

# BRST cohomology of Maxwell theory

For a spatial momentum  $\mathbb{P} \neq 0$ , a polarization  $\epsilon$  is said to be transversal to  $\mathbb{P}$  if  $\epsilon^0 = 0$  and  $\epsilon \cdot \mathbb{P} = 0$ . A creation operator  $\epsilon \cdot A(\mathbb{P})^\dagger$  is transversal if  $\epsilon$  is transversal to  $\mathbb{P}$ .

We denote

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{transv}} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \text{product of transversal } A^\dagger \text{'s on } |0\rangle \right\}$$

We would like to prove

Theorem

$$H^i(\mathcal{H}, Q_B) \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{\text{transv}} & i=0 \\ 0 & i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

preparation

(a) Properties of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{transv}}$

(i) The inner product is positive definite on  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{transv}}$ .

(ii)  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{transv}} \subset \text{Ker } Q_B$ .

(iii)  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{transv}} \cap \text{Im } Q_B = 0$ .

⊙ (i) follows from  $[a_i(P), a_j(P)^t] = \delta_{ij} \delta^{d-1}(P-P')$ .

(ii) holds since  $[\mathcal{Q}_B, \epsilon \cdot a(P)^t] = 0$  if  $\epsilon$  is transversal to  $P$ .

To see (iii) take  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{trans}} \cap \text{Im } \mathcal{Q}_B$ . Then  $v = \mathcal{Q}_B w$  for some  $w \in \mathcal{H}$ , and

$$(v, v) = (\mathcal{Q}_B w, v) \stackrel{\mathcal{Q}_B^+ = \mathcal{Q}_B}{=} (w, \mathcal{Q}_B v) \stackrel{(ii)}{=} 0 \stackrel{(i)}{\Rightarrow} v = 0. //$$

(b)  $q_L$  &  $q_S$

For  $P \neq 0$ , write  $q_L(P) := -\sum_i P_i a_i(P) - |P| a_0(P)$ ,

$$q_S(P) := (-\sum_i P_i a_i(P) + |P| a_0(P)) / 2|P|^2.$$

They obey

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{Q}_B, \bar{b}(P)^t\} &= q_L(P)^t, & [\mathcal{Q}_B, q_L(P)^t] &= 0, \\ [\mathcal{Q}_B, q_S(P)^t] &= b(P)^t, & \{\mathcal{Q}_B, b(P)^t\} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} (*)$$

Note that  $\{q_\mu(P)^t\}_{\mu=0}^{d-1}$  is spanned by  $q_L(P)^t$ ,  $q_S(P)^t$  and transversal  $\epsilon \cdot a(P)^t$ 's. Thus

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{product of} \\ \text{transversal } a^t\text{'s, } a_L^t, a_S^t, b^t, \bar{b}^t \text{ on } |0\rangle \end{array} \right\}.$$

## Proof of Theorem

Take any  $V \in \text{Ker } Q_B$ .

By (b),  $V$  is a sum of products of transversal  $Q(P)^\dagger$ 's,  $Q_L(P)^\dagger$ 's,  $Q_S(P)^\dagger$ 's,  $b(P)^\dagger$ 's,  $\bar{b}(P)^\dagger$ 's for various  $P$ 's operated on  $|0\rangle$ . We shall show that the sum of terms which are not purely transversal is BRST exact.

Choose  $P \neq 0$  and write  $a_L^\dagger, a_S^\dagger, b^\dagger, \bar{b}^\dagger$  for  $Q_L(P)^\dagger, Q_S(P)^\dagger, b(P)^\dagger, \bar{b}(P)^\dagger$ . Recall from (\*)

$$\bar{b}^\dagger \xrightarrow{Q_B} a_L^\dagger \xrightarrow{Q_B} 0, \quad a_S^\dagger \xrightarrow{Q_B} b^\dagger \xrightarrow{Q_B} 0.$$

First, expand  $V$  in powers of  $a_L^\dagger$  &  $\bar{b}^\dagger$ :

$$V = V_0 + a_L^\dagger V_1 + a_L^{\dagger 2} V_2 + \dots \\ + \bar{b}^\dagger W_0 + \bar{b}^\dagger a_L^\dagger W_1 + \dots$$

where  $V_i, W_i$  are free of  $a_L^\dagger$  and  $\bar{b}^\dagger$ .

$$0 = Q_B V = Q_B V_0 + a_L^\dagger Q_B V_1 + a_L^{\dagger 2} Q_B V_2 + \dots \\ + a_L^\dagger W_0 + a_L^{\dagger 2} W_1 + \dots \\ - \bar{b}^\dagger Q_B W_0 - \bar{b}^\dagger a_L^\dagger Q_B W_1 - \dots$$

Note that  $Q_B v_i, w_i, Q_B w_i$  are free of  $a_L^+$  &  $\bar{b}^+$ . Thus,

$$Q_B v_0 = 0, \quad Q_B v_i + w_{i-1} = 0 \quad (i=1, 2, \dots), \quad Q_B w_i = 0 \quad (i=0, 1, \dots).$$

Then, for  $i=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_B (\bar{b}^+ a_L^{+i-1} v_i) &= a_L^{+i} v_i - \bar{b}^+ a_L^{+i-1} (Q_B v_i) = -w_{i-1} \\ &= a_L^{+i} v_i + \bar{b}^+ a_L^{+i-1} w_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore v &= v_0 + \sum_{i \geq 1} (a_L^{+i} v_i + \bar{b}^+ a_L^{+i-1} w_{i-1}) \\ &= v_0 + Q_B \left( \sum_{i \geq 1} \bar{b}^+ a_L^{+i-1} v_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, expand  $v_0$  in powers of  $a_S^+$  &  $b^+$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= v_{00} + a_S^+ v_{01} + a_S^{+2} v_{02} + \dots \\ &\quad + b^+ w_{00} + b^+ a_S^+ w_{01} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_{0i}, w_{0i}$  are free of  $a_S^+$  and  $b^+$  (and of  $a_L^+$  and  $\bar{b}^+$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = Q_B v_0 &= Q_B v_{00} + a_S^+ Q_B v_{01} + a_S^{+2} Q_B v_{02} + \dots \\ &\quad + b^+ v_{01} + 2b^+ a_S^+ v_{02} + \dots \\ &\quad - b^+ Q_B w_{00} - b^+ a_S^+ Q_B w_{01} - \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $Q_B v_{0i}, v_{0i}, Q_B w_{0i}$  are free of  $b^+$  &  $a_S^+$ . Thus,

$$Q_B v_{0i} = 0 \quad (i=0, 1, \dots), \quad v_{0i} - Q_B w_{0i-1} = 0 \quad (i=1, 2, \dots).$$

Then, for  $i=1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_B(a_s^{+i} w_{0i-1}) &= i b^+ a_s^{+(i-1)} w_{0i-1} + a_s^{+i} Q_B w_{0i-1} = i v_{0i} \\ &= i (a_s^{+i} v_{0i} + b^+ a_s^{+(i-1)} w_{0i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore v_0 &= v_{00} + \sum_{i \geq 1} (a_s^{+i} v_{0i} + b^+ a_s^{+(i-1)} w_{0i-1}) \\ &= v_{00} + Q_B \left( \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i} a_s^{+i} w_{0i-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

To summarize,

$$v = v_{00} + Q_B \left( \sum_{i \geq 1} b^+ a_L^{+(i-1)} v_i + \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i} a_s^{+i} w_{0i-1} \right)$$

where  $v_{00}$  is  $Q_B$ -closed and free of  $a_L^+$ ,  $a_s^+$ ,  $b^+$ ,  $\bar{b}^+$ .

Repeating this for all  $p$ 's that appear in the expansion of  $v$  by creation operators, we find

$$v = v_{\text{transv}} + Q_B(-), \quad v_{\text{transv}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{transv}}.$$

By (a-iii),  $v_{\text{transv}}$  is uniquely determined by the  $Q_B$ -cohomology class of  $v$ . Also, if  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{transv}}$ , then  $v_{\text{transv}} = v$ .

Thus, we obtained a linear isomorphism

$$\Phi : \text{Ker } \mathcal{Q}_B / \text{Im } \mathcal{Q}_B \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}_{\text{transv.}}$$

ghost #



In the above construction, all the steps respect the degree:

if  $v \in \mathcal{H}^i$ ,  $v_i \in \mathcal{H}^i$ ,  $w_i \in \mathcal{H}^{i+1}$ ,  $v_{0i} \in \mathcal{H}^i$ ,  $w_{0i} \in \mathcal{H}^{i-1}$ .

Hence, the isomorphism  $\Phi$  respects the degree.

Thus it splits to degree-wise isomorphism

$$\Phi^i : H^i(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Q}_B) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}_{\text{transv.}}^i$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{transv.}}$  consists only of ghost number 0,

this is what we wanted to prove.