Strings 2014 @ Princeton, June 23-27th, 2014

Quantum Entanglement and Local Operators

Tadashi Takayanagi

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics (YITP), Kyoto University

Based on arXiv:1401.0539 [PRL 112(2014)111602] arXiv:1403.0702, arXiv:1405.5946 (see also arXiv: arXiv:1302.5703 [JHEP05(2013)080])



with Pawel Caputa (YITP), Song He (YITP), Masahiro Nozaki (YITP) Tokiro Numasawa (YITP) and Kento Watanabe (YITP).

Introduction

In QFTs, the entanglement entropy (EE) provides us a universal physical quantity (~order parameter).

For example, we can characterize the degrees of freedom of CFTs (~central charges) from the EE for ground states.

(i) 2d CFT
$$S_A = \frac{c}{3} \log \frac{l}{\varepsilon}$$
.

[Holzhey-Larsen-Wilczek 94, Calabrese-Cardy 04,...]

(ii)
$$\operatorname{3d} \operatorname{CFT}_{A(=S^1)} = \gamma \cdot \frac{l}{\varepsilon} - F.$$

(iii) 4d CFT $S_{A(=S^{2})} = \gamma \cdot \frac{l^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} - 4a \cdot \log \frac{l}{\varepsilon} + s.$ [Ryu-TT 06, Solodukhin 08, Sinha-Myers 10, Casini-Huerta-Myers 11...]

[F-th: Jafferis-Klebanov-Pufu-Safdi 11, Entropic proof: Casini-Huerta 12]

Casini-Huerta-Myers 11,...]

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & &$$

It is also helpful to look at (n-th) Renyi entanglement entropy (REE) which generalizes the EE :

$$S_A^{(n)} = \frac{1}{1-n} \cdot \log \operatorname{Tr}[(\rho_A)^n].$$

 $\lim_{n \to 1} S_A^{(n)} = -\text{Tr}[\rho_A \log \rho_A] = S_A \quad . \quad (\text{Tr}[\rho_A] = 1).$

If we know all of $S_A^{(n)}$, we find all eigenvalues of ρ_A . (so called entanglement spectrum) In **gravity**, we might expect that quantum entanglement gives a quantum bit of spacetime (~ a plank size unit).





The entanglement entropy is also a useful quantity to characterize **excited states**.

Well-studied examples are quantum quenches:

[Calabrese-Cardy 05, 07,, Liu's talk]

(a) Global quantum quenches $m(t) \uparrow S_A \propto c \cdot (t/\varepsilon)$.

(b) Local quantum quenches

$$S_A^{2d} \propto c \cdot \log(t/\varepsilon)$$
.



Here we want to focus on more elementary excited states:(c) Local operator insertions at a time

$$\Rightarrow S_A = ?$$
 (The main aim of this talk)

Consider excited states defined by local operators:

$$O(x) \rangle \equiv O(x) | 0 \rangle.$$

We study

$$\Delta S_A^{(n)} \equiv S_A^{(n)} \left[O(x) \right] - S_A^{(n)} \left[0 \right].$$

S_A⁽ⁿ⁾ ~ Loss of information when we assume that the region B is invisible.
 ~ ``degrees of freedom'' of the operator O.

Two limits



(1) $l \rightarrow 0$ limit (\approx small energy limit) In this case, we find a property analogous to the first law of thermodynamics: $\Delta S^{(n)} [O$

 $\Delta S_A^{(n)} \Big[O \Big\rangle \Big] \propto \Delta E_A$

[Bhattacharya-Nozaki-Ugajin-TT 12, Blanco-Casini-Hung-Myers 13, Wong-Klich-Pando Zayas-Vaman 13 ..., Raamsdonk's talk]

(2) $l \rightarrow \infty$ limit (\approx large energy limit) This leads to a very `entropic' quantity !

⇒ The main purpose of this talk.

[Nozaki-Numasawa-TT 14, He-Numasawa-Watanabe-TT 14, Caputa-Nozaki-TT 14]

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Replica Calculations of EE for locally excited states
- ③ Case 1: Free scalar CFTs in any dimensions
- (4) Case 2: Rational 2d CFTs
- 5 Case 3: Large N CFTs and AdS/CFT
- 6 Conclusions

2 Replica Calculations of EE for locally excited states

(2-1) Replica method for ground states

A basic method to find EE in QFTs is the **replica method**.

$$S_A = -\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \log \operatorname{Tr}_A (\rho_A)^n |_{n=1}$$

In the path-integral formalism, the ground state wave function $|\Psi\rangle$ can be expressed as follows:





(2-2) Replica Method for Excited States

We want to calculate $\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_A)^n$ for

$$\begin{split} \rho_A(t,x) &= e^{-iHt} e^{-\varepsilon H} O(x) \big| 0 \big\rangle \big\langle 0 \big| O(x) e^{-\varepsilon H} e^{iHt} \\ &= O(\tau_e,x) \big| 0 \big\rangle \big\langle 0 \big| O(\tau_l,x), \\ &(\tau_e \equiv -\varepsilon - it, \quad \tau_l \equiv -\varepsilon + it), \end{split}$$
 where ε is the UV regulator for the operator.

Here we consider a d + 1 dim. CFT on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . $(\tau, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \implies \text{We set } x_1 + i\tau = re^{i\theta}.$ In this way, the Renyi EE can be expressed in terms of correlation functions (2n-point function etc.) on Σ_n : $\Delta S_A^{(n)} = \frac{1}{1-n} \cdot \left[\log \left\langle O(r_l, \theta_l^n) O(r_e, \theta_e^n) \cdots O(r_l, \theta_l^1) O(r_e, \theta_e^1) \right\rangle_{\Sigma_n} - n \cdot \log \left\langle O(r_l, \theta_l^n) O(r_e, \theta_e^n) \right\rangle_{\Sigma_n} \right].$



③ Case 1: Free scalar CFTs in any dimensions [Numasawa-Nozaki-TT 14]

We focus on the free massless scalar field theory on Σ_n

$$S = \int d^{d+1} x \left[\partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi \right]$$

and calculate 2n-pt functions using the Green function:

$$G_{\Sigma_n}^{d=3}[(r,\theta,\vec{x});(s,\varphi,\vec{y})] = \frac{1}{4n\pi^2 r s(a-1/a)} \cdot \frac{a^{1/n} - a^{-1/n}}{a^{1/n} + a^{-1/n} - 2\cos((\theta-\varphi)/n)},$$

where $\frac{a}{1+a^2} \equiv \frac{rs}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^2 + r^2 + s^2}.$
The operator O is chosen as
 $O_k = :\phi^k:$.

Time evolution in free massless scalar theory



Α

 $\Delta S_A^{(n)f}$ is `topologically invariant' under deformations of A.

$$\Delta S_A^{(n)f}$$
 for $O = \phi^k$ in $d+1 > 2$ dim.

TABLE I. $\Delta S_A^{(n)f}$ and $\Delta S_A^f \left(=\Delta S_A^{(1)f}\right)$ for free massless scalar field theories in dimensions higher than two (d > 1).



Heuristic Explanation

First , notice that in free CFTs, there are definite (quasi) particles moving at the speed of light.

$$\Rightarrow \phi \approx \phi_L + \phi_R \cdot L = A R = B$$

$$\phi^k | \operatorname{vac} \rangle \approx \sum_{j=0}^k C_j \cdot (\phi_L)^j \cdot (\phi_R)^{k-j} | \operatorname{vac} \rangle$$

$$= 2^{-k/2} \sum_{j=0}^k \sqrt{k} C_j | j \rangle_L | k - j \rangle_R.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta S_A^{(n)f} = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[2^{-nk} \sum_{j=0}^k (k C_j)^n \right]$$

$$\Delta S_A^f = k \log 2 - 2^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^k C_j \cdot \log[k C_j].$$
Agree with replica Calculations !

4 Case 2: Rational 2d CFTs [He-Numasawa-Watanabe-TT 14]

(4-1) Free Scalar CFT in 2d

Consider following two operators in the free scalar CFT:

(i)
$$O_1 = e^{i\alpha\phi} : \Rightarrow \Delta S_A^{(n)f} = 0.$$

 $|O_1\rangle = e^{i\alpha\phi_L}|0\rangle_L \otimes e^{i\alpha\phi_R}|0\rangle_R \Rightarrow \text{Direct product state}$
(ii) $O_2 = e^{i\alpha\phi} : + :e^{-i\alpha\phi} : \Rightarrow \Delta S_A^{(n)f} = \log 2.$
 $|O_2\rangle = e^{i\alpha\phi_L}|0\rangle_L \otimes e^{i\alpha\phi_R}|0\rangle_R + e^{-i\alpha\phi_L}|0\rangle_L \otimes e^{-i\alpha\phi_R}|0\rangle_R$
 $\approx |\uparrow\rangle_L |\uparrow\rangle_R + |\downarrow\rangle_L |\downarrow\rangle_R \Rightarrow \text{EPR state}$

(4-2) General Results for 2d Rational CFTs

First, focus on n=2 REE and assume O = a primary op. We can employ the following conformal map: $\Sigma_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma_1$

$$z = \sqrt{w} = \sqrt{re^{i\theta}}$$

It is straightforward to rewrite the n=2 REE in terms of 4-pt functions on $\Sigma_1 = \mathbf{C}$. $\langle O(w_1, \overline{w}_1) O(w_2, \overline{w}_2) O(w_3, \overline{w}_3) O(w_4, \overline{w}_4) \rangle_{\Sigma_2}$ $= |z_{13}z_{24}|^{-4\Delta_0} \cdot G_0(z,\overline{z}).$
$$\begin{split} w_1 &= i(\varepsilon - it) - l, \quad \overline{w}_1 = -i(\varepsilon - it) - l, \\ w_2 &= -i(\varepsilon + it) - l, \quad \overline{w}_2 = i(\varepsilon + it) - l. \quad \left(z \equiv \frac{Z_{12}Z_{34}}{Z_{13}Z_{24}}, \quad z_{ij} \equiv z_i - z_j. \right) \end{split}$$
 $w_3 = e^{2\pi i} w_1, \quad w_4 = e^{2\pi i} w_2.$

We can show that the limit $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ leads to



Note: It is straightforward to confirm

$$\Delta S_A^{(n)} = 0$$
 at early time (i).

In terms of conformal block, we find at late time:

$$\begin{split} G_{O}(z,\bar{z}) &= \sum_{p} C_{OO}^{p} \cdot F_{O}(p \mid z) \cdot \overline{F}_{O}(p \mid \bar{z}) \qquad \sum_{p} \bigwedge_{O} P \bigvee_{O} P \bigvee_{O$$

()

where $F_{p,q}[O]$ is so called the fusion matrix, defined by

$$F_{O}(p | 1-z) = \sum_{q} F_{p,q}[O] \cdot F_{O}(q | z).$$

Then the n=2 REE is simply expressed at late time:

$$\Delta S_A^{(2)f} = -\log F_{I,I}[O].$$

In rational 2d CFTs, we can rewrite this in term of the quantum dimension $d_{\scriptscriptstyle O}$

$$d_{O} \equiv \frac{S_{I,O}}{S_{I,I}} = \frac{1}{F_{I,I}[O]},$$
 [Moore-Seiberg 89]

as follows: $\Delta S_A^{(2)f} = \log d_O$. Actually, more generally we can prove $\Delta S_A^{(n)f} = \log d_O$ for any n.

Example: Ising model

3 conformal blocks: $[I], [\sigma], [\varepsilon]$. $[I] \otimes [I] = [I], [\varepsilon] \otimes [\varepsilon] = [I]. \Rightarrow d_I = d_{\varepsilon} = 1.$ $[\sigma] \otimes [\sigma] = [I] \oplus [\varepsilon].$ $\Rightarrow [\sigma]^{2N} = ([I] \oplus [\varepsilon])^N = \underbrace{2^{N-1}[I] \oplus 2^{N-1}[\varepsilon]}_{2^N \text{ particles}}$

$$\Rightarrow d_{\sigma} = \sqrt{2}.$$

Thus we find : $\Delta S_A^{(n)}[I] = \Delta S_A^{(n)}[\varepsilon] = 0$, $\Delta S_A^{(n)}[\sigma] = \log \sqrt{2}$. (5) Case 3: Large N CFTs and AdS/CFT [Caputa-Nozaki-TT 14] (5-1) Free U(N) Yang-Mills at large N We choose $O(x) = \text{Tr}[\Phi(x)^J]$. ($\Phi = N \times N$ Hermitian matrix scalar)

For example, when J = 2, we find the exact result:

$$\Delta S_A^{(n)f} = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[2^{1-2n} + 2^{-n} \cdot N^{2(1-n)} \right]$$

can be neglected **only if n>1**

In general, we find

if
$$n > 1 \implies \Delta S_A^{(n)f} = \frac{Jn-1}{n-1}\log 2 + O(N^{-2}).$$

if $n = 1 \implies \Delta S_A^{(1)f} = \frac{J}{2}\log N + O(N^{-2}).$ Enhance
at n=1
~deconfinement ?

Actually, the behavior $\Delta S_A^{(1)f} \propto J \log N$ is easy to explain. $Tr \left[(\Phi_L + \Phi_R)^J \right] | 0 \rangle$ $= (\Phi_L)_{a_1 a_2} \cdots (\Phi_L)_{a_{J-1} a_J} | 0 \rangle_L \otimes (\Phi_R)_{a_1 a_2} \cdots (\Phi_R)_{a_{J-1} a_J} | 0 \rangle_R + \cdots$ $EE \sim J \cdot Log[N^2]$

cf. Log [N] behavior for a heavy quark

[Lewkowycz-Maldacena 13]

(5-2) Holographic Results from AdS/CFT

 $\Delta S_A^{(n>2)}$ in $d \dim CFTs$

⇒ Holographic 2n-point functions in (d+1) dim. topological AdS BH



This calculation is based on naïve large N limit. Thus the n=1 limit and the late time limit $t=\infty$ are not trustable.

For n=1 (EE), we can employ the HEE formula to find $\Delta S_A^{(1)}$ directly. [Nozaki-Numasawa-TT 13]

For 2d CFT (AdS $_3$ /CFT $_2$),

$$\Delta S_A^{(1)} \approx \frac{c}{6} \log \left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \;.$$



6 Conclusions

In the large limit of A, the (Renyi) EEs $\Delta S_A^{(n)}$ for a locally excited state describe the `degrees of freedom' of a given local operator.

- Monotonic time evolution describes entangled pair propagation.
- The final values $\Delta S_A^{(n)f}$ can be explained by entanglement of finite number of states such as EPR states.
- They are topological invariant against deformations of A.
- In 2d rational CFTs, $\Delta S_A^{(n)f}$ is given by the log of quantum dimension. [cf. Topological EE: Kitaev-Preskill, Levin-Wen 05]
- In large N CFTs, 1/N subleading terms get important at n=1.
 The von-Neumann EE sees N² degrees of freedom, while REE not.
- In strongly coupled large N CFTs, we find a logarithmic time evolution.
 (Does it approach to finite value or not ? –future problem.)

One lesson:

The Renyi EE and von-Neumann EE behave differently ! ~Low temp. ~High temp.

In QFTs, the Renyi EE (REE) is easier to compute.

In Gravity, the von-Neumann EE (EE) is simpler.

 \Rightarrow Why ??