

Computation of transition amplitude and partition functions

Let us compute $Z(t_f, \bar{\Psi}_f; t_i, \Psi_i)$ & partition functions in both operator & path-integral.

Operator

We choose the symmetric ordering $\hat{H} = \frac{\omega}{2} [\hat{\Psi}^\dagger, \hat{\Psi}]$, $\hat{Q} = [\hat{\Psi}^\dagger, \hat{\Psi}]$.

The results for other orderings can be easily found after that and will be mentioned.

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t_f, \bar{\Psi}_f; t_i, \Psi_i) &= \langle \bar{\Psi}_f | e^{-i \frac{t_f - t_i}{\hbar} \hat{H}} | \Psi_i \rangle \\ &= \langle 0 | (1 + \frac{1}{\hbar} \bar{\Psi}_f \hat{\Psi}) e^{-i \frac{t_f - t_i}{\hbar} \hat{H}} (1 + \frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger \Psi_i) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \langle 0 | (1 + \frac{1}{\hbar} \bar{\Psi}_f \hat{\Psi}) \left(e^{i(t_f - t_i) \frac{\omega}{2}} + \frac{1}{\hbar} e^{-i(t_f - t_i) \frac{\omega}{2}} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger \Psi_i \right) | 0 \rangle \\ &= e^{i(t_f - t_i) \frac{\omega}{2}} + \frac{1}{\hbar} e^{-i(t_f - t_i) \frac{\omega}{2}} \bar{\Psi}_f \Psi_i \\ &= e^{i(t_f - t_i) \frac{\omega}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\hbar} e^{-i(t_f - t_i) \omega} \bar{\Psi}_f \Psi_i \right) \\ &= e^{i(t_f - t_i) \frac{\omega}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} e^{-i(t_f - t_i) \omega} \bar{\Psi}_f \Psi_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}} = e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \left(-\frac{\hbar\omega}{2}\right)} + e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2}\right)} = e^{\frac{\omega T}{2}} + e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2}}$$

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} (-1)^F e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}} = e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \left(-\frac{\hbar\omega}{2}\right)} - e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2}\right)} = e^{\frac{\omega T}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2}}$$

We may also compute symmetry-twisted partition function

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} (\pm 1)^F e^{\frac{i\alpha}{\hbar} \hat{Q}} e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}} = e^{\frac{\omega T}{2} - \frac{i\alpha}{2}} \pm e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2} + \frac{i\alpha}{2}}$$

A change of operator orderings

$$\hat{H} = (1-s)\omega \hat{\Psi}^+ \hat{\Psi} - s\omega \hat{\Psi} \hat{\Psi}^+ = \frac{\omega}{2} [\hat{\Psi}^+, \hat{\Psi}] - (s - \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega,$$

$$\hat{Q} = (1-s')\hat{\Psi}^+ \hat{\Psi} - s'\hat{\Psi} \hat{\Psi}^+ = [\hat{\Psi}^+, \hat{\Psi}] - (s' - \frac{1}{2})\hbar$$

would result in a shift of the exponent in the overall factors

$$Z(t_f, \bar{\Psi}_f; t_i, \Psi_i) = e^{i(t_f - t_i)s\omega} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} e^{-i(t_f - t_i)\omega} \bar{\Psi}_f \Psi_i}$$

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} (\pm 1)^F e^{\frac{i\alpha}{\hbar} \hat{Q}} e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}} = e^{s\omega T - is'\alpha} (1 \pm e^{-\omega T + i\alpha})$$

Path-integral

$$Z(t_f, \bar{\Psi}_f; t_i, \Psi_i) = \int_{\bar{\Psi}(t_i) = \bar{\Psi}_i, \Psi(t_i) = \Psi_i} \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \bar{\Psi} \Psi |_{t_i} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt (i \bar{\Psi} \dot{\Psi} - \omega \bar{\Psi} \Psi)}$$

Write $\Psi = \Psi_{cl} + \tilde{\Psi}$, $\tilde{\Psi}(t_i) = 0$,

$$\bar{\Psi} = \bar{\Psi}_{cl} + \tilde{\bar{\Psi}}, \quad \tilde{\bar{\Psi}}(t_f) = 0,$$

where Ψ_{cl} & $\bar{\Psi}_{cl}$ are solution of EOM obeying boundary conditions,

$$\Psi_{cl}(t) = \Psi_i e^{-i\omega(t-t_i)}$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_{cl}(t) = \bar{\Psi}_f e^{i\omega(t-t_f)}$$

Then,

$$\textcircled{1} = -i \bar{\Psi}_f (\Psi_{cl}(t_f) + \tilde{\Psi}(t_f))$$

$$+ \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt (i(\bar{\Psi}_{cl} + \tilde{\bar{\Psi}})(\dot{\Psi}_{cl} + \dot{\tilde{\Psi}}) - \omega(\bar{\Psi}_{cl} + \tilde{\bar{\Psi}})(\Psi_{cl} + \tilde{\Psi}))$$

$$(\bar{\Psi}_{cl} + \tilde{\bar{\Psi}})(i\dot{\Psi}_{cl} - \omega\Psi_{cl}) + (\bar{\Psi}_{cl} + \tilde{\bar{\Psi}})(i\dot{\tilde{\Psi}} - \omega\tilde{\Psi})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (i(\bar{\Psi}_{cl} + \tilde{\bar{\Psi}})\tilde{\Psi}) - i \frac{d}{dt} (\bar{\Psi}_{cl} + \tilde{\bar{\Psi}})\tilde{\Psi} - \omega(\bar{\Psi}_{cl} + \tilde{\bar{\Psi}})\tilde{\Psi}$$

$$(-i\dot{\bar{\Psi}}_{cl} - \omega\bar{\Psi}_{cl} - i\dot{\tilde{\bar{\Psi}}} - \omega\tilde{\bar{\Psi}})\tilde{\Psi}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -i\bar{\Psi}_f (\psi_i e^{-i\omega(t_f-t_i)} + \cancel{\tilde{\Psi}(t_f)}) \\
&\quad + i(\cancel{\bar{\Psi}_{cl}(t_f)} + \underbrace{\tilde{\bar{\Psi}}(t_f)}_0) \tilde{\Psi}(t_f) - i(\cancel{\bar{\Psi}_{cl}(t_i)} + \tilde{\bar{\Psi}}(t_i)) \underbrace{\tilde{\Psi}(t_i)}_0 \\
&\quad + \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt (-i\dot{\tilde{\bar{\Psi}}}\tilde{\Psi} - \omega\tilde{\bar{\Psi}}\tilde{\Psi}) \\
&= -i\bar{\Psi}_f \psi_i e^{-i\omega(t_f-t_i)} + \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt (-i\dot{\tilde{\bar{\Psi}}}\tilde{\Psi} - \omega\tilde{\bar{\Psi}}\tilde{\Psi})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore Z(t_f, \bar{\Psi}_f; t_i, \psi_i)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \bar{\Psi}_f \psi_i e^{-i\omega(t_f-t_i)}} \int \mathcal{D}\tilde{\bar{\Psi}} \mathcal{D}\tilde{\Psi} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt (-i\dot{\tilde{\bar{\Psi}}}\tilde{\Psi} - \omega\tilde{\bar{\Psi}}\tilde{\Psi})} \\
&\quad \underbrace{\tilde{\Psi}(t_f)=0, \tilde{\bar{\Psi}}(t_i)=0}_{=: F(t_f-t_i)} \\
&= F(t_f-t_i) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \bar{\Psi}_f \psi_i e^{-i\omega(t_f-t_i)}}
\end{aligned}$$

Constraint on $F(t)$:

$$\hat{Z}_{t_f, t_i} : \Psi(\bar{\Psi}) \mapsto \int t_f d\bar{\Psi}' d\Psi' Z(t_f, \bar{\Psi}; t_i, \Psi') e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \Psi' \bar{\Psi}'} \Psi(\bar{\Psi}')$$

is (i) unitary and

$$\text{obeys (ii) } \hat{Z}_{t_3, t_2} \circ \hat{Z}_{t_2, t_1} = \hat{Z}_{t_3, t_1}.$$

Recall $\langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_2 \rangle = \int t_1 d\bar{\Psi} d\Psi \Psi_1(\Psi)^* e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \Psi \bar{\Psi}} \Psi_2(\bar{\Psi})$.

Then (i) $\Leftrightarrow |F(t)|^2 = 1$

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow F(t_3 - t_2) F(t_2 - t_1) = F(t_3 - t_1)$

These require

$F(t) = e^{iAt}$ for a real A .

$\therefore Z(t_f, \bar{\Psi}_f; t_i, \Psi_i) = e^{iA(t_f - t_i)} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \bar{\Psi}_f \Psi_i} e^{-i\omega(t_f - t_i)}$ (#)

This A can be regarded as an ambiguity in the definition of $\mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi$. It can also be absorbed by a shift of L by a constant which result in overall shift of energy.

The result (#) match with the Operator result where the ambiguity in the definition of $\mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi$ corresponds to operator ordering ambiguity.

For partition function, first do Wick rotation:

$$L = i\bar{\Psi}\dot{\Psi} - \omega\bar{\Psi}\Psi$$

$$\rightsquigarrow L_E = -\left(i\bar{\Psi}i\frac{d\Psi}{d\tau} - \omega\bar{\Psi}\Psi\right) = \bar{\Psi}\left(\frac{d}{d\tau} + \omega\right)\Psi$$

Let us compute

$$Z_\alpha(S_T^1) := \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{D}\Psi e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^T d\tau L_E}$$

$$\bar{\Psi}(\tau+T) = e^{i\alpha}\bar{\Psi}(\tau), \quad \Psi(\tau+T) = e^{-i\alpha}\Psi(\tau).$$

$$\text{mode expansion} \begin{cases} \bar{\Psi} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Psi}_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} e^{i(2\pi n + \alpha)\tau/T} \\ \Psi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Psi_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} e^{i(2\pi n - \alpha)\tau/T} \end{cases},$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_E &= \int_0^T d\tau \sum_{n,m} \bar{\Psi}_m \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} e^{i(2\pi m + \alpha)\tau/T} \\ &\quad \left(i \frac{2\pi n - \alpha}{T} + \omega \right) \Psi_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} e^{i(2\pi n - \alpha)\tau/T} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Psi}_{-n} \left(i \frac{2\pi n - \alpha}{T} + \omega \right) \Psi_n$$

Let us put $\mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{D}\Psi := \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d\bar{\Psi}_n d\Psi_n$ and use δ -fcn res.

$$Z_\alpha(S_T^1) = \int \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d\bar{\psi}_n d\psi_n e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\psi}_n \left(i \frac{2\pi n - \alpha}{T} + \omega \right) \psi_n}$$

$$= \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\hbar} \left(i \frac{2\pi n - \alpha}{T} + \omega \right)$$

$$= \underbrace{\prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{i(2\pi n - \alpha)}{\hbar T}}_{\text{(A)}} \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{i\omega T}{2\pi n - \alpha} \right)}_{\text{(B)}}$$

$$\text{(A)} = \frac{\alpha}{\hbar T i} \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n - \alpha/2\pi}{\hbar T / 2\pi i} \cdot \frac{n + \alpha/2\pi}{-\hbar T / 2\pi i}$$

[ζ -function regularization (see * below):

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+y}{x} = \sqrt{2\pi x} / \Gamma(1+y)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{\hbar T i} \frac{\sqrt{\hbar T / i}}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2\pi})} \frac{\sqrt{\hbar T i}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi})} = \frac{\alpha}{i} \left(\Gamma(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}) \Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}) \right)^{-1}$$

[$\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(1+z) = z\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(z) = \frac{\pi z}{\sin \pi z}$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{i} \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{\alpha}{2\pi})}{\pi \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} = -2i \sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$$

* ζ -function regularization

$$\text{Generalized zeta function } \zeta(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+a)^{-s}$$

is absolutely convergent for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ and has analytic continuation which is regular at $s=0$, with

$$\zeta(0, a) = \frac{1}{2} - a, \quad \zeta'(0, a) = \log \Gamma(a) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi.$$

Here $\Gamma(a)$ is Gamma function.

$$\left(\text{A convenient property: } \Gamma(a+1) = a\Gamma(a), \quad \Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi a} \right)$$

$$\text{Note: } \zeta'(s, a) := \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \zeta(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -\log(n+a) (n+a)^{-s}.$$

Using these we find

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+y}{X} &= \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\log(n+y) - \log X) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\zeta'(0, 1+y) - \log X \cdot \zeta(0, 1) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\Gamma(1+y) + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{1}{2} \log X \right) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi X}}{\Gamma(1+y)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{B} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{i\omega T}{2\pi n - \alpha} \right)$$

• 1 at $i\omega T = 0$

• simple zero at $i\omega T = 2\pi n - \alpha$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$= \frac{\sin\left(\frac{i\omega T + \alpha}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}$$

$$= \left(e^{i\frac{i\omega T + \alpha}{2}} - e^{-i\frac{i\omega T + \alpha}{2}} \right) / 2i \sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2} + i\frac{\alpha}{2}} - e^{\frac{\omega T}{2} - i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right) / 2i \sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$$

$$\therefore Z_{\alpha}(S_T) = \textcircled{A} \cdot \textcircled{B} = e^{\frac{\omega T}{2} - i\frac{\alpha}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2} + i\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

This match with the operator result for

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} (-1)^F e^{\frac{i\alpha}{\hbar} \hat{Q}} e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}}$$

with the symmetric ordering

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\omega}{2} [\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}, \hat{\psi}], \quad \hat{Q} = \frac{1}{2} [\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}, \hat{\psi}].$$

∴ δ -function regularization

corresponds to the symmetric ordering.

Remark (O_p) The two cases " \pm " of the operator result

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} (\pm 1)^F e^{\frac{i\alpha}{\hbar} \hat{Q}} e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}} = e^{s\omega T - i s' \alpha} (1 \pm e^{-\omega T + i\alpha}).$$

is consistent with each other. Indeed, for $s' = 0$,

$$\hat{Q} = \begin{cases} 0 \\ \hbar \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{\frac{i\alpha}{\hbar} \hat{Q}} = \begin{cases} 1 \\ e^{i\alpha} \end{cases} \quad \text{on} \quad \begin{cases} |0\rangle \\ \hat{\varphi}^+ |0\rangle, \end{cases}$$

and in particular $e^{\frac{i\alpha}{\hbar} \hat{Q}} = (-1)^F$ for $\alpha \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$.

Thus, $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \pi$ does

$$e^{\frac{i\alpha}{\hbar} \hat{Q}} \rightarrow (-1)^F e^{\frac{i\alpha}{\hbar} \hat{Q}}$$

$$1 + e^{-\omega T + i\alpha} \rightarrow 1 - e^{-\omega T + i\alpha}$$

That is, the two cases $+$ & $-$ are swapped by

$\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \pi$ for $s' = 0$ in which $e^{\frac{i\pi}{\hbar} \hat{Q}} = (-1)^F$.

This is also the case when s' is an even integer.

For a general s' , $e^{\frac{i\pi}{\hbar} \hat{Q}} = (-1)^F e^{-i\pi s'}$, and the

two cases are also swapped by $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \pi$.

(PI) On the other hand, the path-integral result

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\alpha(S_T^I) &:= \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^T d\tau L_E} \\ &\bar{\Psi}(\tau+T) = e^{i\alpha} \bar{\Psi}(\tau), \quad \Psi(\tau+T) = e^{-i\alpha} \Psi(\tau) \\ &= e^{\frac{\omega T}{2} - i\frac{\alpha}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2} + i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

is a bit strange. The definition appears to be periodic under $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + 2\pi$, but the result is periodic only under $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + 4\pi$.

Related problem: $Z_\alpha(S_T^I)$ for $\alpha = \pm\pi$ is the path-integral over $\Psi(\tau), \bar{\Psi}(\tau)$ which is antiperiodic under $\tau \rightarrow \tau+T$, and this is supposed to be equal to $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}})$.

However the results

$$Z_{\pm\pi}(S_T^I) = \mp i (e^{\frac{\omega T}{2}} + e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2}}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}}) = e^{\frac{\omega T}{2}} + e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2}}$$

differ by phases.

At first sight, it appears that the problem is in the ζ -function regularization

$$\textcircled{A} := \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{i(2\pi n - \alpha)}{hT} \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} -2i \sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$$

LHS appears to be periodic under $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + 2\pi$, but

RHS changes its sign under $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + 2\pi$.

However, whatever regularization you use, the ∞ -product

$$\prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{i(2\pi n - \alpha)}{hT} \text{ must change its sign under } \alpha \rightarrow \alpha + 2\pi:$$

As $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + 2\pi$, one particular $2\pi n - \alpha$ changes its sign and the set of other $(2\pi n - \alpha)$'s goes back to itself.

Nothing is particularly wrong about ζ -function regularization.

A way-out of the problem is to modify the definition of

the path-integral measure as

$$\mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi = e^{i(m + \frac{1}{2})\alpha} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d\bar{\Psi}_n d\Psi_n \quad \text{with } m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which results in

$$Z_\alpha(S'_T) = e^{\frac{\omega T}{2} + im\alpha} - e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2} + i(m+1)\alpha}$$

This is the same as the operator result for $S = \frac{1}{2}$, $S' = -m$.

It is periodic under $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + 2\pi$, and indeed

$$Z_0(S'_T) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} (-1)^F e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}}$$

$$Z_\pi(S'_T) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} e^{-\frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}}$$

This looks like a "cheap trick" and it looks strange that it depends on an additional parameter $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

However, it turns out to be a "reasonable solution" in a certain sense. We will see that when we systematically study anomaly.