QUANTITATIVE TESTS OF

MALDACENA'S CONJECTURE

O. Aharony

June 24, 1998

based partly on:

OA, Oz, Yin hep-th/9803051 OA, Fayyazuddin, Maldacena hep-th/9806159 work in progress with Kachry, Silverstein

and many other papers...

Discussion will be limited to:

- * Field theory aspects
- * Quantitative tests
- * 2+1 dimensions or more ; CFTs



Maldacena's conjecture:

TB string theory ~ 91=4 d=4 U(N) SYM
on AdSs x5

R ~ (97mN) M Jai ~ N M lp

9st ~ 97m (TTB = TYM)

M on Ads x5" ~ (2,0) d=6 An-1 SCFT
R~N"320

M on Adsyx5 ~ 91=8 d=3 An-1 SCFT

(IR limit of U(N) SYM)

Derivation: start from p-branes as

solitonic solutions of D-branes: open

SUGRA (string M theory) = strings coupled

to closed bulk

strings

and take $\ell_s(\ell_p) \rightarrow 0$.

Works for any N,9s but more convincing for large N,9sN (left hand side = SUGRA).

Possibilities:

- 1) Equivalence for all N,9s (strong)
- a) Equivalence for N→∞ with fixed gs N, 't Hooft limit (weak)
- 3) Equivalence only for large N, gs N.

Would be nice to have direct tests, but unfortunately almost all tests check only 3),

To compare field theories need Ads → CFT:

Boundary values of fields on Ads ->
Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov couplings of CFT operators
Witten

$$\langle e^{+c_{\text{FT}} + \int \phi_{i}^{\text{T}} \Theta_{i}} \rangle = \langle e^{\text{W}} |_{\phi_{i} \to \phi_{i}^{\text{T}} U_{y_{\text{T}}}} \rangle$$

$$(ds^{2} \cong \frac{du_{i}^{2}}{U_{i}^{2}} + u_{i}^{2} dx^{2})$$

 $h_{\rm I}$ is determined by $m(\Phi^{\rm I})$:

Mass spectrum on Ads (

spectrum of dimensions in CFT.

Q: Are all operators and correlation functions the same?

Problem: different regimes of computability for most quantities.

Can test things that do not depend on gon, but usually (on string/M theory side) only for large N (SUGRA limit): test only very weak conjecture. Examples:

1) Symmetries : (Maldacena)

$$d=4$$
 $50(a,4) \times 50(6) \subset 50(a,a/4)$
 $5L(a,Z)$
 $d=3$ $50(a,3) \times 50(8) \subset \frac{100}{100} \frac{10$

2) Anomaly matching: (Witten; Freedman et al)

$$q=6 \ \log(2)^{4} \ S_{0}$$

Similarly, can compare other 2and 3-point functions that do not depend on 95N.

3) Large N matching of chiral operators (described below).

d=4 = Horowitz+Ooguri; Witten; Ferrara, Fronsdal,
Zaffaroni; Andrianopoli, Ferrara; Witten
d=3,6 = OA, Oz, Yin; Minwalla; Leigh, Rosali; Halyo

All test very weak conjecture, and seem to be guaranteed to work from derivation (e.g. chiral operators= couplings to bulk fields around the brane).

Matching of chiral operators (d=3,4,6):
Field theory: (from DLCQ in d=6,
from UV SYM in d=3)

With spin 0-2 (up to Q4Q4 and not Q8Q8).

Lowest component = real scalar in representation of so (8,6,5)

R-symmetry, K= (1), a,3,-..,N.
For d=4 it is tr(Xi-...Xin) where
X = adjoint scalar in vector multiplet,
contractions = non-chiral
commutators = descendants.

All other chiral fields are descendants of this, including spin a field in same reps.

IIB string theory /M theory: identified with low-energy sugar modes, spin 0-2.

Example: spin a fields on AdS come from graviton, how (x,y) = & how (x) 4k(y),

Yk are in reps -> spin a fields

match susy all fields match.

- * Field theory spectrum truncates at k=N, △=N→ m²~ N²/k²>>>m²→ cannot be understood from SUGRA, but required for finite N matching, Similar issues for AdS3.
- * Matching of most operators for orbifolds/orientifolds is similar, with same truncations on both sides.

 New states also exist for orientifolds: for dual of solan)

 Pfaffian E^{x1--xw} Xⁱⁱ_{x1x2} -- X^{iv}_{x1x2} and S⁵/22.

Operator with D=N: test of finite N duality!

similar wrapped membrane (fivebrane) exists for dual of d=6 (d=3) DN theory.

Generalization to n=2: Fayyazuddin+Spalinski
OA, Maldacena, Fayyazuddin

Similar test for M=2 SCFTs of 3-branes at 7-brane singularities:
More elaborate (susy (ess restrictive)
but still weak test.

Conformal theories arise for 3-branes
at G= Ho, Hi, Hz, D4, 66, Ez, E8 7-branes

(singularities in F-theory on K3). Banksy Douglast
Seiberg
Dasgupta-Mukhi
7-brane metric:

 $ds^{2} = \frac{dz^{2}}{|z|^{2}} + dx_{i}dx_{i} \quad (u = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ Metric transverse to 3-brane probe can be written as $ds^{2} = dr^{2} + r^{2}dx_{s}^{2}$ $dx_{s}^{2} = d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}(\theta)d\phi^{2} + \cos^{2}(\theta)dx_{3}^{3}$

where ϕ has periodicity $a\pi(1-\frac{\pi}{2})$, incorporating deficit angle (also monodromies for some fields in ϕ).

Can compute metric for N 3-branes and take near-horison limit:

find IIB string theory on Ads, * 35, a background with a G-7-brane wrapped on 53x AdSs.

conjecture: this is equivalent to the corresponding M=2 SCFTs.

compare chiral operators =

Low-energy states now come both from reduction of sucra fields on 35, and from reduction of 7-brane fields on 53.

originally lowest components of sugramultiplets had $\Delta = k = changed$ periodicity leads to operators With $\Delta = \frac{k}{(1-\frac{d}{2})}; k = 1,2, \dots$ which can be identified with the Coulomb branch coordinates of the corresponding theories.

In Dy case can compare all operators
to M=2 USp(2N) + antisymmetric Y+ Y
fundamental hypermultiplets q. OA, Somenschein, Theiston
pouglas, Lowe, Schwarz

For instance, 7-brane fields have spin 0-1: all in small multiplets of 90=2 SCA. Can identify their KK modes on 53 with field theory chiral multiplets, whose lowest component is 9; Y*Qjj; k=0,1,2,-- (for k=0 the Qā component is the global symmetry current).

Get another large N test +

predictions for large N spectrum of
many theories (M=0,1,2) arising from

3-branes at 7-brane singularities,
most of which have no Lagrangian

description.

A possible test whose success does not seem to be guaranteed from the brane derivation: comparison of exactly marginal deformations of M=4, d=4 sym.

(Work in progress with Kachru, Silverstein)

Field theory: can prove (Leigh+Strass/er) that the chiral deformation $W = h_1 tr(\Phi_1^3 + \Phi_2^3 + \Phi_3^3) + h_2 tr(\Phi_1^3 + \Phi_3^4 + \Phi_3^4)$ is exactly marginal \rightarrow 3 dimensional surface of $\Phi_1 = 1$ SCFTs parametrized by g_1, h_1, h_2 .

String theory (sugra):

Marginal deformation = solution with non-zero boundary values for some of the massless fields (Bab in this case).

exactly marginal = solution which doesn't depend on AdS coordinates (preserves 50(a,4)).

Field theory argument here is for finite No so this would test at least the finite N duality. Result-TBA.