

AREA LAW CORRECTIONS FROM

STATE COUNTING AND SUPERGRAVITY

strings '99

Potsdam

GL Cardoso

9812082

T Mohaupt

9904005

B dW

9906094

9906095

String theory \rightarrow counting of microstates
accounts for black hole entropy

(Strominger, Vafa)

Extremal ($d=4$) black holes (BPS)

$$S_{\text{micro}} \sim \sqrt{Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 Q_4}$$

for large charges

Successfully confronted with macroscopic
calculations:

- = corresponding (effective)
field theory
- = find black hole solutions
- = work out the entropy
(through area law)

microscopically:

count # string / Dbrane configurations

Here:

IIA string theory compactified on

CY three folds

\longleftrightarrow M-theory on $CY \times S^1$

Wrap

D_4 brane on a holomorphic smooth
CY four cycle \mathcal{P}

\longleftrightarrow

M5 brane on $\mathcal{P} \times S^1$

[large CY, large g^0]

Maldacena, Strominger, Witten
Vafa

massless fluctuations around wrapped brane

define a $(0,4)$ conformal field theory

(L, R)

counting their state degeneracy
yields the entropy

This remains true when CY is replaced
by

$K3 \times T^2 \rightarrow N=4$ black hole

$T^6 \rightarrow N=0$ black hole

\nearrow asymptotic spacetime susy

ENTROPY

$$S_{\text{micro}} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} |g| c_L}$$

$$= 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} |g| (C_{ABC} P^A P^B P^C + C_{2A} P^A)}$$

triple intersection
CY four cycles

second Chern class

SUBLEADING !

Note: CFT encoded in topological data
of the four cycle \mathcal{P}

related to \rightarrow topological data of **CY**

MSW: subleading corrections

are associated with higher-order

derivative terms (i.e. \mathcal{R}^2 -terms)

in the effective field theory

4
macroscopically:

How can the macroscopic theory
(usually matter coupled supergravity)
give rise to such simple/systematic
answers?

⇒ **SUPERSYMMETRY ENHANCEMENT
AT THE HORIZON**

BLACK HOLE: solitonic interpolation
between two different supersymmetric
vacua i.e. $N=2, d=4$ Gibbons

$r = \infty$ flat Minkowski spacetime

$r = 0$ $AdS_2 \times S^2$ Bertotti Robinson horizon

global solution

RESIDUAL $N=1$ SUPERSYMMETRY

→ BPS

generically the solution depends on
the E/M charges and the values
of the moduli fields at $r = \infty$

the entropy depends only on the charges

FIXED POINT BEHAVIOUR

the moduli take fixed values at the horizon, determined exclusively in terms of E/M charges

⇒ determined by E/M duality
caused by susy enhancement

Ferrara, Kallosh, Strominger, Gibbons
Behrndt, Cardoso, dW, Kallosh, Lüst, Mohaupt
Moore

THEORY: $N=2$ supergravity + a number
of abelian vector multiplets

→ E/M charges q_I, P^I

Subleading entropy corrections are
related to R^2 -terms. So the
supergravity theory should incorporate
both R and R^2 !

$N=2$ multiplet calculus

Van Proeyen, van Holten, dV

recall $N=2$ vector multiplets

\Rightarrow field strengths are chiral superfields

$$W^{\mathbf{I}}(x, \theta) = X^{\mathbf{I}}(x) + \dots + F_{\mu\nu}^{-\mathbf{I}}(x) \bar{\Theta}^i \gamma^{\mu\nu} \Theta^j \epsilon_{ij} + \dots \\ + \dots + \square \bar{X}^{\mathbf{I}}(x) (\bar{\Theta}^i \gamma^{\mu\nu} \Theta^j \epsilon_{ij})^2$$

general gauge invariant Lagrangians encoded in:

holomorphic function $F(X^{\mathbf{I}})$

[subject to E/M duality]

this can be formulated in an off-shell supergravity background

$\Rightarrow F(X)$ holomorphic + homogeneous of 2-nd degree

Auxiliary tensor field T^{abij}

$$S_{\mu}^{\nu i} = 2 \partial_{\mu} \epsilon^i - \frac{1}{8} \gamma_{ab} T^{abij} \gamma_{\mu} \epsilon_j + \dots$$

antiselfdual; couples to the graviphoton field strength

7

T^{abij} lowest component of a (tensor)
chiral superfield

$$T^{abij} + \dots R_{ab}^{-ca} \theta^i \gamma_{cd} \theta^j + \dots \mathbb{D}\mathbb{D}T^{abij} (\bar{\theta}\theta)^2$$

$\hat{A} = (T^{abij} \varepsilon_{ij})^2$ scalar chiral superfield
can straightforwardly be incorporated into $F(x)$

$\Rightarrow F(x, \hat{A})$ holomorphic + homogeneous

$$x^I \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^I} + \underbrace{w}_{=2} \hat{A} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \hat{A}} = 2 F$$

\downarrow \downarrow
 F_I $F_{\hat{A}}$

\Rightarrow FIND ALL $N=2$ SUPERSYMMETRIC
CONFIGURATIONS (off-shell!) THAT ARE
CONSISTENT WITH THE STATIC SPHERICALLY
SYMMETRIC BLACK HOLE GEOMETRY
(not using action/field equations, only $F(x, \hat{A})$)

$$ds^2 = -e^{2g(r)} dt^2 + e^{2f(r)} (d\vec{x})^2$$

\Rightarrow Bertotti Robinson $AdS_2 \times S^2$

$$e^{2g(r)} = e^{-2f(r)} = \frac{r^2}{16} \left| \Gamma^{01ij} \epsilon_{ij} \right|^2 = r^2 \frac{e^{-k}}{|Z|^2}$$

$$e^{-k} \equiv i \left[\bar{X}^I F_I(x, \hat{A}) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{X}, \hat{A}) X^I \right]$$

$$\bar{Z} \equiv e^{k/2} \left[P^I F_I(x, \hat{A}) - q_I X^I \right]$$

only dependence on the (constant) moduli $\sim X^I$
and the E/M charges q_I, P^I

invoke E/M duality

P^I, q_I and $X^I, F_I(x, \hat{A})$

must satisfy a proportionality relation!

\Rightarrow only dependence on the charges

P^I, q_I

What remains?

How to determine the entropy?

BH AREA LAW?

disagrees with the microscopic result!

Behrndt, Cardoso, dW, Lüst, Mohaupt, Sabra

9

INSTEAD use Wald's prescription
based on a constant surface charge

This ensures the validity of the
First Law of Black Hole Mechanics
in this case

$$S_{\text{macro}} = \frac{1}{16} \oint_{S^2} \epsilon_{ab} \epsilon_{cd} \frac{\delta(\delta_{\bar{t}} \mathcal{L})}{\delta R_{abcd}}$$

$$a, b, c, d = 0, 1$$

surface charge
constant for a continuous variety
of solutions

$$\Rightarrow \delta \left[\oint_{\text{horizon}} \cdot Q \right] = \delta \left[\oint_{\text{spatial } \infty} \cdot Q \right]$$

↓
entropy

S_{macro}

↓
mass, angular momentum
.....

↔
First Law

Toy Example:

3D Abelian gauge theory:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{inv}}(F_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu}, \psi, D_\rho \psi) + c \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho$$

"Noether potential"

$$Q^{\mu\nu}(\phi, \mathbf{f}) = z \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{\mu\nu}} \mathbf{f} + (-z \mathbf{f} \partial_\rho + \partial_\rho \mathbf{f}) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu})} \\ + z c \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\rho \mathbf{f}$$

$$\partial_\nu Q^{\mu\nu} = J^\mu(\phi, \delta\phi) \quad \text{Noether current} \\ \propto \delta\phi$$

$$\delta \int_C d\Omega_\mu J^\mu(\phi, \delta\phi) = \delta \int_C d\Sigma_{\mu\nu} Q^{\mu\nu}(\phi, \mathbf{f})$$

$\equiv 0$ when varying over a continuous variety of solutions with a residual gauge symmetry

RESULT: rescaled variables (homogeneity)

$$X^I, \hat{A} \longrightarrow Y^I, \mathcal{T}$$

$F(Y, \mathcal{T})$ yields

$$S_{\text{macro}} = \pi \left[|\mathcal{Z}|^2 - 256 \operatorname{Im} F_{\mathcal{T}}(Y, \mathcal{T}) \right]$$

Area Law

with $\mathcal{T} = -64$

Wald's correction
(due to $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{T}^2$ -terms)

$$|\mathcal{Z}|^2 = p^I F_I(Y, \mathcal{T}) - q_I Y^I$$

$$Y^I - \bar{Y}^I = i p^I$$

$$F_I(Y, \mathcal{T}) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{Y}, \bar{\mathcal{T}}) = i q_I$$

} fixed point
behaviour

Note: both the area & the correction
are affected by the presence
of the higher-derivative interactions

and consistent with E/M duality

COMPARISON

Effective field theory Lagrangian

based on

$$F(Y, \Gamma) = -\frac{1}{6} \frac{C_{ABC} Y^A Y^B Y^C}{Y^0} - \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{64} C_{2A} \frac{Y^A}{Y^0} \Gamma$$

gives

$$C_{2A} \operatorname{Im} \frac{Y^A}{Y^0} \quad C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2$$

leads to

$$S_{\text{macro}} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} q_0 (C_{ABC} P^A P^B P^C + C_{2A} P^A)}$$

identical to S_{micro}

subleading corrections (homogeneity)

$$S_{\text{macro}} = \pi \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} a_g Q^{2-2g}$$

even powers!

13
Return to microstate counting (MSW)

Right movers

bosons $4 h_{2,0}(\mathcal{P}) + 4 - 2 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P})$

fermions $4 (h_{2,0}(\mathcal{P}) + h_{0,0}(\mathcal{P}))$

$$C_R = 6 h_{2,0}(\mathcal{P}) + 6 - 2 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P})$$

$$= \mathcal{P}^3 + \frac{1}{2} c_2 \cdot \mathcal{P} + 4 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P})$$

Left movers

bosons $2 h_{2,0}(\mathcal{P}) + h_{1,1}(\mathcal{P}) + 2 - 2 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P})$

fermions $4 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P})$

$$C_L = 2 h_{2,0}(\mathcal{P}) + h_{1,1}(\mathcal{P}) + 2$$

$$= \mathcal{P}^3 + c_2 \cdot \mathcal{P} + 4 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{entropy } S_{\text{micro}} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} g_0 (C_{ABC} P^A P^B P^C + c_{2A} P^A + 4 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P}))}$$

Vafa: $(C_{ABC} P^A P^B P^C + c_{2A} P^A + 6 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P}))$

CY: $h_{1,0} = 0$

$K3 \times T^2$: $h_{1,0} = 1$

T^6 : $h_{1,0} = 3$

puzzle:

- no R supersymmetry / hyperkähler geometry

- wrong subleading entropy correction
- inconsistent with anomaly cancellation for M5 brane

Return to microstate counting (MSW)

Right movers

b_1 nondynamical gauge fields

$$\# \text{ bosons} \quad 4 h_{2,0}(\mathcal{P}) + 4 - 2 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P}) = b_1$$

$$\# \text{ fermions} \quad 4 (h_{2,0}(\mathcal{P}) + h_{0,0}(\mathcal{P})) - 2 b_1$$

$$C_R = 6 h_{2,0}(\mathcal{P}) + 6 - 2 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P})$$

$$= \mathcal{P}^3 + \frac{1}{2} c_2 \cdot \mathcal{P} + 4 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P}) - 2 b_1$$

Left movers

$$\# \text{ bosons} \quad 2 h_{2,0}(\mathcal{P}) + h_{1,1}(\mathcal{P}) + 2 - 2 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P}) - b_1$$

$$\# \text{ fermions} \quad 4 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P}) - 2 b_1$$

$$C_L = 2 h_{2,0}(\mathcal{P}) + h_{1,1}(\mathcal{P}) + 2$$

$$= \mathcal{P}^3 + c_2 \cdot \mathcal{P} + 4 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P}) - 2 b_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{entropy} \quad S_{\text{micro}} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} g_0 (C_{ABC} P^A P^B P^C + c_{2A} P^A + 4 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P}))}$$

$$\text{Vafa:} \quad (C_{ABC} P^A P^B P^C + c_{2A} P^A + 6 h_{1,0}(\mathcal{P}))$$

$$\text{CY: } h_{1,0} = 0$$

$$K3 \times T^2: h_{1,0} = 1$$

$$T^6: h_{1,0} = 3$$

puzzle:

- no \mathcal{R} supersymmetry

/ hyperkähler geometry

- wrong subleading entropy correction

- inconsistent with anomaly

cancellation for M5 brane

Conclusion

agreement between microscopic & macroscopic results extends also to subleading corrections

subtlety in counting for $N=4,8$?

→ microstate counting

→ full supersymmetry enhancement at the horizon (leads to fixed-point behaviour)

→ E/M duality

→ modifications of the Area Law

→ First Law of black hole mechanics

→ higher-derivative terms in the effective action are crucial.