

Constraints on the 1PI functions

Here we derive constraints on the coefficient functions

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu,ab}(-p,p), \Gamma_\psi(-p,p), \Gamma_{gh}(-p,p), \Gamma_{gh}^{\mu a}(-p-q, q, p)$$

of the 1PI effective action Γ .

(1) Lorentz (or Euclidean) invariance

Euclidean rotation transforms the fields as

$$A_\mu(p) \rightarrow A_\nu(\Lambda p) \Lambda_\mu^\nu$$

$$\Psi(p) \rightarrow S(\Lambda)^{-1} \Psi(\Lambda p), \quad \bar{\Psi}(p) \rightarrow \bar{\Psi}(\Lambda p) S(\Lambda)$$

$$C(p), \bar{C}(p) \rightarrow C(\Lambda p), \bar{C}(\Lambda p)$$

where $\Lambda \mapsto S(\Lambda)$ is the spin representation

$$(S(\Lambda)^{-1} \gamma^\mu S(\Lambda) = \Lambda^\mu_\nu \gamma^\nu).$$

Invariance of Γ under this requires

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu,ab}(-\Lambda p, \Lambda p) = \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\lambda \Gamma^{\rho\lambda,ab}(-p, p),$$

$$\Gamma_\psi(-\Lambda p, \Lambda p) = S(\Lambda) \Gamma_\psi(-p, p) S(\Lambda)^{-1},$$

$$\Gamma_{gh}(-\Lambda p, \Lambda p) = \Gamma_{gh}(-p, p),$$

$$\Gamma_{gh}^{\mu a}(-\Lambda p - \Lambda q, \Lambda q, \Lambda p) = \Lambda^\mu_\nu \Gamma_{gh}^{\nu a}(-p - q, q, p).$$

The solution to this is :

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu, ab}(-p, p) = \alpha^{ab}(p^2) \delta^{\mu\nu} + \beta^{ab}(p^2) p^\mu p^\nu,$$

$$\Gamma_\psi(-p, p) = A(p^2) \not{p} + B(p^2),$$

$$\Gamma_{gh}(-p, p) = \Gamma_{gh}(p^2),$$

$$\Gamma_{gh}^{\mu\nu}(-p-q, q, p) = (p+q)^\mu C^q(p, q) + q^\mu D^q(p, q),$$

where $A(p^2) \times B(p^2)$ are identities in the spinor factor,

$C^q(p, q) \times D^q(p, q)$ depends on (p, q) via $p^2, q^2, p \cdot q$.

(2) Rigid G -invariance

Invariance of Γ under rigid G -transformations

$$A_\mu \rightarrow \text{Ad}_g A_\mu \quad (= g A_\mu g^{-1} \text{ for matrix Lie algebra})$$

$$\psi \rightarrow g \psi, \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi} g^{-1}, \quad C \rightarrow \text{Ad}_g C, \quad \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C} \text{Ad}_g^{-1}$$

requires

$$\alpha^{ab}(p^2) = \delta^{ab} \alpha(p^2), \quad \beta^{ab}(p^2) = \delta^{ab} \beta(p^2),$$

$A(p^2) \times B(p^2)$ commute with G ,

$$\Gamma_{gh}(p^2) = f_{gh}(p^2) \text{id}_g$$

$$\text{Ad}_g C^a(p, q) \text{Ad}_g^{-1} = (\text{Ad}_g)_b^a C^b(p, q), \quad \text{same for } D^a(p, q).$$

Here we have used the assumption that G is simple, which implies that the adjoint representation is irreducible.

By Schur's lemma, any G -linear map $f: \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ that commutes with G is proportional to the identity $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{C}}}$.

Thus the G -invariance of Γ , which implies that

$(\alpha^{ab}(p^2)), (\beta^{ab}(p^2)), \Gamma_{gh}(p^2)$ commute with $\text{Ad}G$,

requires $\alpha^{ab}(p^2) \propto \delta^{ab}$, $\beta^{ab}(p^2) \propto \delta^{ab}$, $\Gamma_{gh}(p^2) \propto \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$

(3) BRST symmetry

Recall the Slavnov-Taylor identity:

If the system has a symmetry $\phi \mapsto \phi + \delta\phi$,

the LPI effective action $\Gamma[\phi]$ is invariant under

$$\phi \mapsto \phi + \hat{\delta}\phi \quad ; \quad \hat{\delta}\phi = \langle \delta\phi \rangle_{\mathcal{J}(\phi)}$$

Let us apply this to the BRST symmetry:

$$\hat{\delta}_B \Gamma[X] = 0.$$

(This is nothing but the Zinn-Justin eqn at $K=0$.)

By ghost # symmetry, Lorentz invariance, and fermion # symmetry, we know possible forms of $\widehat{\delta}_B X$:

$$\widehat{\delta}_B A_\mu(p) = f(p^2) P_\mu C(p) + \text{quadratic or higher in fields}$$

$$\widehat{\delta}_B \Psi(p) = \text{quadratic or higher in fields}$$

$$\widehat{\delta}_B C(p) = \text{quadratic or higher in fields}$$

$$\widehat{\delta}_B \bar{C}(p) \propto B(p)$$

$$\widehat{\delta}_B B(p) = 0$$

(To be precise, these are for bare fields, but by the form of renormalization, these hold also for the renormalized fields.)

Then, the terms of $\widehat{\delta}_B \Gamma$ which are linear in A_μ & C

$$\text{come only from } \widehat{\delta}_B \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{2} A_{\mu a}(-p) \Gamma^{\mu\nu, ab}(-p, p) A_{\nu b}(p)$$

and that is

$$\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} f(p^2) (-p)_\mu C_a(-p) \Gamma^{\mu\nu, ab}(-p, p) A_{\nu b}(p)$$

(We used $\Gamma^{\mu\nu, ab}(-p, p) = \Gamma^{\nu\mu, ba}(p, -p)$ which we may assume by definition.)

Vanishing of this term requires $P_\mu \Gamma^{\mu\nu, ab}(-p, p) = 0$

For $\Gamma^{\mu\nu, ab}(-p, p) = \delta^{ab} (\alpha(p^2) \delta^{\mu\nu} + \beta(p^2) P^\mu P^\nu)$, this \Rightarrow

$$\alpha(p^2) P^\nu + \beta(p^2) P^2 P^\nu = 0$$

i.e. $\alpha(p^2) = -P^2 \beta(p^2)$.

Thus, we find

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu, ab}(-p, p) = \delta^{ab} (P^2 \delta^{\mu\nu} - P^\mu P^\nu) \beta(p^2)$$

(4) A diagrammatic constraint on $\Gamma_{gh}(-p, p)$

$$\Gamma_{gh}(-p, p) = -P^2 - (\text{---}\leftarrow\text{---}) \circlearrowleft 1PZ \circlearrowright (\text{---}\leftarrow\text{---})^P$$

Any 1PI diagram is of the form $\text{---}\leftarrow\text{---} \circlearrowleft 1 \circlearrowright \text{---}\leftarrow\text{---}^P$ Something $\text{---}\leftarrow\text{---}^P$
 ↑
 proportional to P_μ

$\therefore (\text{---}\leftarrow\text{---}) \circlearrowleft 1PZ \circlearrowright (\text{---}\leftarrow\text{---})^P$ vanishes if $P_\mu = 0$

$$\therefore \Gamma_{gh}(-p, p) = f_{gh}(p^2) \text{id}_g \Rightarrow f_{gh}(p^2) = 0 \text{ at } p^2 = 0$$

$$\therefore \Gamma_{gh}(-p, p) = P^2 \Pi_{gh}(p^2) \text{id}_g$$

(5) More on $\Gamma_{gh}^{m_a}(-p-q, q, p)$

Recall (1) & (2): $\Gamma_{gh}^{m_a}(-p-q, q, p) = (p+q)^m C^a(p, q) + q^m D^a(p, q)$,

where $X^a = C^a(p, q) \pm D^a(p, q) \in \text{End } \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ obey

$$\text{Ad}_g \circ X^a \circ \text{Ad}_g^{-1} = X^b (\text{Ad}_g)_b^a \quad \text{—————} (\star)$$

Recall $(\text{Ad}_g)_b^a$ is the representing matrix of $\text{Ad}_g: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to a basis $\{e^a\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, $\text{Ad}_g e^a = e^b (\text{Ad}_g)_b^a$.

Note that $X^a = \text{ad } e^a$ obey (\star) :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_g \text{ad } e^a \text{Ad}_g^{-1} X &= \text{Ad}_g [e^a, \text{Ad}_g^{-1} X] = [\text{Ad}_g e^a, X] \\ &= [e^b (\text{Ad}_g)_b^a, X] = \text{ad } e^b X (\text{Ad}_g)_b^a. \end{aligned}$$

Are there others?

$\text{End } \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a representation of G by

$$g \in G : f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \mapsto \text{Ad}_g \circ f \circ \text{Ad}_g^{-1},$$

and it can be decomposed into irreducible representations.

Suppose this includes m -copies of the adjoint representation

$$\text{End } \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \underbrace{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}}_m \oplus \text{other representations}$$

Examples · $G = SU(2)$

$$\text{End } \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{End } \mathfrak{B} \cong \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B} \cong \mathbb{1} \oplus \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{B}.$$

↑
 $m=1$

· $G = SU(3)$

$$\text{End } \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B} \cong \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathbb{C}.$$

↑ ↑
 $m=2$

Let $X_I^a \in \text{End } \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the image of $e^a \in \mathfrak{g}$ into the I -th copy ($I=0, 1, \dots, m-1$). We regard $\text{ad } \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ as the 0-th copy, so that $X_0^a = \text{ad } e^a$. Then, we can expand

$$C^a(p, q) = \sum_I X_I^a C_I(p, q), \quad D^a(p, q) = \sum_I X_I^a D_I(p, q).$$

Comment on renormalization condition

Renormalization condition is imposed just on $C_0(p, q)$:

$$C_0(p, q) \Big|_{p^2=q^2=(p+q)^2=\mu^2} = -i.$$

We should not impose any condition on

$$C_I(p, q) \text{ with } I > 0 \text{ \& } D_I(p, q) \forall I.$$

Thus, the condition presented at the class (= the one in the note)

$$C^a(p, q) \Big|_{p^2 = q^2 = (p+q)^2 = \mu^2} = -ie^q$$

was too strong in general (it was OK if $m=1$ eg. $G=SU(2)$).

That is corrected in the revised version of the note.