A bound on chaos

Douglas Stanford

IAS

June 26, 2015

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

- 1. 1306.0622 w/ sr
- 2. 1312.3296
- 3. 1409.8180

- W/ Shenker
- W/ Shenker
- W/ D. Roberts, Susskind
- 4. talks late 2014 Kitaev
- 5. 1412.6087

- W/ Shenker
- 6. 1503.01409
- w/ Maldacena, Shenker

See also work by Leichenauer ('14) and by Polchinski ('15).







W(t) - t

???? t = 0

★□> <圖> < E> < E> E のQ@



W(t)



<□> <圖> < E> < E> E のQ@





These states are distinguishable, so their overlap

 $\langle \beta | V W(t) V W(t) | \beta \rangle$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

should be small. The butterfly effect is the statement that the overlap will be small, at sufficiently large t, for any* choice of V, W.



These states are distinguishable, so their overlap

$$\langle \beta | V(-t/2) W(t/2) V(-t/2) W(t/2) | \beta \rangle$$

should be small. The butterfly effect is the statement that the overlap will be small, at sufficiently large t, for any* choice of V, W.



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Energy of quantum is order thermal scale β^{-1} .



Rapidity of boost $= \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \frac{t}{2}$, so

$$p^+ \sim \beta^{-1} \exp \frac{\pi t}{\beta}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで





イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

W quantum has $p^+ \sim \beta^{-1} \exp rac{\pi}{\beta} t$ V quantum has $q^- \sim \beta^{-1} \exp rac{\pi}{\beta} t$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

æ

The inner product is a scattering amplitude, weighted by wave functions, for W, V quanta colliding near the horizon.



Both p^+ and q^- are $\sim \beta^{-1} e^{\pi t/\beta}$, so the characteristic value of the Mandelstam s parameter is

$$s = p^+ q^- \sim \beta^{-2} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\beta}t}$$

Representing the scattering amplitude as $e^{i\delta(s)}$, we have

$$\langle V W(t) V W(t)
angle = \int dp^+ dq^- e^{i\delta(p^+q^-)} |\psi_W(p^+)|^2 |\psi_V(q^-)|^2$$

It turns out that the relevant regime is the elastic eikonal regime, where using shock waves, one can calculate ['t Hooft]

$$\delta_{grav}(s) \propto G_N \ s.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The growth with *s* is characteristic of the growth with energy of gravitational interactions.

Representing the scattering amplitude as $e^{i\delta(s)}$, we have

$$\langle V W(t) V W(t)
angle = \int dp^+ dq^- e^{i\delta(p^+q^-)} |\psi_W(p^+)|^2 |\psi_V(q^-)|^2$$

It turns out that the relevant regime is the elastic eikonal regime, where using shock waves, one can calculate ['t Hooft]

$$\delta_{grav}(s) \propto G_N \; s.$$

The growth with s is characteristic of the growth with energy of gravitational interactions. Expanding in G_N :

$$\langle ... \rangle = \langle V^2 \rangle \langle W^2 \rangle \left(1 + \# i G_N e^{\frac{2\pi}{\beta}t} + ... \right)$$

The correction is growing exponentially. We can use this to define a Lyapunov exponent [Kitaev] so that the growth is $e^{\lambda_L t}$. For gravity,

$$\lambda_L = \frac{2\pi}{\beta}.$$

At larger times, the integrand becomes highly oscillatory, and the overlap decays exponentially:





[Hayden/Preskill, Sekino/Susskind]

Regge behavior of string theory corrects this analysis:

$$\delta_{string}(s,b) \propto s^{1-\#lpha'/\ell_{AdS}^2+...}$$

which leads to a slower Lyapunov exponent

$$\lambda_L = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \left(1 - \# \frac{\alpha'}{\ell_{AdS}^2} + \dots \right).$$

Regge behavior of string theory corrects this analysis:

$$\delta_{string}(s,b) \propto s^{1-\#lpha'/\ell_{AdS}^2+...}$$

which leads to a slower Lyapunov exponent

$$\lambda_L = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \left(1 - \# \frac{\alpha'}{\ell_{AdS}^2} + \dots \right).$$

Evidence from [Camanho/Edelstein/Maldacena/Zhiboedov] suggests that $\delta(s, b) \propto s$ is the fastest growth allowed. Could there be a universal bound on λ_L , in the spirit of [Kovtun/Son/Starinets]?

A bound on chaos

$$F(t) \equiv tr[y V y W(t) y V y W(t)] \qquad y = \rho(\beta)^{1/4}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

A bound on chaos

$$F(t) \equiv tr[y V y W(t) y V y W(t)] \qquad y =
ho(eta)^{1/4}$$



Special case: in a large N theory with $\langle \beta | V W | \beta \rangle = 0$,

$$F(t) = F_d + O(N^{-2}) \qquad F_d \equiv tr[y^2 V y^2 V] tr[y^2 W y^2 W]$$

A holographic calculation similar to above gives

$$F(t) = F_d - \frac{1}{N^2} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\beta}t} + O(N^{-4})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 $F(t + i\tau)$ is analytic in a half-strip:



If we can show $|F| \le F_d + \epsilon$ everywhere on boundary of strip, then complex analysis (Schwarz-Pick + Phragmen-Lindelof) \Longrightarrow

$$\frac{d}{dt}(F_d - F(t)) \leq \frac{2\pi}{\beta}(F_d - F(t)) + \epsilon.$$

Left boundary: large N factorization implies

$$F(t) = F_d + O(N^{-2}).$$

At the left boundary, the time parameter is not large, so there is no competing parameter and the second term can be bounded by $\epsilon = const/N^2$.

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Left boundary: large N factorization implies

$$F(t)=F_d+O(N^{-2}).$$

At the left boundary, the time parameter is not large, so there is no competing parameter and the second term can be bounded by $\epsilon = const/N^2$.

Top/bottom boundaries: here *t* can be large, and factorization fails. However, Cauchy-Schwarz implies

$$|F(t \pm i\beta/4)| \le tr[Vy^2VW(t)y^2W(t)]$$

We assume RHS approximately factorizes, $RHS \approx F_d \pm const/N^2$, uniform in time. (Reminder: $F_d \equiv tr[y^2Vy^2V]tr[y^2Wy^2W]$.)



The result of all of this is that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(F_d - F(t)) \leq \frac{2\pi}{\beta}(F_d - F(t)) + \frac{const}{N^2}.$$

Just to make the point, plug in $F(t) = F_d - N^{-2}e^{\lambda_L t}$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{\lambda_L t} \leq \frac{2\pi}{\beta}e^{\lambda_L t} + const.$$

As soon as t is more than a few times β , the constant is unimportant and we get

$$\lambda_L \leq \frac{2\pi}{\beta}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Summary

- ► Chaos can be diagnosed using correlators like ⟨V W(t)V W(t)⟩.
- In AdS/CFT, these are computed by high energy scattering near a black hole horizon.
- The initial exponential growth of the influence of chaos on this correlator can be used to define a Lyapunov exponent λ_L. There is a universal bound on the Lyapunov exponent

$$\lambda_L \leq \frac{2\pi k_B T}{\hbar},$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

saturated by systems dual to gravity.

Thank you!