Three-point correlators from string theory amplitudes

Joseph Minahan

Uppsala University



arXiv:1206.3129 Till Bargheer, Raul Pereira, JAM: arXiv:1311.7461; Raul Pereira, JAM: arXiv:1407.xxxx

Strings 2014 in Princeton; 27 June

Introduction

Spectrum of local operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM effectively solved in the planar limit. Determined by:

- Integrability: Asymptotic Bethe ansatz Staudacher (2004), Beisert-Staudacher (2005), Beisert (2005), Janik (2006), Eden-Staudacher (2006), Beisert-Hernandez-Lopez (2006), Beisert-Eden-Staudacher (2006) ...
- Finite size complications (winding effects). Handled by TBA, Y-system, Hirota, FiNLIE, Q-functions Ambjorn-Janik-Kristjansen (2005), Bajnok-Janik (2008), Gromov-Kazakov-Vieira (2009,2009), G-K-Kozac-V (2009), Arutyunov-Frolov (2008,2009), Bombardelli-Fioravanti-Tateo (2009), Frolov (2010), Gromov-Kazakov-Leurent-Volin (2011, 2013, 2014) ...

A key example: Konishi operator: $\mathcal{O}_{K} = \operatorname{tr}(\phi^{I}\phi^{I});$ Primary: $[K^{\mu}, \mathcal{O}_{K}(0)] = 0; SO(6)$ singlet



▶ ▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● ④ ● ●

Besides the spectrum, to really solve the theory we need the three-point correlators.

Correlator for three local operators:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2)\mathcal{O}_3(x_3) \rangle = \frac{\mathcal{C}_{123}}{|x_{12}|^{2\alpha_3}|x_{23}|^{2\alpha_1}|x_{31}|^{2\alpha_2}}$$

$$\begin{split} \alpha_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1) \qquad \alpha_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_3 + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2) \qquad \alpha_3 &= \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) \\ \mathcal{C}_{123} &\sim N^{-1} \text{ for } N \gg 1. \end{split}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 \mathcal{C}_{123} is protected for 3 chiral primaries.

- Chiral primary $\mathcal{O}_C(x)$: $[Q, \mathcal{O}_C(0)] = 0$ for half the Q's
- The gravity duals are K-K modes in the AdS₅ × S⁵ type IIB supergravity
- Supergravity calculation shows that C₁₂₃ at large λ is the same as the zero-coupling result Lee, Minwalla, Rangamani and Seiberg (1998)

Nonchiral primaries are not dual to sugra states but to massive string states.

- "Heavy" operators: Dual to long classical strings that stretch across the $AdS_5 \times S^5$.
- Semiclassical string calculation for 3-point correlators

Janik-Surowka-Wereszczynski (2010)

- Two heavy, one light Zarembo (2010), Costa-Monteiro-Santos-Zoakos (2010), Roiban-Tseytlin (2010) ...
- Three heavy Janik-Wereszczynski (2011), Buchbinder-Tseytlin (2011), Klose-McLoughlin (2011), Kazama-Komatsu (2011-13), ...
- The Konishi operator is neither semi-classical nor light it is dual to a short string state.
- Can one compute the 3-point correlators involving at least one Konishi operator for λ ≫ 1?

- General idea: Since Konishi is short it doesn't see the curvature of AdS₅ × S⁵ (R = 1) ⇒ use the flat-space limit.
- ► Flat-space for the spectrum: Gubser-Klebanov-Polyakov (1998) String size $\sim \sqrt{\alpha'} = \lambda^{-1/4} \ll 1$

Flat-space closed strings: $m^2 = 4n/\alpha' = 4n \lambda^{1/2}$ AdS/CFT dictionary: $m^2 = \Delta^2 - d\Delta \approx \Delta^2$ $\Delta \approx 2\sqrt{n} \lambda^{1/4}$ n = 1 for Konishi

Back to the Gromov-Kazakov-Vieira plot:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Back to the Gromov-Kazakov-Vieira plot:



▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲ 臣 > ▲ 臣 > → 臣 = ∽ 의 < ⊙ < ⊙

3-point correlators in supergravity Witten (1998)



Freedman-Mathur-Matusis-Rastelli (1998):

 Boundary to bulk propagators meet at an intersection point.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Integrate over the intersection point.
- Multiply by sugra coupling G₁₂₃

3-point correlators in supergravity Witten (1998)



Freedman-Mathur-Matusis-Rastelli (1998):

 Boundary to bulk propagators meet at an intersection point.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Integrate over the intersection point.
- Multiply by sugra coupling G₁₂₃



- For Konishi operators treat as point-like outside the intersection region using AdS propagators.
- Treat as strings in the intersection region.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ



- For Konishi operators treat as point-like outside the intersection region using AdS propagators.
- Treat as strings in the intersection region.
- Small interaction region: use flat-space string vertex operators to find the couplings.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



- For Konishi operators treat as point-like outside the intersection region using AdS propagators.
- Treat as strings in the intersection region.
- Small interaction region: use flat-space string vertex operators to find the couplings.
- Which vertex operators?

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

3-point correlators-particle path integrals in AdS

Three incoming particles meet at a joining point: $x^{\mu}(s_0) = x^{\mu}$, $z(s_0) = z$.



$$\mathcal{Z}_{123} \approx \frac{\pi^{-4}}{4} \frac{(\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3)}{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \Sigma^{d+1})^{1/2}} \frac{\alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha_3^{-2}}{\Delta_1^{\Delta_1} \Delta_2^{\Delta_2} \Delta_3^{\Delta_3}} \frac{1}{|x_{12}|^{2\alpha_3} |x_{23}|^{2\alpha_1} |x_{31}|^{2\alpha_2}} \mathcal{G}_{123}$$

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

3-point correlators-particle path integrals in AdS

Three incoming particles meet at a joining point: $(x^{\mu}(s_0) = x^{\mu}, z(s_0) = z)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{123} \equiv \frac{x_1}{4} \frac{x_2}{(\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3)^{d/4}} \frac{x_3}{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \Sigma^{d+1})^{1/2}} \frac{x_1^{\alpha_1} \alpha_2^{\alpha_2} \alpha_3^{\alpha_3} \Sigma^{\Sigma}}{\Delta_1^{\Delta_1} \Delta_2^{\Delta_2} \Delta_3^{\Delta_3}} \mathcal{G}_{123} \\ \approx \frac{2^{3/2} \Gamma(\alpha_1) \Gamma(\alpha_2) \Gamma(\alpha_3) \Gamma(\Sigma - d/2)}{\pi^{d/4} [\Gamma(\Delta_1) \Gamma(\Delta_2) \Gamma(\Delta_3) \Gamma(\Delta_1 + \frac{2 - d}{2}) \Gamma(\Delta_2 + \frac{2 - d}{2})]^{1/2}} \mathcal{G}_{123} \end{aligned}$$

Freedman-Mathur-Matusis-Rastelli (1998)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\mathcal{G}_{123} = \mathcal{V}_{123} \left\langle \psi_{J_1} \psi_{J_2} \psi_{J_3} \right\rangle$$

 $\mathcal{C}_{123} = \mathcal{V}_{123} \times (AdS_5 \times S^5 \text{ Overlaps})$

String vertex operators: Strategy

- Let $\Delta_i \gg 1$.
- ▶ States are wave-packets with wavelength $\sim \Delta^{-1}$, spread $\sim \Delta^{-1/2}$
- \blacktriangleright \Rightarrow Treat as plane-waves in the intersection region Polchinski (1999)
- Momentum: $k_{Mi} = (\Pi_{\mu i}, \Pi_{zi}; \vec{J}_i), M = 0 \dots 9$
- Flat-space factors of $(2\pi)^{10}\delta^{10}(k_1+k_2+k_3)$ replaced with $AdS_5 \times S^5$ overlaps.
- Use level 1 (0) flat-space vertex operators for Konishi (chiral primaries).

$$k^2 = -\Delta^2 + J^2 = -4n/\alpha' = -4n\sqrt{\lambda}$$

- \hat{J} can be set to 0 for level 1, but not for level 0.
- The coupling factor uses the string result

$$\mathcal{V}_{123} = rac{8\pi}{g_c^2 lpha'} \langle V(k_1) V(k_2) V(k_3)
angle$$

Polchinski, String Theory, Vol 1, 2. $g_c = \pi^{3/2} N^{-1}$ in AdS/CFT dictionary

Which vertex operators?

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra in manifest SO(2, 4) form:

$$M_{\mu\nu}, \quad M_{-1\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (P_{\mu} - K_{\mu}) \qquad M_{4\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (P_{\mu} + K_{\mu}) \qquad M_{-14} \equiv -D,$$

$$Q^{1}_{\dot{a}a} \equiv (Q_{\alpha a}, \tilde{S}_{\dot{\alpha}a}), \quad Q^{2\dot{a}a} \equiv (\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} S^{a}_{\beta}, \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \tilde{Q}^{a}_{\dot{\beta}}) \quad \alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2; \ \dot{a} = 1 \dots 4$$

$$\{Q^{1}_{\dot{a}a}, Q^{2\dot{b}b}\} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{a}{}^{b} M_{mn} \gamma^{mn}{}_{\dot{a}}{}^{\dot{b}} - \frac{i}{2} \delta_{\dot{a}}{}^{\dot{b}} R_{IJ} \gamma^{IJ}{}_{a}{}^{b}, \qquad m, n = -1, \dots 4$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Which vertex operators?

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra in manifest SO(2, 4) form:

$$\begin{split} M_{\mu\nu} \,, \quad M_{-1\mu} &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (P_{\mu} - K_{\mu}) \qquad M_{4\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (P_{\mu} + K_{\mu}) \qquad M_{-14} \equiv -D \,, \\ Q^{1}_{\dot{a}a} &\equiv (Q_{\alpha a}, \tilde{S}_{\dot{\alpha}a}) \,, \qquad Q^{2\dot{a}a} \equiv (\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} S^{a}_{\beta}, \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \tilde{Q}^{a}_{\dot{\beta}}) \quad \alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2; \ \dot{a} = 1 \dots 4 \\ &\{Q^{1}_{\dot{a}a}, Q^{2\dot{b}b}\} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{a}{}^{b} M_{mn} \gamma^{mn}{}_{\dot{a}}{}^{\dot{b}} - \frac{i}{2} \delta_{\dot{a}}{}^{\dot{b}} R_{IJ} \gamma^{IJ}{}_{a}{}^{b} \,, \qquad m, n = -1, \dots 4 \end{split}$$
Define:

$$Q_{A}^{L} \equiv Q_{\dot{a}a}^{1} + \gamma_{\dot{b}\dot{a}}^{-1} \gamma_{ba}^{6} Q^{2\dot{b}b} , \ Q_{A}^{R} \equiv i(Q_{\dot{a}a}^{1} - \gamma_{\dot{b}\dot{a}}^{-1} \gamma_{ba}^{6} Q^{2\dot{b}b}) , \ P_{m} \equiv M_{-1,m} , \ P_{J} \equiv R_{J6}$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \{Q_A^{L,R}, Q_B^{L,R}\} = 2\Gamma_{AB}^M P_M + \dots \quad \{Q_A^L, Q_B^R\} = 0$$

10d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Super-Poincaré algebra $A, B = 1 \dots 16, M = 0 \dots 9$

Which vertex operators?

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra in manifest SO(2, 4) form:

$$\begin{split} M_{\mu\nu} \,, \quad M_{-1\mu} &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (P_{\mu} - K_{\mu}) \qquad M_{4\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (P_{\mu} + K_{\mu}) \qquad M_{-14} \equiv -D \,, \\ Q^{1}_{\dot{a}a} &\equiv (Q_{\alpha a}, \tilde{S}_{\dot{\alpha}a}) \,, \qquad Q^{2\dot{a}a} \equiv (\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} S^{a}_{\beta}, \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \tilde{Q}^{a}_{\dot{\beta}}) \quad \alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2; \ \dot{a} = 1 \dots 4 \\ &\{Q^{1}_{\dot{a}a}, Q^{2\dot{b}b}\} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{a}{}^{b} M_{mn} \gamma^{mn}{}_{\dot{a}}{}^{\dot{b}} - \frac{i}{2} \delta_{\dot{a}}{}^{\dot{b}} R_{IJ} \gamma^{IJ}{}_{a}{}^{b} \,, \qquad m, n = -1, \dots 4 \\ \end{split}$$
Define:

$$Q_{A}^{L} \equiv Q_{\dot{a}a}^{1} + \gamma_{\dot{b}\dot{a}}^{-1} \gamma_{ba}^{6} Q^{2\dot{b}b} , \ Q_{A}^{R} \equiv i(Q_{\dot{a}a}^{1} - \gamma_{\dot{b}\dot{a}}^{-1} \gamma_{ba}^{6} Q^{2\dot{b}b}) , \ P_{m} \equiv M_{-1,m} , \ P_{J} \equiv R_{J6}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \{Q_A^{L,R}, Q_B^{L,R}\} = 2\Gamma_{AB}^M P_M + \dots \quad \{Q_A^L, Q_B^R\} = 0$$

10d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Super-Poincaré algebra $A, B = 1 \dots 16, M = 0 \dots 9$

Primary Operator: $K^{\mu}\mathcal{O}(0) = 0 \implies S^{b}_{\alpha}\mathcal{O}(0) = \widetilde{S}_{\dot{\alpha} \ b}\mathcal{O}(0) = 0$ Flat-space: $Q^{L} = \pm i \ Q^{R}$ (sign depends on component)

(ロ) (国) (E) (E) (E) (O)(C)

String vertex operators

 \Rightarrow Mixing of NS-NS and R-R modes:

$$Q^{L}(|NS\rangle \otimes |NS\rangle + |R\rangle \otimes |R\rangle) = |R\rangle \otimes |NS\rangle + |NS\rangle \otimes R\rangle$$

 $Q^{\kappa}(|NS\rangle \otimes |NS\rangle + |R\rangle \otimes |R\rangle) = |NS\rangle \otimes |R\rangle + |R\rangle \otimes NS\rangle$

Setting $Q^L = \pm i Q^R$ requires a mixture of both sets of fields

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

String vertex operators

Choosing components:



- ► Boundary: $k = (\vec{0}, i\Delta; \vec{J}) \Rightarrow Q_{\alpha a}^{L} = +i Q_{\alpha a}^{R}, \quad Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{L^{a}} = -i Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{R^{a}}$ $\alpha, \dot{\alpha}$ are 4-d space-time spinors. *a* are \perp *SO*(6) spinor indices
- ▶ Bulk: $k = (\vec{k}_A; \vec{J}) \Rightarrow Q^L_{\alpha'a'} = +i Q^R_{\alpha'a'}, \ Q^L_{\dot{\alpha}'} = -i Q^{R^{a'}}_{\alpha}$ $\alpha', \dot{\alpha}'$ are spinors in 4-d space \perp to k_A . a' are \perp SO(6) spinor indices

String vertex operators: Massless example

► First consider a "twisted" version: set $Q_L = i Q_R$ for all spin comps. Then untwist by rotating the righthand part of the state: $T = exp(i\pi(M_{0'1'} + M_{2'3'})_R)$

$$k^{M} = (\vec{\Delta}; \vec{J}) \Rightarrow k^{2} = 0 NS-NS: \qquad W_{1} = g_{c} \varepsilon_{MN} \psi^{M} \tilde{\psi}^{N} e^{-\phi - \tilde{\phi}} e^{ik \cdot X}, \quad k^{M} \varepsilon_{MN} = 0 R-R: \qquad W_{2} = g_{c} \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{1/2} t^{AB} \Theta_{A} \tilde{\Theta}_{B} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}} e^{ik \cdot X}, \quad t \not k = 0$$

• Only solution to $Q_L = i Q_R$: $\varepsilon_{MN}^T = \eta_{MN} - \frac{k_M \bar{k}_N}{k \cdot \bar{k}}$, $t_T^{AB} = (C k)^{AB}$

Mix of dilaton and axion; descendant of the chiral primary (LMRS)

String vertex operators: Massless example

- Untwist: dilaton \rightarrow graviton, axion \rightarrow self-dual tensor
- Normalized vertex: $W(k) = -\frac{1}{4}(W_1(k) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}W_2(k))$

• Amplitude:
$$\mathcal{V}_{123} = \frac{8\pi}{g_c^2 \alpha'} \langle W(k_1)W(k_2)W(k_3) \rangle = 8\pi g_c \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \Sigma^5}{J_1^2 J_2^2 J_3^2}$$

Using AdS/CFT dictionary and overlap integrals:

$$\mathcal{C}_{123} \approx \frac{1}{N} \left(J_1 J_2 J_3 \right)^{1/2} \frac{\alpha_1^{\alpha_1} \alpha_2^{\alpha_2} \alpha_3^{\alpha_3} \Sigma^{\Sigma}}{J_1^{J_1} J_2^{J_2} J_3^{J_3}} \qquad \checkmark \quad \text{agrees with LMRS}$$

String vertex ops: Level one (Untwisted)

- Relevant vert. ops. can be found in 1980's literature
 - (FMS (1985); Kostelecky-Lechtenfeld-Lerche-Samuel-Watamura (1987); Koh, Troost, van Proeyen (1987))
- $Q_L = i Q_R$ requires two types of NS-NS and one R-R

$$\begin{split} V_{1T}(k) &= g_{c}\left(\frac{2}{\alpha'}\right)\sigma_{MN;\tilde{M}\tilde{N}}\psi^{M}(z)\partial X^{N}\tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{M}}(\bar{z})\bar{\partial}X^{\tilde{N}}e^{-\phi-\tilde{\phi}}e^{ik\cdot X},\\ V_{2T}(k) &= g_{c}\,\alpha_{MNL;\tilde{M}\tilde{N}\tilde{L}}\psi^{M}(z)\psi^{N}(z)\psi^{L}(z)\,\psi^{\tilde{M}}(\bar{z})\psi^{\tilde{L}}(\bar{z})\,e^{-\phi-\tilde{\phi}}e^{ik\cdot X}\\ V_{3T}(k) &= g_{c}\left(\frac{2}{\alpha'}\right)^{1/2}\left[i\bar{\partial}X^{M}\tilde{\Theta} - \left(\frac{\alpha'}{16}\right)\tilde{\psi}^{M}k\tilde{\psi}\tilde{\Theta}\right]e^{-\tilde{\phi}/2}\\ &\times C\,k(\hat{\eta}_{MN} - \frac{1}{9}\hat{\Gamma}_{M}\hat{\Gamma}_{N})\left[i\partial X^{N}\Theta - \left(\frac{\alpha'}{16}\right)\psi^{N}k\psi\Theta\right]e^{-\phi/2}e^{ikX} \end{split}$$

where
$$\sigma_{MN;\tilde{M}\tilde{N}} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\eta}_{M\tilde{M}}\hat{\eta}_{N\tilde{N}} + \hat{\eta}_{M\tilde{N}}\hat{\eta}_{N\tilde{M}}) - \frac{1}{9}\hat{\eta}_{MN}\hat{\eta}_{\tilde{M}\tilde{N}}$$

 $\alpha_{MNL;\tilde{M}\tilde{N}\tilde{L}} = \frac{1}{3!}(\hat{\eta}_{M\tilde{M}}\hat{\eta}_{N\tilde{N}}\hat{\eta}_{L\tilde{L}} - \text{ perms})$
 $\hat{\eta}_{MN} \equiv \eta_{MN} - \frac{k_Mk_N}{k^2} \qquad \hat{\Gamma}^M = \Gamma^M - k k^M / k^2$

Results for three Konishi operators

- Untwist
- ▶ ⇒ Normalized vertex: $V(k) = \frac{1}{16} \left(V_1(k) + V_2(k) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_3(k) \right)$
- Compute $\langle V(k_1)V(k_2)V(k_3)\rangle$
 - $k_i = (\Delta; 0), \ \Delta = 2\lambda^{1/4} 2 + \dots$
 - Various combinations:
 - $\langle V_1(k_1)V_1(k_2)V_1(k_3)\rangle, \quad \langle V_1(k_1)V_1(k_2)V_2(k_3)\rangle,$
 - $\langle V_1(k_1)V_3(k_2)V_3(k_3)\rangle, \ \langle V_2(k_1)V_3(k_2)V_3(k_3)\rangle, \ etc.$
- ▶ Nasty combinatorics: $\langle V_2(k_1)V_3(k_2)V_3(k_3)\rangle$ is especially horrific.

Results for three Konishi operators

- Untwist
- ▶ ⇒ Normalized vertex: $V(k) = \frac{1}{16} \left(V_1(k) + V_2(k) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_3(k) \right)$
- Compute $\langle V(k_1)V(k_2)V(k_3)\rangle$
 - $k_i = (\Delta; 0), \ \Delta = 2\lambda^{1/4} 2 + \dots$
 - ▶ Various combinations: $\langle V_1(k_1)V_1(k_2)V_1(k_3)\rangle$, $\langle V_1(k_1)V_1(k_2)V_2(k_3)\rangle$, $\langle V_1(k_1)V_3(k_2)V_3(k_3)\rangle$, $\langle V_2(k_1)V_3(k_2)V_3(k_3)\rangle$, etc.
- ▶ Nasty combinatorics: $\langle V_2(k_1)V_3(k_2)V_3(k_3)\rangle$ is especially horrific.

• But big simplification: $\langle V(k_1)V(k_2)V(k_3)\rangle = g_c^3 \frac{3^8}{2^9}$

$$\blacktriangleright \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{123} \approx \frac{1}{N} (4 \cdot 3^5 \pi)^{1/2} \lambda^{1/4} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{3\lambda^{1/4}}$$

- Explicit λ dependence
- Suppression for large λ

Two chiral primaries and a Konishi

• Two chiral primaries with *R*-charge +J and -J

$$C_{123} \approx \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} 2^{-\Delta} J^{2(1-J)} (J - \frac{1}{2}\Delta)^{J - \Delta/2 - 1/2} (J + \frac{1}{2}\Delta)^{J + \Delta/2 + 3/2}$$

 \blacktriangleright Extremal limit: Intersection point approaches the boundary Singular as $J \rightarrow_+ \Delta/2$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Two chiral primaries and a Konishi

• Two chiral primaries with *R*-charge +J and -J

$$C_{123} \approx \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} 2^{-\Delta} J^{2(1-J)} (J - \frac{1}{2}\Delta)^{J - \Delta/2 - 1/2} (J + \frac{1}{2}\Delta)^{J + \Delta/2 + 3/2}$$

 \blacktriangleright Extremal limit: Intersection point approaches the boundary Singular as $J \rightarrow_+ \Delta/2$



Analyze more closely: Use exact FMMR result

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}_{123} &= \frac{\sqrt{(\Delta_1 - 1)(\Delta_2 - 1)(\Delta_3 - 1)}}{2^{5/2}\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)\Gamma(\alpha_3)\Gamma(\Sigma - 2)}{\Gamma(\Delta_1)\Gamma(\Delta_2)\Gamma(\Delta_3)} \, \mathcal{G}_{123} \\ &\approx \frac{16}{N} \lambda^{3/8} \frac{1}{2J - \Delta} \quad \text{ as } \alpha_3 = 2J - \Delta \to 0 \end{split}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Two chiral primaries and a Konishi

• Two chiral primaries with *R*-charge +J and -J

$$C_{123} \approx \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{\lambda}} 2^{-\Delta} J^{2(1-J)} (J - \frac{1}{2}\Delta)^{J - \Delta/2 - 1/2} (J + \frac{1}{2}\Delta)^{J + \Delta/2 + 3/2}$$

 \blacktriangleright Extremal limit: Intersection point approaches the boundary Singular as $J \rightarrow_+ \Delta/2$



Analyze more closely: Use exact FMMR result

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{123} &= \frac{\sqrt{(\Delta_1 - 1)(\Delta_2 - 1)(\Delta_3 - 1)}}{2^{5/2}\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)\Gamma(\alpha_3)\Gamma(\Sigma - 2)}{\Gamma(\Delta_1)\Gamma(\Delta_2)\Gamma(\Delta_3)} \mathcal{G}_{123} \\ &\approx \frac{16}{N} \lambda^{3/8} \frac{1}{2J - \Delta} \qquad \text{as } \alpha_3 = 2J - \Delta \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

No pole for 3 chiral primaries LMRS, D'Hoker-FMMR (1999)

▶ Pole indicates mixing of \mathcal{O}_{Δ} with double trace op. $\mathcal{O}_{J\bar{J}} =: \mathcal{O}_{J}\mathcal{O}_{\bar{J}}:$

Splitting at the crossover



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ● ●

Splitting at the crossover



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Splitting: $\delta \Delta = \frac{16}{N} \sqrt{M} \lambda^{3/8}$

Splitting at the crossover



Splitting: $\delta \Delta = \frac{16}{N} \sqrt{M} \lambda^{3/8}$

Interesting to compare with recent bootstrap results Beem-Rastelli-van Rees (*to appear*)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Discussion

- There has been much progress on 3-point correlators at low loop orders using integrability: Escobedo-Gromov-Sever-Vieira (2010,2011), Gromov-Sever-Vieira (2011), Georgiou (2011), Bissi-Harmaark-Orselli (2011), Gromov-Vieira (2011,2012), Kostov (2012, 2012), Serban (2012), Grignani-Zayakin (2012), Plefka-Wiegant (2012), Bissi-Grignani-Zayakin (2012), Foda-Jiang-Kostov-Serban (2013), Jiang-Kostov-Loebbert-Serban (2014), Caetano-Fleury (2014)
- We can also do 3-point correlators containing an operator with nonzero spin. Compares favorably with recent results using Mellin amplitudes on Regge trajectories Costa-Goncalves-Penedones (2012)
- Many possible generalizations:
 - More massive operators at n = 2 or higher.
 - Can study four-point correlators and duality of operator products.
- The simplifications suggest an underlying symmetry playing an important role.
- Perhaps these results can help lead us to the exact vertex operators in AdS₅ × S⁵.