

Generalization of the R^4 Conjecture

with Cumrun Vafa

hep-th/9803145

D=10 Type IIB low-energy effective action:

$$S_{10} = \frac{1}{K^2} \int d^10x \sqrt{G} (R + \alpha'^3 R^4 \left(\text{tree} \left(2\zeta(3) e^{\frac{-3\pi i}{2}} + \frac{2\pi^2}{3} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}} + \dots \right) \right) + \text{1-loop terms})$$

R^4 conjecture of Green + Gutperle:

$$= \frac{1}{K^2} \int d^10x \sqrt{G} (R + \alpha'^3 R^4 \sum'_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\tau_2^{3/2}}{|m_1 + m_2 \tau_2|^3})$$

$$\tau = A + i\epsilon^{-q}, \quad \sum'_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\tau_2^{3/2}}{|m_1 + m_2 \tau_2|^3} = 2\zeta(3)\tau_2^{3/2} + \frac{2\pi^2}{3}\tau_2^{-1/2} + O(\epsilon^0)$$

Conjecture $\Rightarrow R^4$ term is $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -invariant and gets perturbative contributions only at tree-level and one-loop

For compactification on T_2 , there is a stronger version of R^4 conjecture by Kutasov + Pioline:

$$S_9 = \frac{1}{K^2} \int d^9x \sqrt{G} (R + \alpha'^3 R^4 \sum'_{m_1, m_2, m_3} (m_1 m_2 m_3)^{-3/2} M^{ip}(\lambda_s, \sigma_i, \sigma_s, A, B))^{1/2}$$

7 scalars split into $\frac{SL(2, \mathbb{R})}{SO(2)}$ and $\frac{SL(3, \mathbb{R})}{SO(3)}$ moduli

$\rho_1 + i\rho_2$: complex moduli ; λ_s : coupling constant ; $\sigma_i + i\sigma_s$: Kahler moduli ; $A + iB$: R-R scalar

Conjecture is $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \times SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ inv. and implies

D=10 conjecture when $\sigma_2 \rightarrow \infty$

Can one generalize these conjectures for other terms in the Type IIB effective action?

Our $R^n H^{4g-4}$

conjecture: $\mathcal{D}_{10} = \frac{1}{k!} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{G} (R + H_+ H_- + "H_5^2" + \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} c_g \sum_{p=0}^{2g+1} \sum_{m_1, m_2} R^n H_5^{4g-4-p-1} H_+^p H_-^q \sum' \frac{\tau_2^{g+\frac{1}{2}}}{(m_1 + m_2 \tau)^{\frac{2g+1+p+q}{2}} (m_1 + m_2 \bar{\tau})^{\frac{2g+1+q-p}{2}}})$

$$H_+ = \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} (H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{\mu\nu\rho} - \bar{\tau} H_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}), \quad H_- = \bar{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}} (H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{\mu\nu\rho} - \bar{\tau} H_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma})$$

$$H_5 = H_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad c_g = \text{overall constant}$$

Conjecture $\Rightarrow R^n H^{4g-4}$ term is $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ invariant and gets perturbative corrections only at tree-level and g-loops.

In $d=9$, $R^n H^{4g-4}$ term cannot come from local $d=11$ term compactified on T^2 .

May come from non-local $d=11$ term compactified on T^2 .

For compactification on T_2 , H's combine into
an $SO(3)$ triplet: $H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(jk)}$

$$H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(\pm\pm)} = \sigma_z^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_8^{\frac{1}{2}} (H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{RR} - A H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{NSNS}) \mp i \sigma_z \lambda_8^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{RR}$$

$$H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(\mp\mp)} = i \lambda_8^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{NS\cdot NS}$$

$$\text{Define } M_{\mu\nu\rho} = H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(jk)} u_j^\perp u_j^R$$

↑↑
harmonic
variables

Our D=8 conjecture : $\mathcal{S}_8 = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int d^8x \sqrt{G} (R + H^{(jk)}) H_{(jk)} + \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} c_g \int d^8x R^4 M^{4g-4} \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3} \frac{(m^a C_a^{(jk)} \bar{u}_j^\perp \bar{u}_k^R)^{4g-4}}{(m_1 m_2 M^{aP})^{2g-3/2}}$

$$C_a^{(++)} = \lambda_8^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_z^{\frac{1}{2}} (0, 1, \sigma), \quad C_a^{(-)} = \lambda_8^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_z^{-\frac{1}{2}} (0, 1, \bar{\sigma})$$

$$C_a^{(\mp\mp)} = -i \lambda_8^{\frac{1}{2}} (1, A, B + A\sigma)$$

$$M^{aP} = C^a (jk) C^P (jk)$$

D=8 Conjecture is $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \times SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ inv. and implies
D=10 conjecture when $\sigma_z \rightarrow \infty$.

Evidence for D=8 conjecture comes from
explicit g-loop amplitude computation.

Topological Amplitudes

Certain g-loop superstring amplitudes can be explicitly calculated. Integrand is independent of location of PCO's (^{picture-changing operators})

Amplitude only depends on moduli of twisted N=2 soft representing the Calabi-Yau compactification.

Can be computed in RNS approach, but easier to compute using modified GS approach where spacetime SUSY is manifest and no sum over spin structures.

Ex: A) Type IIB on Calabi-Yau 3-fold (N=2 D=4)

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^6 \times \sqrt{G} (R + F^2 + H^2 + \sum_{g=1}^n (f_g R^2 F^{2g-2} + f'_g R^2 H^{2g-2}))$$

F and H are R-R 2-forms and 3-forms

f_g and $f'_g \rightarrow 0$ as volume of CY $\rightarrow \infty$

Ex: B) Type IIB on Calabi-Yau 2-fold (N=2 D=6)

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^6 \times \sqrt{G} (R + H^2 + \sum_{g=1}^n f_g R^4 H^{4g-4})$$

f_g survives as volume of CY $\rightarrow \infty$!

Ex. A) Type IIB on Calabi-Yau 3-fold ($N=2$ D=4)

Scatter 2 gravitons and $2g \cdot 2$ R-R fields

(chiral/chiral = F, chiral/anti-chiral = H)

Choose R-R vertex operators in $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ picture \Rightarrow Need $3g \cdot 3$ PCO's

CY described by $c=9$ $N=2$ soft [T, G⁺, G⁻, J]

Chiral/Chiral (or chiral/anti-chiral)
vertex op's carry $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ (or $\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$) charge w/ respect to (J_L, J_R) \Rightarrow Only $e^{-q} G^+$ and $e^{-q} \bar{G}^-$ (or $e^q \bar{G}^+$) terms in PCO's contribute

After integrating vertex operators over genus g surface, one finds the amplitude

$$A = \int d^6x \left(\int d^2\theta_L d^2\bar{\theta}_R (W_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} W^{\alpha\dot{\beta}})^{2g} f_g + \int d^2\theta_L d^2\bar{\theta}_R (W_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} W^{\alpha\dot{\beta}})^{2g} f'_g \right) = \int d^6x (R^2 F^{2g-2} f_g + R^2 H^{2g-2} f'_g) + \dots$$

$$W_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = F_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \theta_L^\alpha \bar{\theta}_R^\dot{\beta} R_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma\dot{\delta}} + \dots, \quad W_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = H_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \theta_L^\alpha \bar{\theta}_R^\dot{\beta} R_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\gamma\dot{\delta}} + \dots$$

$$f_g = \prod_{j=1}^{2g-2} \int d^2m_j \langle \int j_{\mu_j} G^- \int \bar{j}_{\bar{\mu}_j} \bar{G}^+ \rangle_{cy}, \quad f'_g = \prod_{j=1}^{2g-2} \int d^2m_j \langle \int j_{\mu_j} G^- \int \bar{j}_{\bar{\mu}_j} \bar{G}^+ \rangle_{cy}$$

$\langle \rangle_{cy}$: twisted N=2 correlation func.

Ex B) Type IIB on Calabi-Yau 2-fold (N=2 D=6)

Scatter 4 gravitons and 4g-4 R-R fields

R-R vertex op's
in $(\bar{t}_2, -\frac{1}{2})$ picture \Rightarrow Need 4g-4 PCO's

CY described by c=6 N=2 scft $[T, G^+, G^-, J]$
 \Rightarrow can define N=4 generators $[T, G^+, \tilde{G}^+, G^-, \tilde{G}^-, J^+, J^-]$

$$J^{++} = e^{SS}, J^{--} = e^{-SS}, \tilde{G}^+ = [J^{++}, G^+], \tilde{G}^- = [J^{--}, G^-]$$

D=6 spinor carries internal SU(2) index Θ_i^i ($i = \pm$)

R-R states in ++ direction \Rightarrow Only $e^{+q} G_L^+$ and
carry $(+1, +1)$ U(1) charge $e^{-q} G_R^-$ terms in PCO's contribute

After integrating vertex operators over genus g surface, one finds the amplitude

$$A = \int d^6x \int du_1 \int du_n \int d^n \theta_1^+ \int d^n \theta_n^+ (\epsilon^{a_1 \dots a_n} \epsilon^{b_1 \dots b_n} W_{a_1 b_1} \dots W_{a_n b_n}) f_g \\ = \int d^6x \int du_1 \int du_n R^a (\bar{u}_1^i \bar{u}_n^j H_{ij})^{4g-4} f_g(u_1, u_n) + \dots$$

$$W_{ab} = \bar{u}_1^i \bar{u}_n^j H_{abij} + \theta_{cl}^+ \theta_{dr}^+ R_{ab}^{cd} + \dots$$

$$f_g = \prod_{j=1}^{3g-3} \int d^2 v_j \prod_{i=1}^3 \int d^2 v_i \left\langle \left| \prod_{j=1}^{3g-3} \int \mu_j \hat{G}^- \prod_{i=1}^3 \hat{G}^+(v_i) J(v_i) \right|^2 \right\rangle_{cy}$$

$$\hat{G}^- = u_+ G^- + u_- \tilde{G}^-, \quad \hat{G}^+ = u_+ \tilde{G}^+ + u_- G^+$$

Ooguri + Vafa explicitly computed $f_g(u_L, u_R)$ when the CY 2-fold is $T^2 \times R^2$ and found

$$f_g(u_L, u_R) = \sum'_{m,n} \left(\frac{u_+^L u_-^R}{m+n\sigma} + \frac{u_-^L u_+^R}{m+n\bar{\sigma}} \right)^{4g-4} |m+n\sigma|^{2g-4} c_g$$

Plugging f_g into \mathcal{Q} , rewriting in D=8 notation, and integrating over u_L and u_R ,

$$\mathcal{A} = \int d^8x \sqrt{G} c_g \lambda_g^{\frac{2g-2}{3}} \sum_{p=2-2g}^{2g-2} R^4 H_{(+)}^{2g-2+p} H_{(-)}^{2g-2-p} \sum'_{m,n} \frac{c_g^3}{(m+n\sigma)^{2+p} (m+n\bar{\sigma})^{2-p}}$$

$H_{(+)}$ and $H_{(-)}$ are D=8 R-R three-forms.

Index structure comes from extending D=6 vector indices to D=8.

\mathcal{A} is $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant and is

precisely the g-loop contribution to our
D=8 $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \times SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ invariant conjecture.

Can one prove that $R^4 H^{4g-4}$ gets no perturbative contributions above g-loops?

Recall that $N=2 D=4 R^2 F^{2g-2}$ terms only gets g-loop contribution since dilaton is in $N=2 D=4$ tensor multiplet in Euclidean gauge.

$\Rightarrow N=2 D=4 R^2 H^{2g-2}$ only gets perturbative contribution at g-loops because of perturbative "c-map"

For $N=2 D=8$, 7 scalar moduli split into

$\frac{SL(2)}{SO(3)}$: lowest components of tensor superfield L_{ijk2}

and $\frac{SL(2)}{SO(2)}$: lowest component of chiral superfield W

But (except for $g=1$ at linearized level), not known how to write $R^4 H^{4g-4}$ terms in $N=2 D=8$ superspace.

For R^4 term, tensor and vector decoupling implies no perturbative contributions above 1-loop (to linearized level)

Further Evidence for our Conjecture

$R^4 H^{4g-4}$ is probably related by spacetime SUSY to $\partial^{4g-4} R^4$ terms and R^{2g+2} terms.

Based on the Veneziano 4-point tree amplitude, Russo has conjectured that $\partial^{4g-4} R^4$ terms appear in the Type IIB effective action as

$$S_{10} = \int d^{10}x \sqrt{G} \left(R + \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \partial^{4g-4} R^4 \alpha'^{2g+1} \sum_{m,n} \frac{c_2^{g+\frac{1}{2}}}{|m+n|^{2g+1}} \right)$$

This is precisely our conjecture if SUSY implies the combination $\partial^{4g-4} R^4 + c_g R^4 H^{4g-4}$

Also, M(atric) theory calculations suggest that F^{2g+2} does not get perturbative contributions above g-loops for open superstring. This suggests R^{2g+2} does not get perturbative contributions above g-loops for Type IIB superstring since graviton vertex operator = (gluon vertex operator)²

Ooguri + Douglas,
Becker, Polchinski,
Tseytlin
(private comm)

Further Speculations

A) $N=2 \ D=4 : A = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} R^2 F^{2g-2} f_g$

If F has a constant value λ , what is $\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{2g-2} f_g$ computing?

Near singular CY 3-folds, Gopakumar + Vafa have shown it computes partition function of large N $SU(N)$ Chern-Simons theory for level $k = \frac{1}{\lambda}$.

B) $N=2 \ D=10 : A = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} c_g \alpha^{2g+1} R^4 H_5^{4g-4} \sum_{m,n} \frac{\tau_e^{g+\frac{1}{2}}}{|m+n\tau|^{2g+1}}$

If H_5 has a constant value λ , what is $\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} c_g \lambda^{4g-4} \alpha^{2g+1} \sum_{m,n} \frac{\tau_e^{g+\frac{1}{2}}}{|m+n\tau|^{2g+1}}$ computing?

Using arguments similar to Banks + Green, it seems to compute 4-pt correlation function of large N $SU(N)$ super-Yang-Mills theory.