# 3d Seiberg Dualities with Tensor Matter

Anton Kapustin, Caltech based on work with J. Park and H. Kim arXiv: 1110.2547

#### Outline

- Seiberg and Kutasov-Schwimmer-Seiberg dualities in 4d
- 3d analogs of Seiberg duality (unitary gauge group)
- 3d analogs of KSS duality (unitary gauge group)
- Nonperturbative truncation of the chiral ring
- Other gauge groups and matter representations

## Seiberg duality in 4d, I

Seiberg duality (1994) is a conjectured IR duality between certain pairs of  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  d = 4 gauge theories.

Electric theory:  $SU(N_c)$  gauge theory with  $N_f$  fundamental flavors  $(Q^a, \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{a}})$ ,  $a, \tilde{a} = 1, \dots, N_f$ ,

Magnetic theory:  $SU(N_f - N_c)$  gauge theory with  $N_f$  fundamental flavors  $q_a, \tilde{q}^{\tilde{a}}$ , a singlet "meson" superfield  $M^a_{\tilde{a}}$ , and a superpotential

 $W = \tilde{q}^{\tilde{a}} q_a M^a_{\tilde{a}}.$ 

Checks: symmetries, 't Hooft anomaly matching, chiral ring matching, consistent behavior under defomations and along the moduli space. Generalizes to  $SO(N_c)$  and  $USp(2N_c)$  theories with fundamental matter. Seiberg duality in 4d, II

Chiral ring matching:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{Q}Q &\mapsto M, \\ Q^{N_c} &\mapsto q^{N_f - N_c}, \\ \tilde{Q}^{N_c} &\mapsto \tilde{q}^{N_f - N_c}. \end{split}$$

NB. The electric and magnetic theories flow to a nontrivial IR fixed point for  $3N_c/2 < N_f < 3N_c$ . If  $N_f$  is in the range  $N_c \leq N_f \leq 3N_c/2$ , the magnetic theory is IR free. If  $N_f < N_c$ , the magnetic theory does not make sense, while the electric theory does not have a stable vacuum.

#### KSS duality in 4d, I

Electric theory:  $SU(N_c)$  gauge theory with  $N_f$  fundamental flavors  $(Q^a, \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{a}})$ ,  $a, \tilde{a} = 1, \ldots, N_f$ , an adjoint X and a superpotential

$$W = \operatorname{Tr} X^{n+1}.$$

Magnetic theory:  $SU(nN_f - N_c)$  gauge theory with  $N_f$ fundamental flavors  $q_a, \tilde{q}^{\tilde{a}}$ , an adjoint *Y*, *n* singlet "meson" superfields  $M_j$ , j = 1, ..., n, and a superpotential

$$W = \operatorname{Tr} Y^{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{q} Y^{n-j} q M_j$$

Chiral ring matching:

 $\tilde{Q}X^{j-1}Q \mapsto M_j, \quad \operatorname{Tr} X^{j-1} \mapsto \operatorname{Tr} Y^{j-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$ 

Baryon-like chiral operators also match.

Note the chiral ring relation  $X^n = 0$  following from  $\partial W / \partial X = 0$ .

- KSS duality holds if the perturbation  $W = \text{Tr}X^{n+1}$  is relevant. The condition for this is  $R_X < 2/(n+1)$ , where  $R_X$ can be computed by a-maximization in the W = 0 theory (Intriligator, Wecht). This gives upper bound on  $N_f$ .
- There are additional relations in the electric (resp. magnetic) chiral ring coming from the characteristic equation for *X* (resp. *Y*). These relations do not match. KSS proposed that the magnetic characteristic equation appears in the electric theory as a nonperturbative effect, and vice versa.

# KSS duality in 4d, III

KSS duality generalizes to other classical groups and matter representations (Intriligator, Leigh, Strassler, 1995):

- $SO(N_c)$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamentals, an adjoint X and a superpotential  $W = \operatorname{Tr} X^{2n+2}$ .
- $USp(2N_c)$  theory with  $2N_f$  fundamentals, an adjoint X and a superpotential  $W = \operatorname{Tr} X^{2n+2}$ .
- $SO(N_c)$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamentals, a symmetric traceless X and a superpotential  $W = \operatorname{Tr} X^{n+1}$ .
- $USp(2N_c)$  theory with  $2N_f$  fundamentals, an antisymmetric traceless X and a superpotential  $W = \text{Tr} (XJ)^{n+1}$ .
- $SU(N_c)$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamentals, a symmetric or antisymmetric tensor flavor  $X^{ij}, \tilde{X}_{ij}$ , and a superpotential  $W = \text{Tr}(X\tilde{X})^{n+1}$ .

# KSS duality in 4d, IV

Further remarks:

- Some of these dualities can be "derived" using suitable brane constructions of  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  gauge theories and brane moves which amount to continuation past infinite coupling. Not clear why and when this is allowed.
- No dual description is known for the  $SU(N_c)$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamentals, an adjoint X, and W = 0. Naive attempt to take the limit  $n \to \infty$  of the KSS duality fails because for fixed  $N_f, N_c$  and large enough n the operator  $\operatorname{Tr} X^{n+1}$  becomes irrelevant, and the KSS duality does not apply.

## Aharony dualities in 3d, I

Seiberg dualities have 3d counterparts with  $\mathcal{N} = 2 \ d = 3 \$ SUSY (Aharony, 1997, Aharony-Shamir, 2011).

•  $U(N_c)$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamental flavors is dual to  $U(N_f - N_c)$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamental flavors,  $N_f^2$  singlets  $M_{\tilde{a}}^a$ , two extra singlets  $v_+, v_-$ , and a superpotential

$$W = \tilde{q}qM + V_+v_- + V_-v_+,$$

where  $V_{\pm}$  are monopole operators with magnetic charge  $(\pm 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

There are no gauge-invariant baryon operators, mesons match as before. Monopole operators  $U_{\pm}$  in the electric theory map to singlet fields  $v_{\pm}$  in the magnetic theory.

#### Aharony dualities in 3d, II

There are similar dualities for gauge groups  $O(N_c)$  and  $USp(2N_c)$ .

- $O(N_c) \mapsto O(N_f N_c + 2)$
- $USp(2N_c) \mapsto USp(2N_f 2N_c 2).$

There are no baryons to match, while basic monopole operators map to singlets. The chiral ring seems to be generated by mesons and basic monopole operators (Bashkirov, 2011).

### 3d dualities with Chern-Simons terms, I

In 3d one can turn on Chern-Simons couplings. There are 3d Seiberg-like dualities for  $\mathcal{N} = 2 \ d = 3$  theories with Chern-Simons terms (Giveon, Kutasov, 2008, A.K. 2011).

Recipe: take Aharony duality, on the electric side turn on CS coupling k, on the magnetic side turn on CS coupling -k, replace  $N_f \mapsto N + f + |k|$  and drop singlets coupled to monopole operators.

Thus  $U(N_c)_k$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamental flavors is dual to  $U(N_f + |k| - N_c)_{-k}$  theory with  $N_f$  flavors, a singlet meson Mand  $W = \tilde{q}qM$ .

For  $|k| \ge N_c$  it makes sense to set  $N_f = 0$ , and we get:

 $U(N_c)_k \mathcal{N} = 2$  Chern-Simons theory is dual to  $U(|k| - N_c)_{-k}$  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Chern-Simons theory.

# 3d dualities with Chern-Simons terms, II

Further remarks:

- $\mathcal{N} = 2 U(N_c)_k$  CS theory is equivalent to bosonic  $U(N_c)$  CS theory at CS level  $k_b = k N_c \cdot \operatorname{sign} k$  (for  $|k| \ge N_c$ ). Thus for  $N_f = 0$  Seiberg-like duality with CS terms is level-rank duality of bosonic  $U(N)_k$  CS theories .
- If  $N_f + |k| N_c < 0$ , the electric theory appears to break SUSY spontaneously.
- Similar remarks apply to gauge groups  $O(N_c)$  and  $USp(2N_c)$ .

# **Testing 3d dualities**

- Chiral ring matching (but matching monopole operators is not straightforward, see Bashkirov 2011).
- Parity anomaly matching
- $S^3$  partition function
- Superconformal index (twisted partition function on  $S^2 \times S^1$ ).

The lasts two tests are very powerful (one compares functions of r + 1 variables, where r is the rank of the global symmetry group). Sometimes one can prove equality of  $S^3$  partition functions analytically (A.K., Willett, Yaakov, 2010, Willett, Yaakov, 2011).

## 3d analogs of KSS duality, I

KSS duality also appears to have 3d analogs (Niarchos, 2008, A.K., Kim and Park, 2011). There are such analogs both with and without Chern-Simons couplings. I will discuss the case  $k \neq 0$ .

Electric theory:  $U(N_c)_k$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamental flavors, an adjoint X, and  $W = \text{Tr } X^{n+1}$ .

Magnetic theory:  $U(n(N_f + |k|) - N_c)_{-k}$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamental flavors, an adjoint *Y* and

$$W = \operatorname{Tr} Y^{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{q} Y^{n-j} q M_j.$$

# 3d analogs of KSS duality, II

This duality is supposed to hold for  $N_f$  and k such that  $\operatorname{Tr} X^{n+1}$  is a relevant perturbation. The condition for this is  $R_X < 2/(n+1)$ .

 $R_X$  as a function of  $N_f, N_c, k$  can be obtained in principle using F-maximization (Jafferis, 2010). That is, one computes  $|Z(S^3)|$  in the W = 0 theory as a function of  $R_X$  and  $R_Q$  and minimizes it with respect to these parameters.

This is easy to do numerically only for  $N_f = 0$ , when one does not have  $R_Q$  at all.

## 3d analogs of KSS duality, III

We tested the 3d KSS duality by computing the  $S^3$  partition function and superconformal index of dual theories.

For example, consider the "self-dual" case: electric  $U(2)_1$  theory with  $N_f = 1$ , and  $W = \text{Tr } X^3$ . For both electric and magnetic theory we got (here  $r = R_Q$ ):

r - 1/3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0			
$\log  Z $	-0.423782	-1.66927	-1.94454	-1.91804			
r - 1/3	0.1	0.2	0.3				
$\log  Z $	-1.73155	-1.45191	-1.12236				
Index $I(x) = \text{Tr}(-1)^F x^{E+j_3} \exp(-\beta(E-R-j_3))$ :							

 $I(x) = 1 + x^{2/3} + x^{4/3} + x^{2r}(1 + 2x^{2/3} + x^{4/3}) + x^{-2r}(-x^{8/3} - x^{10/3}) + x^{4r} + \dots$ 

# 3d analogs of KSS duality, IV

- Setting  $N_f = 0$ , we already get an interesting statement:  $U(N_c)_k$  theory with an adjoint and  $W = \operatorname{Tr} X^{n+1}$  is dual to  $U(n|k| - N_c)_{-k}$  theory with an adjoint and  $W = \operatorname{Tr} Y^{n+1}$ .
- If in addition  $N_c > n|k|$ , the magnetic rank is negative. We interpret this as a sign that the electric theory breaks SUSY.
- If  $N_c = n|k|$ , the magnetic theory is trivial, so the electric theory must flow to a trivial fixed point.

How is all this compatible with the chiral rings of electric and magnetic theories? They seem to be spanned by  $\operatorname{Tr} X^j$  and  $\operatorname{Tr} Y^j$ , but the range over which *j* runs is not the same.

This is again the issue of nonperturbative relations in the chiral ring.

Some insight is gained by considering the superconformal index.

Take  $U(2)_1$  with an adjoint X and  $W = \text{Tr } X^3$ . The magnetic gauge group is U(0), so the theory must be trivial.

Classically, the electric chiral ring has a single generator v = Tr X and a single relation  $v^3 = 0$ . The magnetic chiral ring is trivial.

The indices agree (I = 1), because the contribution of  $v (\sim x^{2/3})$  is canceled by a monopole operator with magnetic charge (1, -1).

Thus at strong coupling  $\operatorname{Tr} X$  is either not closed or becomes exact, thanks to the presence of a monopole operator.

# Duality without the superpotential, I

For k = 1 and  $N_f = 0$  we can do better: we can find the dual description of the theory with W = 0, and explain the chiral ring truncation in terms of this dual description.

Consider  $U(N_c)_1$  theory with an adjoint X, but now with W = 0.

Chiral ring is freely generated by  $u_i = \text{Tr}X^i$ ,  $i = 1, ..., N_c$ . The VEVs of  $u_i$  parameterize the moduli space.

Along the moduli space the gauge group is Higgsed to  $(U(1)_1)^{N_c}$ , which is a trivial TQFT.

Conjecture: this theory is dual to a free theory of  $N_c$  chiral superfields  $u_1, \ldots, u_{N_c}$ .

# Duality without the superpotential, II

We tested this by computing the  $S^3$  partition function (as a function of  $r = R_X$ ) and the index, and found perfect agreement.

For example, for  $N_c = 3$  we got for both theories:

r	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6
$\log  Z $	-0.613634	-0.635679	-0.318126	0.000000	-0.163086

The partition function is hard to compute for higher  $N_c$ , the index is easier.

## Relation with Jafferis-Yin duality

For  $N_c = 2$  this duality is almost the same as the "Duality Appetizer" (Jafferis, Yin, 2010). They proposed that  $SU(2)_1$ theory with an adjoint and W = 0 is dual to a single free chiral superfield  $u_2$ .

The  $S^3$  partition functions differ by a factor  $1/\sqrt{2}$ . They proposed that this factor can be attributed to a decoupled  $U(1)_2$  CS theory on the "magnetic" side. This agrees with the low-energy behavior along the moduli space (Higgsing  $SU(2)_1$  by an adjoint VEV gives  $U(1)_2$  CS theory).

Alternatively, we can move this factor to the electric side and interpret it as coming from  $U(1)_{1/2}$  CS theory. This makes sense because  $U(1)_{1/2} \times SU(2)_1 = U(2)_1$ .

For higher  $N_c$  we get a generalization of the "Duality Appetizer".

## Chiral ring truncation revisited, I

Now we can see why for  $W = \operatorname{Tr} X^{n+1}$  additional relations in the chiral ring appear on the quantum level (at least for  $N_f = 0$  and k = 1).

Consider again  $U(2)_1$  with an adjoint X and W = 0. The chiral ring is freely generated by v = Tr X and  $u = \text{Tr } X^2$ .

#### Example 1.

 $W = \operatorname{Tr} X^4$ . The magnetic gauge group is  $U(1)_{-1}$ , the magnetic chiral ring is generated by v = Y with a relation  $Y^3 = 0$ .

In the electric theory the classical chiral ring has two generators v = Tr X and  $u = \text{Tr } X^2$  and relations  $u^2 = uv^2, v^3 = 3uv$ .

Now note that  $\operatorname{Tr} X^4 = u^2/2 + uv^2 - v^4/2$ , so if we treat u and v as free, we get an F-term relation  $u = -v^2$ . Then classical relations become  $v^4 = 0$  and  $v^3 = 0$ .

## Chiral ring truncation revisited, II

Example 2.

 $W = \operatorname{Tr} X^3$ . The magnetic theory is trivial. In the electric theory the classical chiral ring has a single generator v with a relation  $v^3 = 0$  (we have u = 0 thanks to the superpotential).

Now note that  $\operatorname{Tr} X^3 = 3uv/2 - v^3/2$ , so if u, v are regarded as free, we get F-term relations  $u = v^2, v = 0$ . So the quantum chiral ring is trivial, as predicted by duality.

## **Generalizations I**

The 3d KSS duality with Chern-Simons terms has analogs for other gauge groups and matter representations.

- $O(N_c)_k$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamentals, an adjoint X and a superpotential  $W = \operatorname{Tr} X^{2n+2}$ .
- $USp(2N_c)_k$  theory with  $2N_f$  fundamentals, an adjoint X and a superpotential  $W = \operatorname{Tr} X^{2n+2}$ .
- $O(N_c)_k$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamentals, a symmetric traceless X and a superpotential  $W = \operatorname{Tr} X^{n+1}$ .
- $USp(2N_c)_k$  theory with  $2N_f$  fundamentals, an antisymmetric traceless X and a superpotential  $W = Tr (XJ)^{n+1}$ .
- $U(N_c)_k$  theory with  $N_f$  fundamentals, a symmetric or antisymmetric tensor flavor  $X^{ij}, \tilde{X}_{ij}$ , and a superpotential  $W = \text{Tr}(X\tilde{X})^{n+1}$ .

## **Generalizations II**

All these dualities are very similar to 4d dualities found by Intriligator, Leigh and Strassler. We tested them by computing  $Z(S^3)$  and the index for many dual pairs.

Is there is a dual description of the electric theories with the superpotential turned off, similar to the generalized Jafferis-Yin duality for  $U(N_c)_1$  theories with an adjoint?

We found two more classes of theories for which this works.

- SO(2N<sub>c</sub> + 2)<sub>1</sub> theory with an adjoint and W = 0 is dual to the theory of N<sub>c</sub> + 1 free fields σ<sub>2j</sub>, j = 1,..., N<sub>c</sub> and p (dual to TrX<sup>2j</sup> and Pf X)
- USp(2N<sub>c</sub>)<sub>2</sub> theory with an antisymmetric tensor X and W = 0 is dual to the theory of N<sub>c</sub> free fields σ<sub>j</sub>, j = 1,..., N<sub>c</sub> (dual to Tr (XJ)<sup>j</sup>).

# **Generalizations III**

These dualities are plausible because along the moduli space both theories reduce to trivial TQFTs  $(U(1)_1)^{N_c+1}$  or  $(SU(2)_2)^{N_c}$ plus moduli.

We tested these dualities for several low values of  $N_c$  by computing  $Z(S^3)$  and the index.

For other gauge groups and matter representations this does not work. For example,  $USp(2N_c)_k$  theory with an adjoint is not dual to a free theory, for any k, because the TQFT along the moduli space  $(U(1)_{2k})^{N_c}$  is not trivial for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .