

A Classical

IIA Landscape

Based on work with

O. DeWolfe (Princeton)

A. Giryavets (Stanford)

W. Taylor (MIT)

appearing in hep-th/0505160.

Closely related important works:

Derendinger, Kounnas, Petropoulos, Zvirner

S.k., Kashani-Poor

Grimm, Louis

Villadoro, Zvirner

Camara, Font, Ibanez

Saueressig, Theis, Vandoren

→ Extend our results in some
directions of "real world" interest

①

I. Introduction

There is by now significant evidence that string theory gives rise to a vast "landscape" of metastable vacua, manifesting various possibilities for the gauge group, matter content, Λ_{4d} , and other quantities of physical interest.

Practically speaking, the techniques that go into proving this assertion, are identical to the techniques we use to find string compactifications with stabilized moduli and reasonable particle physics/cosmology.

②

Whatever one's "philosophical" feelings about the landscape are, those are obviously worthy goals, which motivate & justify work on this subject.

Thus far, the most detailed (though still very incomplete) understanding has been developed in the context of IIB Calabi-Yau orientifolds of D3/D7 type ("F-theory"). As we've heard from e.g. Denef:

- \exists very large # vacua 10^N $N \sim 100s$
(quite possibly sufficient to realize the Bousso-Polchinski idea for Λ)

③

• Fractions of vacua with

$$g_s \leq g^* \sim g^*$$

$$|W_0| \leq |W_0|^* \sim (|W_0|^*)^2$$

Douglas
Denef
...

→ possible to realize KKLT type constructions in very many cases (but, rare -- tuning!). Possibly other regimes of parameters also → interesting controlled vacua (r.t. Quevedo).

Two qualitative points to contrast to our IIA story:

- # maximally symmetric 4d IIB vacua may well be finite
- 'control' over expansions is numerical, not parametric, here

④

In contrast, I will argue that
IIA Calabi-Yau orientifolds with
flux :

- Give rise to an infinite # of
maximally symmetric 4d vacua
- Allow parametric control -- \exists
unconstrained flux N and

$\begin{cases} g_s \\ R \end{cases}$ get $\begin{cases} \text{small} \\ \text{large} \end{cases}$ as
powers of N .

II. Basic facts about IIA + Scaling argument

We'll be studying IIA on Calabi-Yau
orientifolds. In that general setting,

⑤

the ingredients one encounters in model building include:

- RR fluxes F_0, F_2, F_4, F_6
thread even cycles in geometry \rightarrow
potential for Kähler moduli
- NS flux H_3
threads three-cycles in geometry \rightarrow
potential for complex moduli

$$\exists \text{ tadpole } \int_{\Sigma_i} F_0 \wedge H_3 + N_{D6} = N_{O6}$$

for each homology class of 3-cycles

\rightarrow in general if $F_0, H_3 \neq 0$

- $O6$ planes wrapping 3-cycles
(arise from \mathbb{Z}_2 involution of (Y))

⑥

Lets take an imaginary rigid Calabi-Yau with a single volume modulus (R) + dilaton (e^ϕ) as only moduli.

In 4d Einstein frame, energy cost of :

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot F_0 &\rightarrow f_0^2 \frac{e^{4\phi}}{R^6} \\ \cdot F_4 &\rightarrow f_4^2 \frac{e^{4\phi}}{R^{14}} \\ \cdot H_3 &\rightarrow h_3^2 \frac{e^{2\phi}}{R^{12}} \\ \cdot O_6 &\rightarrow -N_{O_6} \frac{e^{3\phi}}{R^9} \end{aligned} \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Differ by } \frac{1}{R^8} \\ \text{due to } g'' \\ \text{factors in 10d} \end{array} \right\}$$

Tadpoles \rightarrow in many models

$$f_0, h_3, N_{O_6} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

⑦

So schematically :

$$V = \frac{e^{4\phi}}{R^6} - \frac{e^{3\phi}}{R^9} + \frac{e^{2\phi}}{R^{12}} + f_4^2 \frac{e^{4\phi}}{R^{14}}$$

Important point : \exists a scaling

$$f_4 \sim N$$

$$g_s = e^{\phi} \sim N^{-3/4}$$

$$R \sim N^{1/4}$$

that :

a) Makes all contributions equally important

b) Takes, at large N , R large, g_s small, and for any value

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^2}{R^2} \sim N$$

(8)

So vacua of this system quite plausibly exist in infinite families parametrized by \underline{N} , with arbitrarily large radius, weak coupling, and large hierarchy between 4d curvature & KK scale.

c.f. Sghman /
Silverstein
NonSUSY

Corrections? Most obvious worry is large $f_4 \rightarrow$ higher derivative terms $\propto |F_4|^2$ may be large?

Not so. Such a correction is \sim to:

- f_4^2 , manifestly
- 4 powers of $g'' \rightarrow R^{-8}$
- 2 powers of g_s (NR vertex ops)

⑨

Overall scales like

$$N^2 \cdot \frac{1}{N^2} \cdot \frac{1}{N^{3/2}} \rightarrow$$

$$\lambda = N^{-3/2} \text{ controls corrections}$$

Other conceivable corrections (back-reaction from 06 background fields, corrections to 4d EQFT) can also be easily argued to be controlled by $1/N$.

While quite schematic, this toy argument captures essence († right N scalings) of the class of real Calabi-Yau models we have constructed, with all moduli fixed.

(1)

The involution should act on the Kähler form J , hol. 3-form Ω , as:

$$\sigma^* J = -J, \quad \sigma^* \Omega = \bar{\Omega}$$

- Fixed loci are special Lagrangian 3-cycles Σ_n :

$$J|_{\Sigma_n} = 0, \quad \text{Im } \Omega|_{\Sigma_n} = 0$$

Ob planes wrap the Σ_n .

After doing projection to orientifold invariant fields:

Kähler moduli space

Surviving modes from $H^{1,1} \Rightarrow$

$$t_a = iV_a + b_a$$

(12)

$$J_c = \sum_{a=1}^{h^{2,1}} t_a W_a$$

$\{W_a\}$ basis for $H^{2,1}$

Dim' reduction \Rightarrow

$$K^k(t, \bar{t}) = -\log \left[\frac{4}{3} \kappa_{abc} v^a v^b v^c \right]$$

$$\kappa_{abc} = \int_M W_a \wedge W_b \wedge W_c$$

Complex structure moduli space

Quaternionic M_{hyper} is cut to space of complex dim $h^{2,1} + 1$.

Symplectic basis α_i, β_j for $H^3(M) \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ even/odd bases

$$\{\alpha_k, \beta_\lambda\} \quad \{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_k\}$$

$$k = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{h} \quad \lambda = \bar{h} + 1, \dots, h^{2,1}$$

(\bar{h} is basis dependent)

⑬

The \mathbb{Z}_2 invariant moduli can be summarized in the object

$$\Omega_c \equiv C_3 + 2i (\text{Re } C\Omega)$$

$$C \equiv e^{-D} + k^{c.s.}/2$$

$$e^D \equiv \frac{e^\phi}{[\text{vol}(M)]^{1/2}}$$

$$k^{c.s.} \equiv -\ln [i \int \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}]$$

The surviving moduli are then the expansion of Ω_c in H_3^+ :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} N_k &= \frac{1}{2} \int \Omega_c \wedge \beta_k \\ T_\lambda &= i \int \Omega_c \wedge d\lambda \end{aligned} \right\} h^{2,1} \text{ of them}$$

Their Kähler potential is:

$$K^Q = -2 \ln [2 \int \text{Re}(C\Omega) \wedge * \text{Re}(C\Omega)]$$

(14)

Fluxes & Superpotential

Can turn on nonzero NS & RR

Fluxes, consistent w/ orientifold

projection:

- Allowed H_3, F_2 odd
- Allowed F_4 even

$$H_3 = q_\lambda d\lambda - p_\kappa \beta_\kappa$$

$$F_2 = -M\alpha W_\alpha$$

$$F_4 = e_a \tilde{W}_a$$

$$F_0 = M_0$$

$$(\text{and } \int_M F_6 \rightarrow e_0)$$

$H_+^{2,2}$ is Poincaré dual to $H_-^{1,1}$

Reducing 10d action explicitly \Rightarrow

(15)

$$V = e^k \left(\sum_{i,j} g^{ij} D_i W \overline{D_j W} - 3|W|^2 \right) + \underbrace{M_0 e^{k_Q} \text{Im } W^Q}_{\text{Huh?}}$$

where:

$$K \equiv K^k + K^Q$$

$$W(t_a, N_k, T_\lambda) = W^Q(N_k, T_\lambda) + W^k(t_a)$$

$$W^Q = \int \Omega_c \wedge H_3$$

$$W^k = e_0 + \int J_c \wedge F_4 +$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int J_c \wedge J_c \wedge F_2 - \frac{1}{6} M_0 \int J_c \wedge J_c \wedge J_c$$

The "extra" term Huh? cancels

when one enforces tadpoles &

includes contribution of 06 tensions.

(16)

- W depends on all CY moduli + dilaton classically, in contrast to IIB + Heterotic systems.

Its now straight forward to write down the eqns for SUSY vacua

$$D_{\mu a} W = D_{N_k} W = D_{T_\lambda} W = 0$$

+ search for SUSY vacua.

Upshot: In a 'generic' IIA CY orientifold, fluxes can: (classically)

- Fix all complexified Kähler moduli
- Fix all cplx str modes of M
- Fix dilaton
- Fix one linear combination of axion partners of complex/dilaton moduli

(17)

'generic' means

- M_0 , \geq one H_3 flux $\neq 0$
- One F_2 or F_4 flux per Kähler mode

Comments:

- Can we explicitly get all moduli?

YES. In paper, discuss orientifold of

\mathbb{Z} -manifold $\left\{ (T^6 / \mathbb{Z}_3) / \mathbb{Z}_3 \right\} \leftarrow$ Further free \mathbb{Z}_3
c.f. Strominger '86

$$h^{1,1}(M) = 12 \quad h^{2,1}(M) = 0$$

\swarrow
 \rightarrow 9 \mathbb{P}^2 's at $\mathbb{C}^3 / \mathbb{Z}_3$ sing.

\searrow
 \rightarrow 3 "p" moduli of $T^2_s \subset T^6$

Turning on $O(1)$ F_0, H_3 &

- f units of F_4 in each \mathbb{P}^2
- N units of F_4 in each $(T^2)^2 \Rightarrow$

19

find vacua with:

- $g_s \sim N^{-3/4}$
 - $R \text{ of } T^2 \sim N^{1/4}$
 - radius of each $\mathbb{P}^2 \sim f^{1/4}$
- } Same scaling as toy model!

Large N, f regime ($f \leq N$ to stay in Kähler cone) \Rightarrow

Infinite # of vacua,
arbitrarily weak g_s +
large R , and

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^2}{R^2} \sim N$$

$\Rightarrow \exists$ 4d EQFT, unlike in
Freund-Rubin vacua (which also
come in ∞ families).

(19)

- \exists a "projected in" Euclidean D2 brane \forall unfixed axions in models w/ $h^{2,1} > 0$. One expects, ala BBS, that such effects may fix all axions in such cases.
- Known WS instanton corrections to $\mathcal{N}=2$ prepotential, could be incorporated into RR Flux W . We will not do this here because already classical $W \Rightarrow$ vacua at large R , where $e^{-\text{Area}} \rightarrow 0$.

IV. Concluding Remarks

Recent extensions of these results:

(20)

Cumara,
Funt,
Ibanez

- Present models in this framework with MSSM-like low energy th + moduli fixing

Saueressig,
Theis,
Vandoren

- Demonstrate that inclusion of non-perturbative corrections to "Universal hyper" (dilaton) can \rightarrow de Sitter vacua in this setting

Most striking contrast to IB results: infinite # of vacua, parametric control:

$$N_{\text{vacua}} (R \leq R_{\text{max}}) \sim (R_{\text{max}})^4$$

in our simple e.g.

(21)

Obviously, one can restore finiteness if one wishes, by well motivated physical cuts ("no KK modes with mass $< (mM)^{-1}$ ").

However, one should then worry that results of any attempted statistical prediction could be cut-off dominated.

Much work remains to be done in fleshing out the basic physics & duality relations between IB/IIA/Heterotic / ... ensembles of vacua.