

Symplectic view on constrained systems

As discussed briefly in the class, some of the constructions (Dirac bracket for 2nd class; reduced phase space for 1st class) can be understood transparently when we view phase spaces as symplectic manifolds. Here I explain that.

phase space = symplectic manifold

A phase space is a manifold M with a Poisson bracket $\{, \}$ which is a bilinear map from the space of smooth functions on M to itself, satisfying

(i) antisymmetry: $\{f, g\} = -\{g, f\}$,

(ii) derivation: $\{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}h + g\{f, h\}$,

(iii) Jacobi identity: $\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0$,

(iv) non-degeneracy: for any local coordinate system (x^i)

the matrix with entries $\{x^i, x^j\}$ is invertible.

Remarks • Often, (iv) is dropped in the definition of Poisson bracket.

In that definition, a phase space is a manifold with a non-degenerate Poisson bracket.

• Antisymmetric invertible matrix exists only when the size is even.

Thus, the dimension of M is even, $\dim M = 2n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

- Some of you may consider the phase space as a space with a class of coordinates $(q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ by which Poisson bracket is given by $\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q^i} \right)$. Relation to that is given by Darboux's theorem: For $2n$ -dimensional manifold M with a Poisson bracket $\{, \}$, at any point $x \in M$, one can find a coordinate system $(q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ on a neighborhood of x st. $\{q^i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$, $\{q^i, q^j\} = \{p_i, p_j\} = 0$.

A symplectic manifold is a manifold M with a symplectic form ω which is a non-degenerate closed 2-form.

Remarks • "closed" means $d\omega = 0$.

- "non-degenerate" means: for any local coordinate system (x^i) , the matrix with entries $\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)$ is invertible.

Again, as antisymmetric matrix can be invertible only when the size is even, the dimension of M must be even, $2n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$).

"non-degeneracy" can also be described as, assuming

$$\dim M = 2n, \quad \omega^n = \underbrace{\omega \wedge \dots \wedge \omega}_n \neq 0 \text{ everywhere.}$$

Poisson bracket \leftrightarrow symplectic form

A Poisson bracket $\{, \}$ determines a symplectic form ω , and vice versa: For a local coordinate system $(x^I)_{I=1}^{2n}$,

$$\sum_J \{x^I, x^J\} \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^J}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^K}\right) = -\delta_K^I.$$

(ie. $\{x^I, x^J\} =$ minus the inverse matrix of $\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^I}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^J}\right)$)

In a Darboux coordinate $(q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$,

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q^i} \right)$$

$$\omega = \sum_i dq^i \wedge dp^i.$$

We may write the relationship in a "coordinate-free" way:

For a function f on M , let X_f be the vector field defined by

$$X_f g = \{f, g\}$$

for any function g on M . Then, the relationship is

$$\{f, g\} = \omega(X_f, X_g).$$

Indeed, since $X_f g = X_f^I \partial_I g \approx \{f, g\} = \partial_K f(x^K, x^I) \partial_I g$

we find $X_f^I = \partial_K \{f, x^K\}$ and hence

$$\omega(X_f, X_g) = \omega_{zj} X_f^z X_g^j = \omega_{zj} \partial_k f \{x^k, x^z\} \partial_L g \{x^L, x^j\}$$

$$= -\partial_k f \partial_L g \{x^L, x^k\} = \partial_k f \{x^k, x^L\} \partial_L g = \{f, g\} \cdot \nu$$

From the symplectic side, the vector field X_f is characterized by

$$\nu f = \omega(\nu, X_f)$$

for any vector field ν on M .

A useful commutation relation

For any pair of functions f and g on M ,

$$[X_f, X_g] = X_{\{f, g\}}$$

proof For another function h , Jacobi identity

$$\underbrace{\{f, \{g, h\}\}}_{X_f X_g h} + \underbrace{\{g, \{h, f\}\}}_{-X_g X_f h} + \underbrace{\{h, \{f, g\}\}}_{-X_{\{f, g\}} h} = 0$$

reads $[X_f, X_g]h = X_{\{f, g\}}h$. As h is arbitrary, this means the claimed relation. \square

The case of second class constraint

Let $(M, \{, \})$ be a $2n$ -dimensional phase space and

let $N \subset M$ a submanifold of 2nd class constraint.

That is, for any point x of N , there is an open neighborhood U

of x in M with a set of functions $\{\varphi^a\}_{a=1}^m$ on U such that

$$N \cap U = \{ \varphi^1 = \dots = \varphi^m = 0 \} \text{ and } \det\{\varphi^a, \varphi^b\} \neq 0 \text{ on } N \cap U.$$

Remarks As $\{\varphi^a, \varphi^b\}$ is an antisymmetric and invertible matrix, its size must be even. That is, the codimension m of N in M must be even.

Let ω be the symplectic form corresponding to $\{, \}$. We

denote by $\omega|_N$ the restriction of ω to N . It is a closed

$$2\text{-form on } N : d(\omega|_N) = (d\omega)|_N = 0.$$

Theorem

(i) $\omega|_N$ is non-degenerate.

In particular, it is a symplectic form on N .

(ii) The Dirac bracket $\{, \}_N$ is the Poisson bracket corresponding to $\omega|_N$.

proof It is enough to prove the statements at/near any point p of N .

Choose local coordinates $(x^r)_{r=1}^{2n-m}$ on a neighborhood of p in N .

We can find extensions \tilde{x}^r of x^r defined on a neighborhood U of p in M s.t.

$$\{\tilde{x}^r, \varphi^a\}|_{N \cap U} = 0.$$

Construction: Let \tilde{x}'^r be any extension of x^r and put

$$\tilde{x}^r = \tilde{x}'^r - \{\tilde{x}'^r, \varphi^b\} D_{bc} \varphi^c.$$

Note: $\{\varphi^a, \varphi^b\}$ is invertible at p , hence it is invertible in a neighborhood of p in M . D_{bc} here is the inverse.

Thus \tilde{x}^r are defined on a neighborhood U of p in M and

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{x}^r, \varphi^a\}|_{N \cap U} &= (\{\tilde{x}'^r, \varphi^a\} - \{\tilde{x}'^r, \varphi^b\} \underbrace{D_{bc} \{\varphi^c, \varphi^a\}}_{\delta_b^a})|_{N \cap U} \\ &= 0. \quad \underline{\text{OK}}. \end{aligned}$$

Narrowing down U if necessary, $(\tilde{x}^1, \dots, \tilde{x}^{2n-m}, \varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^m)$

form coordinates on U .

(i) The inverse $\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{rs} & \omega_{rb} \\ \omega_{as} & \omega_{ab} \end{pmatrix}$ of $-\begin{pmatrix} \{\tilde{x}^r, \tilde{x}^s\} & \{\tilde{x}^r, \varphi^b\} \\ \{\varphi^a, \tilde{x}^s\} & \{\varphi^a, \varphi^b\} \end{pmatrix}$

enters in to the symplectic form on U :

$$\omega|_U = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{rs} d\tilde{x}^r \wedge d\tilde{x}^s + \omega_{rb} d\tilde{x}^r \wedge d\varphi^b + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{ab} d\varphi^a \wedge d\varphi^b.$$

As $\{\tilde{x}^r, \varphi^a\}|_{N \cap U} = 0$, this inverse is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{ab} \end{pmatrix}$ on $N \cap U$. In particular, (ω_{rs}) is invertible on $N \cap U$.

Thus, $\omega|_{N \cap U} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{rs} d\tilde{x}^r \wedge d\tilde{x}^s$ is non-degenerate.

(ii) Let $f(x)$ & $g(x)$ be functions of $x \in N \cap U$. As their extensions to U , take $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, \varphi) = f(\tilde{x})$ & $\tilde{g}(\tilde{x}, \varphi) = g(\tilde{x})$. Then

$$\{f, g\}_U|_{N \cap U} = (\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\} - \{\tilde{f}, \varphi^a\} D_{ab} \{\varphi^b, \tilde{g}\})|_{N \cap U}$$

$$\left[\{\tilde{f}, \varphi^a\}|_{N \cap U} = \frac{\partial f(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}^r} \{\tilde{x}^r, \varphi^a\}|_{N \cap U} = 0 \right]$$

$$= \{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}|_{N \cap U} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{x}^r}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{x}^s}(\tilde{x}) \{\tilde{x}^r, \tilde{x}^s\}|_{N \cap U}$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{x}^r}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{x}^s}(\tilde{x}) \{\tilde{x}^r, \tilde{x}^s\}|_{N \cap U} = -(\omega^{-1})^{rs}$$

= Poisson bracket corresponding to $\omega|_{N \cap U}$ of f & g .

Q.E.D.

The case of first class constraint

Let $(M, \{ \cdot \})$ be a phase space and let $\{ \varphi^a = 0 \}_{a=1}^m$ be a first class constraint. It must obey

$$\{ \varphi^a, \varphi^b \} = C_c^{ab} \varphi^c$$

for some function C_c^{ab} . Here we assume that C_c^{ab} is a constant.

By Jacobi identity of Poisson bracket, C_c^{ab} may be regarded as the structure constants of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} :

$$[e^a, e^b] = C_c^{ab} e^c \quad \text{for a basis } \{e^a\}_{a=1}^m, C^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Recall that we have vector fields X_{φ^a} on M s.t. $X_{\varphi^a} f = \{ \varphi^a, f \}$.

They satisfy $[X_{\varphi^a}, X_{\varphi^b}] = X_{\{ \varphi^a, \varphi^b \}} = C_c^{ab} X_{\varphi^c}$. In other words, if we write $X_{\xi} = \xi_a X_{\varphi^a}$ for $\xi = \xi_a e^a \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$[X_{\xi}, X_{\eta}] = X_{[\xi, \eta]}. \quad \text{—————} (*)$$

This means that \mathfrak{g} acts on M . Also, if we write

$$\mu_{\xi} = \xi_a \varphi^a \quad \text{for } \xi = \xi_a e^a \in \mathfrak{g},$$

we have

$$X_{\xi} \mu_{\eta} = \mu_{[\xi, \eta]}. \quad \text{—————} (**)$$

Indeed $X_{\xi} \mu_{\eta} = \xi_a \eta_b X_{\varphi^a} \varphi^b = \xi_a \eta_b \{ \varphi^a, \varphi^b \} = \xi_a \eta_b \underbrace{C_c^{ab}}_{[\xi, \eta]_c} \varphi^c$.

Another assumption the \mathfrak{g} action on M integrates to an action of a connected Lie group G which has \mathfrak{g} as its Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$. By the sign of (X) , it must be a right action (See exercise for Lect 4):

$$X_{\xi} f(x) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(x e^{t\xi}) \right|_{t=0}.$$

Then (X^*) integrates to

$$M_{\eta}(xg) = M_{g\eta g^{-1}}(x) \quad \text{—————} \quad \widetilde{(X^*)}.$$

When we view the phase space as a symplectic manifold, the structure we are having is said succinctly as

" (M, ω) has a Hamiltonian G -action"

or more specifically as

" G acts on M preserving a symplectic form ω with a moment map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$."

" G preserves ω " means $g^*\omega = \omega$ for $\forall g \in G$.

" a moment map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a map satisfying

(i) For $\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $d\langle \mu, \xi \rangle = -i_{X_\xi} \omega$.

(ii) It is G -equivariant.

Note $\mathfrak{g}^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, the space of linear forms on \mathfrak{g} .
There is a right G -action on \mathfrak{g}^* : for $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $g \in G$,
 $f \circ g \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by $\langle f \circ g, \xi \rangle = \langle f, g \xi g^{-1} \rangle$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\langle \mu, \xi \rangle$ is a \mathbb{R} -valued function on M .

Then (i) and (ii) means the following:

(i) For any vector field V on M ,

$$V \langle \mu, \xi \rangle = -\omega(X_\xi, V) = \omega(V, X_\xi).$$

(ii) For $x \in M$ and $g \in G$, $\mu(xg) = \mu(x) \circ g$. In other words,

$$\langle \mu(xg), \xi \rangle = \langle \mu(x), g \xi g^{-1} \rangle \text{ for } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

If we denote $\mu_\xi = \langle \mu, \xi \rangle$, these read

(i) $V \mu_\xi = \omega(V, X_\xi)$.

(ii) $\mu_\xi(xg) = \mu_{g \xi g^{-1}}(x)$.

Let us check that is indeed the case.

- G action preserves ω , $g^*\omega = \omega \quad \forall g$.

As G is connected, this is equivalent to its infinitesimal form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_{\xi}} \omega = 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$$

We test it for vector fields X_f, X_g :

$$(\mathcal{L}_{X_{\xi}} \omega)(X_f, X_g)$$

$$= \underbrace{X_{\xi}}_{X_{\mu_{\xi}}} \underbrace{\omega(X_f, X_g)}_{\{f, g\}} - \omega(\underbrace{[X_{\xi}, X_f]}_{[X_{\mu_{\xi}}, X_f]}, X_g) - \omega(X_f, \underbrace{[X_{\xi}, X_g]}_{[X_{\mu_{\xi}}, X_g]})$$

$$= \{ \mu_{\xi}, \{f, g\} \} - \{ \{ \mu_{\xi}, f \}, g \} - \{ f, \{ \mu_{\xi}, g \} \}$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{by Jacobi identity.} \quad \checkmark$$

- $\nu \mu_{\xi} = \omega(\nu, X_{\xi})$ follows from $X_{\xi} = X_{\mu_{\xi}}$ and $\nu f = \omega(\nu, X_f)$.

- $\mu_{\xi}(xg) = \mu_{g \xi g^{-1}}(x)$ is nothing but (**).

Thus, indeed, G preserves the symplectic form ω and

$$\mu_{\xi} = \sum_a \xi^a \mu_a \quad \text{defines a moment map } \mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Conversely, if there is a G -action on M preserving ω with a moment map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, it defines a 1st class constraint on the corresponding phase space: $\mathcal{P}^a := M e^a$ for a basis $\{e^a\}_{a=1}^m$ of $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$.

Symplectic quotients

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and suppose a Lie group G acts on M preserving ω with a moment map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Under some assumption, another symplectic manifold called the symplectic quotient is associated to this.

First, we consider the subspace $\mu^{-1}(0) = \{x \in M \mid \mu(x) = 0\} \subset M$.

By G -equivariance of μ , if $\mu(x) = 0$ then $\mu(xg) = 0$.

Thus G acts on the subspace $\mu^{-1}(0)$.

Assumption: the G action on $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is free

Then at any $x \in \mu^{-1}(0)$, $d\mu_x: T_x M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is surjective.

⊖ For $v \in T_x M$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\langle d\mu_x(v), \xi \rangle = \omega(v, X_\xi(x))$.
 As the G -action is free, $\xi \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto X_\xi(x) \in T_x M$ is injective.
 By non-degeneracy of ω , $\{d\mu_x(v) \mid v \in T_x M\}$ spans \mathfrak{g}^* . //

Thus the condition $\mu=0$ cuts down d.o.f. just by $\dim \mathfrak{g}$.

$\mu^{-1}(0) \subset M$ is a submanifold of dimension $\dim M - \dim \mathfrak{g}$.

We make an additional technical assumption that

$\mu^{-1}(0)/G$ has a structure of manifold and the quotient map

$\pi: \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ defines a principal G -bundle.

(This is automatic if G is compact.)

The task is to construct a symplectic form on $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$.

Preparation For $x \in \mu^{-1}(0)$, $\mathfrak{g}_x := \{X_\xi(x) \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{g}\} \subset T_x \mu^{-1}(0)$,

$$\omega_x|_{T_x \mu^{-1}(0) \times \mathfrak{g}_x} = 0$$

⊖ $\forall v \in T_x \mu^{-1}(0)$, $\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\omega(v, X_\xi(x)) = \langle d\mu_x(v), \xi \rangle = v\mu_\xi$.
But μ_ξ is constantly 0 in $\mu^{-1}(0)$ and hence $v\mu_\xi = 0$ \square

Construction For $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ and $v, w \in T_p(\mu^{-1}(0)/G)$, choose

arbitrary lifts $x \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ and $\tilde{v}, \tilde{w} \in T_x \mu^{-1}(0)$ ("lift"

means $\pi(x) = p$ and $\pi_* \tilde{v} = v$, $\pi_* \tilde{w} = w$) and put

$$\bar{\omega}_p(v, w) = \omega_x(\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}).$$

need to check (i) independent of the choice of lifts.

(ii) closed, $d\bar{\omega} = 0$

(ii') non-degenerate.

(i). Choice of \tilde{v} & \tilde{w} (same $x \in \pi^{-1}(p)$):

Another choice of \tilde{v} is $\tilde{v} + X_{\xi}(x)$ for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

But this does not change $\omega_x(v, w)$ by preparation.

Same for \tilde{w} .

• Choice of $x \in \pi^{-1}(p)$:

Another choice is xg for some $g \in G$.

As the lifts of v & w , we may take $\tilde{v}g, \tilde{w}g$.

Then $\omega_{g(x)}(\tilde{v}g, \tilde{w}g) = (g^*\omega)_x(\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) = \omega_x(\tilde{v}, \tilde{w})$. OK

(ii) $d\bar{\omega} = 0$?

Take $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in T_p(M^{(0)}/G)$. Extend them to vector fields on a neighborhood U of p with lifts to vector fields $\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2, \tilde{v}_3$

on $\pi^{-1}(U)$ s.t. $[\tilde{v}_i, \tilde{v}_j]$ are lifts of $[v_i, v_j]$. This is

possible by taking U s.t. $\pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is trivializable

as a G -bundle, $\pi^{-1}(U) \cong U \times G \xrightarrow{\text{left}} U$.

$$\begin{aligned}
d\bar{\omega}(U_1, U_2, U_3) &= U_1 \bar{\omega}(U_2, U_3) + \text{cyclic} - \bar{\omega}([U_1, U_2], U_3) - \text{cyclic} \\
&= \tilde{U}_1 \omega(\tilde{U}_2, \tilde{U}_3) + \text{cyclic} - \omega([\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{U}_2], \tilde{U}_3) - \text{cyclic} \\
&= d\omega(\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{U}_2, \tilde{U}_3) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore d\bar{\omega} = 0$. OK.

(iii) $\bar{\omega}$ non-degenerate?

Take $x \in \mu^{-1}(0)$.

As ω_x is non-degenerate on $T_x M \times T_x M$ and 0 on $\mathfrak{g}_x \times T_x \mu^{-1}(0)$

and since $N_x \mu^{-1}(0) = T_x M / T_x \mu^{-1}(0)$ has the same dimension as \mathfrak{g}_x ,

ω_x induces a non-degenerate bilinear form on $N_x \mu^{-1}(0) \times \mathfrak{g}_x$.

Thus, for the decomposition

$$T_x M \cong T_x \mu^{-1}(0) \oplus N_x \mu^{-1}(0) \cong T_x \mu^{-1}(0) / \mathfrak{g}_x \oplus \mathfrak{g}_x \oplus N_x \mu^{-1}(0)$$

ω_x is represented by a matrix

*	0	*
0	0	*
*	*	*

maximal rank

This means that ω_x is non-degenerate on $T_x \mu^{-1}(0) / \mathfrak{g}_x \times T_x \mu^{-1}(0) / \mathfrak{g}_x$.

That is, $\bar{\omega}_p : T_p \mu^{-1}(0) / \mathfrak{G} \times T_p \mu^{-1}(0) / \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-degenerate.

OK.

(i), (ii), (iii) are all checked.

Thus, $\bar{\omega}$ is a symplectic form on $\bar{\mu}^{-1}(0)/G$.

The symplectic manifold $(\bar{\mu}^{-1}(0)/G, \bar{\omega})$ is called

the symplectic quotient of (M, ω) by G action with moment map μ .

Reduced phase space = symplectic quotient

Finally, we would like to show that, under the assumptions made,

the reduced phase space $(M^*, f, \{, \}_{M^*})$

corresponds to the symplectic quotient $(\bar{\mu}^{-1}(0)/G, \bar{\omega})$.

Note that $N = \{\varphi^1 = \dots = \varphi^m = 0\} = \{\mu = 0\} = \bar{\mu}^{-1}(0)$ and

the equivalence relation \sim in N is equal to G -equivalence.

Thus $M^* = N/\sim = \bar{\mu}^{-1}(0)/G$.

Therefore, the question is whether the Poisson bracket $\{, \}_{M^*}$ corresponds to the symplectic form $\bar{\omega}$. This is judged by

examining, say, for functions f and g on M^* , whether

$$\{f, g\}_{M^*} \stackrel{?}{=} \bar{\omega}(X_f, X_g).$$

Recall that, if \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} are extensions of f and g to a neighborhood of N in M st. $\{\tilde{f}, \varphi^a\} = f_b^a \varphi^b$, $\{\tilde{g}, \varphi^a\} = g_b^a \varphi^b$, then

$$\{f, g\}_{M^*} = \{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\} = \omega(X_{\tilde{f}}, X_{\tilde{g}}).$$

Recall also that, if \tilde{X}_f and \tilde{X}_g are lifts of X_f and X_g to $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(0)$,

$$\bar{\omega}(X_f, X_g) = \omega(\tilde{X}_f, \tilde{X}_g).$$

Thus the question is whether $X_{\tilde{f}}$ and $X_{\tilde{g}}$ are lifts of X_f and X_g .

We first consider $X_{\tilde{f}}$.

Is $X_{\tilde{f}}$ tangent to $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(0) = N$?

The answer is YES as $X_{\tilde{f}} \varphi^a = \{\tilde{f}, \varphi^a\} = f_b^a \varphi^b = 0$ on N .

Then, $\pi_* X_{\tilde{f}} = X_f$?

X_f is characterized by $Uf = \bar{\omega}(U, X_f) \quad \forall U \in T_p(\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(0)/G)$,

and $X_{\tilde{f}}$ is characterized by $\tilde{U}\tilde{f} = \omega(\tilde{U}, X_{\tilde{f}}) \quad \forall \tilde{U} \in T_x M$.

For any $U \in T_p(\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(0)/G)$ take a lift $\tilde{U} \in T_x \tilde{\mu}^{-1}(0)$, $x \in \tilde{\mu}^{-1}(p)$.

Then, as $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi$, $\tilde{v}\tilde{f} = \tilde{v}(f \circ \pi) = \pi_*\tilde{v}f = v f$.

$$\therefore v f = \tilde{v}\tilde{f} = \omega(\tilde{v}, X_{\tilde{f}}) = \bar{\omega}(\pi_*\tilde{v}, \pi_*X_{\tilde{f}}) = \bar{\omega}(v, \pi_*X_{\tilde{f}}).$$

This shows $\pi_*X_{\tilde{f}} = X_f$ indeed, hence $X_{\tilde{f}}$ is a lift of X_f .

Similarly, $X_{\tilde{g}}$ is a lift of X_g .

$$\therefore \bar{\omega}(X_f, X_g) = \omega(X_{\tilde{f}}, X_{\tilde{g}}) = \{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\} = \{f, g\}_{M^*}.$$

The Poisson bracket $\{, \}_{M^*}$ corresponds to the symplectic form $\bar{\omega}$.

