

•  $T_i \ni$  integrable modules

•  $\text{Ad } T_i \ni U_f$

denoted by  $T_i$  hereafter

braid relation

$$\tilde{W} \longrightarrow W$$

braid group

$$\times s_i^2 = 1$$

$$w \mapsto \tilde{w}$$

$$l(w w') = l(w) + l(w')$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{w w'} = \tilde{w} \tilde{w'}$$

$T_w =$  operatr cov. to  $\tilde{w}$ .

Prop (1)  $w \in W$  and  $w(\alpha_i) \in \Delta^+ \Rightarrow T_w(f_i) \in U_f^-$

(2)  $w(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i \Rightarrow T_w(f_i) = f_i$

(proof) case 1<sup>o</sup>  $\mathfrak{g} : \text{rank } 2$

$$I = \{i, j\} \quad \begin{matrix} 0 \\ a_{ij} = -1 & a_{ji} = -1 \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{Exercise} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

case 2<sup>o</sup> general case

Consider a coset  $w \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \in W / \langle s_i, s_j \rangle$

$w'$ : minimum length representative

$$w = w' \underbrace{w''}_{\in \langle s_i, s_j \rangle}$$

Claim (1)  $l(w' s_i) = l(w') + l(s_i)$

$l(w' s_j) = l(w') + l(s_j)$

$$(2) \quad l(w) = l(w') + l(w'')$$

proof : Exercise

$$T_w(f_i) = T_{w'} \underbrace{T_{w''}}_{\in \mathcal{U}_f^-} (f_i)$$

by case 1°

By induction on  $l(w)$ , may assume  
the assertion is true for  $T_{w'}$   
→ (1) is OK for  $T_w$

(2)  $w(\alpha_i) = \alpha_k$   
enough to show that  $w''(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i \text{ or } \alpha_j$

$$w''(\alpha_i) = a\alpha_i + b\alpha_j \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

$$\Rightarrow w(\alpha_i) = w'w''(\alpha_i) = \underbrace{aw'(\alpha_i)}_{\in \Delta^+} + \underbrace{bw'(\alpha_j)}_{\in \Delta^+}$$

Assumption  $\parallel \alpha_k$  by claim

$$\Rightarrow \begin{matrix} a=0 & \text{or} & b=0 \\ b=1 & & a=1 \end{matrix} \parallel$$

Cor.  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$  reduced expr. of  $w \in W$

$$\Rightarrow T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(f_{i_k}) \in \mathcal{U}_f^-$$

$$\odot \quad s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}) \in \Delta^+ \parallel$$

$w_0$ : longest element  $l(w_0) = \nu = \#\Delta^+$   
 $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\nu}$  reduced expr

$$\vec{p} = (i_1 \cdots i_\nu) \in I^\nu$$

$$\vec{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\nu$$

$$L(\vec{c}, \vec{p}) \equiv L(\vec{c})$$

(if  $\vec{p}$  is clear from the context.)

$$:= f_{i_1}^{(c_1)} T_{i_1}(f_{i_2}^{(c_2)}) \cdots T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{\nu-1}}(f_{i_\nu}^{(c_\nu)})$$

$$f_i^{(c)} = \frac{f_i^c}{[c]!} \quad \text{divided power}$$

$$[c]! = \prod_{i=1}^c i!$$

$$\text{wt} = \sum s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{p-1}}(\alpha_{i_p}) \times \mathbb{Q}$$

Th 1, Fix  $\vec{p}$ .  $\{L(\vec{c}) \mid \vec{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\nu\}$  is a base of  $U_{\mathbb{Q}}^-$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ -vector space

(PBW base)

(proof) Usual PBW thm for  $U(n^-)$

and  $A_1 U_{\mathbb{Q}}^- = U(n^-) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$

$$\Rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{Q}(f)}(U_{\mathbb{Q}}^-)_{\mathfrak{z}} = \dim U(n^-)_{\mathfrak{z}}$$

= # of ways to express  $\mathfrak{z}$  as a sum of  $\Delta^-$

$\therefore$  enough to show that  $L(\vec{c})$  is linearly independent

By the induction from above, we show  
 $\{L(\vec{c}) \mid c_1 = \dots = c_p = 0\}$  is linearly independent.

1.  $p=1 \Rightarrow L(\vec{c}) = 1$

2. Assume the assertion is true for  $p$ .  
 $c_1 = \dots = c_{p-1} = 0$

$$L(\vec{c}) = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{p-1}}(f_{i_p}^{(c_p)}) L(\vec{c}')$$

$$T_{i_p}^{-1} T_{i_{p-1}}^{-1} \dots T_{i_1}^{-1} L(\vec{c})$$

$$= \frac{1}{[c_p]_{\delta_{i_p}}^{-1}} \underbrace{\left(-e_{i_p} t_{i_p}\right)^{c_p}}_{\hat{U}_f^+ U_f^0} \times \underbrace{T_{i_p}^{-1} \dots T_{i_1}^{-1} L(\vec{c}')}_{\hat{U}_f^-}$$

triangular  
decomp.

$$\hat{U}_f \cong \hat{U}_f^+ \otimes U_f^0 \otimes \hat{U}_f^-$$

$\Rightarrow$  linearly independence  
is true also for  $p-1$ .

Rem. PBW base depends on the choice of reduced  $\alpha$ -pr.  $w_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_p}$ .

- wt  $T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{p-1}}(f_{i_p}) = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{p-1}}(\alpha_{i_p}) \in \Delta^+$   
 $\beta_p$

$$T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{p-1}}(f_{i_p})$$

Another reduced expr.  
 $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_j}$

$$\beta_p = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{j-1}}(\alpha_{i_j})$$

$$T_{i'_1} \cdots T_{i'_{j-1}}(f_{i'_j})$$

they are not scalar multiple in general

$$f \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$\text{LHS} \neq f \cdot \text{RHS}$$

No notion of "root subspaces" for  $\overline{U_{\mathfrak{g}}}$

Prop (Lerendrockii-Soibelman formula) (convexity)

$\beta_p :=$  as above

$$F_{\beta_p} := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{p-1}}(f_{i_p})$$

Suppose  $p > p'$

$$F_{\beta_p} F_{\beta_{p'}} = q^{-(\beta_p, \beta_{p'})} F_{\beta_p} F_{\beta_p} + \sum_{\vec{c}} a_{\vec{c}} L(\vec{c})$$

If  $a_{\vec{c}} \neq 0 \Rightarrow C_{\vec{c}} \neq 0$  only if  
 $p' < j < p$

$\vec{h} \rightsquigarrow$  total order on  $\Delta^+$

$$\cdots \beta_{p'} \cdots \beta_p \cdots$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\beta_{\mathfrak{g}}}$

(proof) Apply  $T_{ip-1}^{-1} \dots T_{i_1}^{-1}$  to LHS.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f_{ip} (T_{ip-1}^{-1} \dots T_{ip+1}^{-1}) \underbrace{(-t_{ip'}^{-1} e_{ip'})}_{U_f^T U_f^0} \\
 &= q_f^{-(\alpha_{ip}, s_{ip-1} \dots s_{ip'+1}, \alpha_{ip'})} U_f^T U_f^0 \\
 & \times (T_{ip-1}^{-1} \dots T_{ip+1}^{-1}) (-t_{ip'}^{-1} e_{ip'}) f_{ip} \left( \begin{array}{l} [f_i, e_j] \\ = \delta_{ij} \frac{t_i - t_i^{-1}}{f_i - f_i^{-1}} \end{array} \right) \\
 & \quad + U_f^{\geq 0} \\
 &= \underline{q_f^{-(\beta_p, \beta_{p'})}} T_{ip-1}^{-1} \dots T_{i_1}^{-1} \underline{(F_{\beta_{p'}}, F_{\beta_p})} + U_f^{\geq 0} \\
 & \quad \swarrow \quad \nearrow \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \text{1st term} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \text{of RHS}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow q_f = 0 \text{ if } f \geq p$$

Similarly we apply  $T_{ip'}^{-1} \dots T_{i_1}^{-1}$  to LHS

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underbrace{T_{ip'+1} \dots T_{ip-1}}_{U_f^-} (f_{ip}) \underbrace{(-t_{ip'}^{-1} e_{ip'})}_{U_f^{\geq 0}} \\
 &= \dots + U_f^{\leq 0}
 \end{aligned}$$

same argument shows

$$q_f = 0 \text{ if } f \leq p'$$

//

$$\vec{h} : \text{fix} \quad L(\vec{c})^- = L(\vec{c}) \text{ above}$$

$$L(\vec{c})^+ = L(\vec{c}) \quad e_i \leftrightarrow f_i$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n U_{\mathfrak{g}}^+$$

Prop.  $T_w$  : braid group op. on a tensor product of integrable modules

$$\sum_{\vec{c}} \prod_{k=1}^p (-1)^{c_k} f^{c_k(r_k-1)/2} \prod_{a=1}^{c_k} (q_{i_k}^a - q_{i_k}^{-a})$$

$$\times L(\vec{c})^+ \otimes L(\vec{c})^- \circ (T_w \otimes T_w)$$

$\uparrow$   
 braid group operators  
 on tensor factors

(proof) 10.19 Prop 3. —  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -case

+ induction on the length on  $w$ .

Start from 11:21

§ change of base

Consider the case  $\mathfrak{sl}_3 = A_2$  first

$$\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$$

$$w_0 = s_1 s_2 s_1 = s_2 s_1 s_2$$

$$f_{12} = T_1(f_2) = f_2 f_1 - q f_1 f_2 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \end{array} \right\} \left. \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \end{array} \right\}$$

$$f'_{12} = T_2(f_1) = f_1 f_2 - q f_2 f_1$$

$$L(\vec{c}, \vec{h}) = f_1^{(c_1)} f_{12}^{(c_2)} f_2^{(c_3)}$$

$$L(\vec{c}', \vec{h}') = f_2^{(c'_1)} f_{12}^{(c'_2)} f_1^{(c'_3)}$$

Q. How they are related?

Idea: introduce an "intermediate" base

monomial

$\rightsquigarrow$  canonical base (for  $\mathcal{R}_3$ )

Lemma ( $f_i^{(p)} = 0$  if  $p < 0$ )

$$f_2^{(l)} f_1^{(m)} f_2^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^l g^{(l-k)(m-k)} \begin{bmatrix} l-k+n \\ l-k \end{bmatrix} f_1^{(m-k)} f_{12}^{(k)} f_2^{(l-k+n)}$$

$$f_1^{(l)} f_2^{(m)} f_1^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n g^{(m-k)(n-k)} \begin{bmatrix} n-k+l \\ n-k \end{bmatrix} f_1^{(n-k+l)} f_{12}^{(k)} f_2^{(m-k)}$$

(proof) Exercise

Show  $(\star) f_2^{(l)} f_1^{(m)} = \sum_k g^{(l-k)(m-k)} f_1^{(m-k)} f_{12}^{(k)} f_2^{(l-k)}$

by double induction on  $l$  and  $m$ .

$(\star) \times f_2^{(n)} \rightarrow$  upper equality

$f_1^{(l)} \times (\star) \Big|_{\substack{l \rightarrow m \\ m \rightarrow n}} \rightarrow$  lower equality //



Observation 1  $f_2^{(l)} f_1^{(l+h)} f_2^{(n)} = f_1^{(n)} f_2^{(l+n)} f_1^{(l)}$   
 $(m = l+h)$

Observation 2

$$f^{(l-k)(m-k)} \begin{bmatrix} l-k+n \\ l-k \end{bmatrix} \in f^{(l-k)(m-k-n)} \times (1 + q\mathbb{Z}[q])$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$f^{-(l-k)n} (1 + q\mathbb{Z}[q])$$

from the definition

$q$ -binomial coeff.  $\leftrightarrow$  cohomology of Grassmannian mfd

$(l-k)n \xrightarrow{\text{opx}} \text{dim. of Grassmann}$

$q \leftrightarrow q^{-1} \leftrightarrow$  Poincaré duality

Assume

$$\begin{cases} l \geq k \\ m \geq l+n (\geq k+n) \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow$  above

$$\in \mathbb{R}_{k,l} + q\mathbb{Z}[q]$$

$$f_2^{(l)} f_1^{(m)} f_2^{(n)} = f_1^{(m-k)} f_2^{(l)} f_2^{(n)} \leftarrow k=l \text{ term} \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} a_k f_1^{(m-k)} f_2^{(k)} f_2^{(l-k+n)}$$

$$m \geq l+n$$

$$(\Leftrightarrow m-l \geq n)$$

$\uparrow$   
 $q\mathbb{Z}[q]$

Similarly

$$f_1^{(l)} f_2^{(m)} f_1^{(n)} = f_1^{(l)} f_{12}^{(n)} f_2^{(m-n)}$$

$$m \geq l+n$$

$$(\Leftrightarrow m-n \geq l)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k' f_1^{(n-k+1)} f_{12}^{(k)} f_2^{(m-k)}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\omega) := \{ f_2^{(l)} f_1^{(m)} f_2^{(n)} \mid m \geq l+n \}$$

$$\cup \{ f_1^{(l)} f_2^{(m)} f_1^{(n)} \mid m \geq l+n \}$$

$$\left( \quad \cap \quad \begin{array}{l} m = l+n \\ l \leftrightarrow n \end{array} \right)$$

IR 2 (1)  $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$  is a base of  $U_{\mathcal{F}}^-$   $\hookrightarrow L_{\mathbb{Z}}(\omega)$

(2)  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$ -submodule of  $U_{\mathcal{F}}^-$  generated by  $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$   
 $=$  "  
 $=$  "  
 $\{L(\vec{c}, \vec{e}')\}$   
 $\{L(\vec{c}', \vec{e}')\}$

(3)  $\mathbb{Z}$ -base of  $L_{\mathbb{Z}}(\omega) / \mathfrak{q} L_{\mathbb{Z}}(\omega)$   
induced from  $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$

$$= \quad " \quad \{L(\vec{c}, \vec{e}')\}$$

$$= \quad " \quad \{L(\vec{c}', \vec{e}')\}$$

Recall we used  $A_1 = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}(f) \mid f \text{ is regular at } f=1\}$   
 $\rightsquigarrow f=1$

$$\mathbb{Z}[q] \subset \mathbb{Q}(q)$$

can be used for the specialization at  $q=0$

$$\mathbb{Z}(\infty) / q\mathbb{Z}(\infty) \xrightarrow{U_q^-} \text{specialization at } q=0$$

(2), (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  PBW base is independent of the choice of the reduced expression at  $q=0$ !

Kashiwara : crystal base  $\leftarrow$  (3)

$q$  — temperature for solvable lattice models  
 (original motivation of Jimbo to introduce)  
 $q \rightarrow 0$  absolute temperature 0  $U_q^-$

(proof) base transition matrix

$$\{L(\vec{a}, \vec{b})\} \leftrightarrow B(\infty)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xleftarrow{q\mathbb{Z}[q]}$$

under suitable ordering on base elements

//

$$A = \mathbb{Z}[f, f^{-1}]$$

$A U_f^- = A$ -subalgebra generated by  $f_i^{(n)}$   
 $i=1,2$   
 $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cor } A U_f^- &= \bigoplus_{\vec{c}} A L(\vec{c}, \vec{c}') = \bigoplus_{\vec{c}'} A L(\vec{c}, \vec{c}') \\ &= \bigoplus_{b \in B(\infty)} A b \end{aligned}$$

☹ 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> equ. are consef. of Th 2.

$$\begin{aligned} L(\vec{c}, \vec{c}') &= f_1^{(c_1)} \dots \\ L(\vec{c}', \vec{c}') &= f_2^{(c'_1)} \dots \end{aligned}$$

$\bigoplus A L(\vec{c}, \vec{c}')$  : preserved under the left mult. of  $f_1^{(n)}$

$\bigoplus A L(\vec{c}', \vec{c}')$  : //

$f_2^{(n)}$  //

$$\{ L(\vec{c}, \vec{c}') \} \longleftrightarrow B(\infty) \longleftrightarrow \{ L(\vec{c}', \vec{c}') \}$$

transition matrix give a bijection among  $\vec{c}, \vec{c}'$

$$\text{piecewise linear bijection} \left\{ \begin{aligned} c'_1 &= c_2 + c_3 - \min(c_1, c_3) \\ c'_2 &= \min(c_1, c_3) \\ c'_3 &= c_1 + c_2 - \min(c_1, c_3) \end{aligned} \right.$$