{in rep } R_i}} \operatorname{Det}_{R_i}\left(e^{\ell\left(1-\Delta_i+i\frac{\sigma}{2\pi}\right)}\right)$

$$\ell(z) = -z \log \left(1 - e^{2\pi i z}\right) + \frac{i}{2} \left(\pi z^2 + \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Li}_2(e^{2\pi i z})\right) - \frac{i\pi}{12}$$

 $\partial_z \ell(z) = -\pi z \cot(\pi z)$

Superconformal symmetries on S³

- The conformal group in 3d is USp(4) = SO(3,2).
- In Euclidean signature, one has the real form USp(2,2)
 = SO(4,1).
- On S³, the USp(2) × USp(2) = SO(4) subgroup acts as rotations of the sphere.
- The N = 2 superconformal group is OSp(2|4).
- The R-symmetry is SO(2) = U(1).

Supersymmetry on the sphere

- The sphere possesses homogeneous Killing spinors, $\nabla_{\mu} \epsilon = \pm \frac{i}{2} \gamma_{\mu} \epsilon$, so one expects that supersymmetry is preserved. The associated generators square to isometries.
- It corresponds to keeping Q and S while throwing away \overline{Q} and \overline{S} of the superconformal algebra.

Closely related to the 4d superconformal index on $S^3 \times R$.

$OSp(2|2) \times SU(2)$

The OSp(2|2) subgroup of OSp(2|2,2) does *not* contain any conformal transformations. The bosonic generators are the R-symmetry and SU(2)_L isometries.

$$\{Q_A^i, Q_B^j\} = \delta^{ij} J_{AB} + i\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{ij}R$$

Parity exchanges the two SU(2)s and is broken by this choice.

$$\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_1^1 + iQ_1^2), \ \tilde{\delta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_2^1 - iQ_2^2)$$

$$\begin{split} \delta \phi &= 0\\ \delta \bar{\phi} &= \bar{\psi} \varepsilon\\ \delta \psi &= (-i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\phi - i\sigma\phi + \frac{\Delta}{r}\phi)\varepsilon\\ \delta \bar{\psi} &= \varepsilon \bar{F}\\ \delta F &= \varepsilon (-i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi + i\sigma\psi + \frac{1}{r}(\frac{1}{2} - \Delta)\psi + i\lambda\phi)\\ \delta \bar{F} &= 0, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta A_{\mu} &= -\frac{i}{2} \lambda^{\dagger} \gamma_{\mu} \varepsilon \\ \delta \sigma &= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda} \varepsilon \\ \delta \lambda &= \left(-\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - D + i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \sigma - \frac{1}{r} \sigma \right) \varepsilon \\ \delta \bar{\lambda} &= 0 \\ \delta D &= \left(-\frac{i}{2} (D_{\mu} \bar{\lambda}) \gamma^{\mu} + \frac{1}{4r} \bar{\lambda} \right) \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

There are the unique modifications of the flat space transformations that satisfy the algebra.

Or by coupling to gravity, putting the theory on the sphere, and taking M_{Pl} to infinity. Certain background fields must be turned on to preserve supersymmetry. The fully nonlinear theory involves corrections that terminate at order $1/r^2$, together with covariantized derivatives.

[Festuccia Seiberg]

Curvature couplings

- To put a non-conformal theory on the sphere, one needs to specify how to couple it to curvature.
- If the theory were conformal, those couplings could be uniquely determined by requiring Weyl invariance.
- OSp(2|2) invariance also determines the couplings uniquely, for *any* R-charge.

[D. Sen; Romelsberger]

$$S = \int \sqrt{g} \Big(D_{\mu} \phi^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \phi + i \psi^{\dagger} D \psi + F^{\dagger} F + \phi^{\dagger} \sigma^{2} \phi + i \phi^{\dagger} D \phi - i \psi^{\dagger} \sigma \psi + i \phi^{\dagger} \lambda^{\dagger} \psi - i \psi^{\dagger} \lambda \phi$$

$$+\frac{\Delta-\frac{1}{2}}{r}\psi^{\dagger}\psi+\frac{2i}{r}(\Delta-\frac{1}{2})\phi^{\dagger}\sigma\phi+\frac{\Delta(2-\Delta)}{r^{2}}\phi^{\dagger}\phi\Big).$$

From UV to IR

- Supersymmetric localization implies that the partition function is independent of the radius of the sphere, even in the non-conformal case.
- Given the R-charge that sits in the susy algebra, one may do the calculation on a small sphere, using the UV theory, and obtain the IR result for a large sphere.
- The difference between UV and IR theories is Q-exact, if both are coupled to curvature using the same Rmultiplet.

Localizing the path integral

In Euclidean path integrals, the meaning of supersymmetry is that the expectation values of Q(..) vanish.
Witten: Duistermaat Heckman; Pestun;

[Witten; Duistermaat Heckman; Pestun Kapustin Willett Yaakov]

This can sometimes be used to show that the full partition function localizes to an integral over Q-fixed configurations. There is a 1-loop determinant from integrating out the other modes.

 $S_{loc} = \{Q, V\}, \quad [Q^2, V] = 0$ $Z(t) = \int \prod d\Phi \ e^{-S - tS_{loc}}$

$$\frac{d}{dt}Z = -\int \prod d\Phi e^{-S - tS_{loc}} \{Q, V\} = 0$$

Gauge sector

The unique supersymmetrization of the Yang-Mills action on the sphere is

 $\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \int \sqrt{g} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + D_{\mu}\sigma D^{\mu}\sigma + D^2\right) \\ +i\lambda^{\dagger}\nabla\lambda + i[\lambda^{\dagger},\sigma]\lambda + \frac{2}{r}D\sigma - \frac{1}{2r}\lambda^{\dagger}\lambda + \frac{1}{r^2}\sigma^2\right)$

- It is Q-exact. There is a massless field, $\sigma = -Dr$, whose zero mode survives the localization.
- The Chern-Simons action is non-zero on space of supersymmetric configurations:

$$\frac{ik}{4\pi}\int_{S^3} 2(D\sigma) = i\pi k r^2(\sigma^2)$$

Matter sector

• A chiral multiplet has a one parameter family of supersymmetry preserving actions on the sphere.

$$\begin{split} S &= \int \sqrt{g} \Big(D_{\mu} \phi^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \phi + i \psi^{\dagger} D \psi + F^{\dagger} F + \phi^{\dagger} \sigma^{2} \phi + i \phi^{\dagger} D \phi - i \psi^{\dagger} \sigma \psi + i \phi^{\dagger} \lambda^{\dagger} \psi - i \psi^{\dagger} \lambda \phi \\ &+ \frac{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}}{r} \psi^{\dagger} \psi + \frac{2i}{r} (\Delta - \frac{1}{2}) \phi^{\dagger} \sigma \phi + \frac{\Delta (2 - \Delta)}{r^{2}} \phi^{\dagger} \phi \Big). \end{split}$$

- Superpotential terms may be supersymmetrized if they do not break the R-symmetry.
- These actions are all Q-exact.

Computing the determinants

On a tiny sphere, the theory is gaussian, except for the zero mode scalar in the vector multiplets.

One expands the fields in angular momentum modes to determine the 1-loop determinant.

• For the vector multiplets, the result is

 $\prod_{\text{roots}\alpha} \frac{\sinh(\alpha(\sigma/2))}{\alpha(\sigma)}$

[Kapustin Willett Yaakov]

1-loop matter determinant

$$Z_{1-loop} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+1+ir\sigma - \Delta}{n-1-ir\sigma + \Delta} \right)^{r}$$

Define $z = 1 - \Delta + ir\sigma$, and let $\ell(z) = \log Z_{1-loop}$

$$\ell(z) = -z \log \left(1 - e^{2\pi i z}\right) + \frac{i}{2} \left(\pi z^2 + \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Li}_2(e^{2\pi i z})\right) - \frac{i\pi}{12}$$

$$\partial_z \ell(z) = -\pi z \cot(\pi z)$$

The matrix integral

 $Z = \int \prod_{\text{Cartan}} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \exp\left[\frac{i}{4\pi} \text{tr}_k \sigma^2\right] \text{Det}_{\text{Ad}} \left(\sinh\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \times \prod_{\text{abinds}} \text{Det}_{\mathcal{D}} \left(e^{\frac{i}{2}}\right)$

 $\times \prod_{\substack{\text{chirals}\\\text{in rep } R_i}} \operatorname{Det}_{R_i} \left(e^{\ell \left(1 - \Delta_i + i \frac{\sigma}{2\pi} \right)} \right)$

Real masses

These are background values of the real scalar in a background vector multiplet coupled to an abelian flavor symmetry.

 $\int d^4\theta \bar{Q} e^{m\theta\bar{\theta}}Q$

• On the sphere, one needs to set $D = -\frac{\sigma}{r}$ to preserve supersymmetry.

 $\{\delta, \tilde{\delta}\} = J + \frac{1}{r}(R_{UV} + aF) - imF$

A holomorphy

 One can check that the actions depend holomorphically on the parameters

$$z_j = a_j - irm_j$$

Thus so does the partition function. This allows one to relate the less familiar dependence on curvature couplings to a familiar dependence on real mass deformations.

1-point functions

Unbroken conformal invariance implies that all 1points vanish, except for the identity operator.

• One would expect that $\frac{1}{Z}\partial_m Z = 0$, when evaluated at m=0 and the superconformal value of R.

- However, there is a subtlety there may be nontrivial actions for the background fields.
- Partition function is complex due to framing of Chern-Simons theory (susy preserving UV regulator violates reflection positivity).

Parity

- Recall that parity switches the two SU(2) isometries of S³. Thus parity together with OSp(2|2) generates the entire superconformal group.
- The real mass is parity odd. Therefore in a parity preserving theory, its VEV must vanish.
- In a parity violating CFT, only the parity even identity operator has a VEV. Thus $Im(\frac{1}{Z}\partial_m Z) = 0$

Z extremization

• Using the holomorphy, this implies that $\partial_{\Delta}|Z| = 0$ at the superconformal value of Δ .

 Holographic evidence and examples indicate that |Z| is always minimized. Need to control 2-point functions to prove this in field theory.

AdS dual of Z

- 3d CFT describing N M2 branes on a Calabi-Yau cone is dual to AdS₄ × Sasaki-Einstein 7manifold.
- The theory on S^3 is dual to euclidean AdS.

$$-\log\left(Z_{S^3}\right) = \frac{\pi L_{AdS}^2}{2G_N^{4d}} = N^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi^6}{27Vol(Y)}}$$

Where the metric on Y is normalized such that $R_{ij} = 6g_{ij}$

Quiver CSM theories

 U(N)_k × U(N)_{-k} CSM with a pair of bifundamental hypermultiplets

$$Z = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2N}} \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\sigma_i d\tilde{\sigma}_i \exp\left[\frac{ik}{4\pi} (\operatorname{tr} \sigma^2 - \operatorname{tr} \tilde{\sigma}^2)\right]$$
$$\times \prod_{i < j} \sinh^2\left(\frac{\sigma_i - \sigma_j}{2}\right) \sinh^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_i - \tilde{\sigma}_j}{2}\right) \prod_{\substack{\text{chirals} \\ \text{in rep } R_i}} \operatorname{Det}_{R_i}\left(e^{\ell\left(1 - \Delta_i + i\frac{\sigma}{2\pi}\right)}\right)$$

// ___()

 $W = \frac{2\pi}{k} \epsilon_{ab} \epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} (A_a B_{\dot{a}} A_b B_{\dot{b}})$

Large N limits

In the 't Hooft limit, the eigenvalues form a density. The clump has size of order 1. Thus F~N² f(λ) to leading order, as expected from the saddle point solution to matrix models.

■ For large N at fixed k, there is still a density, but the clump has size of order √N. Requires cancellation of long range forces.

Matrix models for N=2 quivers

The saddle point equations are given by the vanishing of the forces:

$$F_i^{(a)} = F_{i,\text{ext}}^{(a)} + F_{i,\text{self}}^{(a)} + \sum_b F_{i,\text{inter}}^{(a,b)} + \sum_b F_{i,\text{inter}}^{(b,a)}$$

$$F_{i,\text{ext}}^{(a)} = \frac{ik_a}{2\pi} \lambda_i^{(a)}$$

$$F_{i,\text{self}}^{(a)} = \sum_{j \neq i} \coth \frac{\lambda_i^{(a)} - \lambda_j^{(a)}}{2}$$

$$F_{i,\text{inter}}^{(a,b)} = \sum_{j} \left[\frac{\Delta_{(a,b)} - 1}{2} - i \frac{\lambda_i^{(a)} - \lambda_j^{(b)}}{4\pi} \right] \operatorname{coth} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^{(a)} - \lambda_j^{(b)}}{2} - i\pi \left(1 - \Delta_{(a,b)} \right) \right]$$

Ansatz

Want a clump of size strictly between O(1) and O(N) long range forces must then cancel.

$$\lambda_i^{(a)} = N^{1/2} x_i + i y_{a,i} + o(N^0)$$

Use an eigenvalue density, Q(x), for the universal x components, and functions y_a(x).

$$\frac{k_a}{2\pi} N^{3/2} \int dx \,\rho(x) x y_a(x) + \Delta_m^{(a)} N^{3/2} \int dx \,\rho(x) x$$
$$-N^{3/2} \frac{2-\Delta_{(a,b)}^+}{2} \int dx \,\rho(x)^2 \left[\left(y_a - y_b + \pi \Delta_{(a,b)}^- \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \pi^2 \Delta_{(a,b)}^+ \left(4 - \Delta_{(a,b)}^+ \right) \right]$$
$$N^{3/2} \int dx \,\rho(x) x \left(\frac{1-\Delta_a}{2} - \frac{1}{4\pi} y_a(x) \right)$$

Algebraic in <u>e</u>!

[Herzog Klebanov Pufu Tesileanu; Santamaria Marino Putrov]

An example

 $\mathcal{W}_{fl} = p_1 A_1 q_1 + p_2 A_2 q_2$

Describes M2 branes on a CY cone. 1-loop quantum corrections are crucial to finding the moduli space. At level 0, gives $AdS_4 \times Q^{111}$.

$$F = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi N^{3/2}}{3} \frac{\hat{\Delta}(\hat{\Delta}+k+1)}{\sqrt{(k+1)^2(k-1)-4(k+1)\hat{\Delta}-2\hat{\Delta}^2}}$$

 To leading order in N, independent of the fundamental flavor R-charge.

Volume minimization

In Sasaki-Einstein geometry, the Reeb vector is paired with the radial direction in the Kahler form on the CY cone.

For toric SE, it is part of the $U(1)^4$ isometry.

The volume can be computed as a function of this embedding (in general, a Sasakian manifold with Kahler cone). It is minimized by the SE one.

The whole function matches the field theory Z!

$SU(2)_1$ with an adjoint

This CSM theory is equivalent to a free chiral multiplet, up to decoupled topological sector – Tr X² reaches the unitarity bound.

$$Z = \int du \sinh^2(2\pi u) e^{2\pi i u^2} e^{\ell(1-\Delta) + \ell(1-\Delta+2iu) + \ell(1-\Delta-2iu)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}(1+\Delta)^2 - \frac{i\pi}{4}} e^{\ell(1-2\Delta)}$$

• For the superconformal index, monopole operators play a crucial role in matching.

Summary

• Explained 3d N=2 R-symmetric theories on the sphere.

- Computed the IR partition function exactly in the UV theory as a function of R-charge parameterized curvature couplings.
- |Z| is minimized by the IR superconformal R, determining the superconformal R-charge exactly.
- Looked at some examples.