## Physics with magnetized branes

#### I. Antoniadis

#### **CERN**

Strings 2009 Rome, 22-26 June 2009

- main questions in string phenomenology general issues of high string scale
- framework of magnetized branes moduli stabilization, model building, Yukawa couplings SUSY breaking and D-term gauge mediation



- Are there low energy string predictions testable at LHC?
- What can we hope from LHC on string phenomenology ?



Very different answers depending mainly on the value of the string scale  $\mathcal{M}_s$ 

- arbitrary parameter : Planck mass  $M_P \longrightarrow \text{TeV}$
- physical motivations ⇒ favored energy regions:

$$ullet$$
 High :  $\left\{ egin{array}{ll} M_P^* \simeq 10^{18} \; {
m GeV} & {
m Heterotic scale} \ \\ M_{
m GUT} \simeq 10^{16} \; {
m GeV} & {
m Unification scale} \end{array} 
ight.$ 

- Intermediate : around 10 $^{11}$  GeV  $(M_s^2/M_P \sim \text{TeV})$  SUSY breaking, strong CP axion, see-saw scale
- Low: TeV (hierarchy problem)

- Low string scale ⇒ experimentally testable framework
- spectacular model independent predictions

#### perturbative type I string setup

see Lust's talk for recent developments

- radical change of high energy physics at the TeV scale
   explicit model building is not necessary at this moment
   but unification has to be probably dropped
- Intermediate string scale : not directly testable but interesting possibility with several implications
- → 'large volume' compactifications

ullet High string scale : perturbative heterotic string : the most natural for SUSY and unification prediction for GUT scale but off by almost 2 orders of magnitude introduce large threshold corrections or strong coupling ullet  $M_s \simeq M_{\rm GUT}$  but loose predictivity

- $\Rightarrow$  other string theories:
  - intersecting branes in extra dimensions: IIA, IIB, F-theory
  - Heterotic M-theory
  - internal magnetic fields in type I

Main problems: - gauge coupling unification is not automatic different coupling for every brane stack, or incomplete GUT representations

- No top Yukawa coupling in D-brane GUT constructions

Maximal predictive power if there is common framework for :

- moduli stabilization
- model building (spectrum and couplings)
- SUSY breaking (calculable soft terms)
- computable radiative corrections (crucial for comparing models)

Possible candidate of such a framework: magnetized branes

# Type I string theory with magnetic fluxes on 2-cycles of the compactification manifold

- Dirac quantization:  $H = \frac{m}{nA} \equiv \frac{p}{A}$  [10]  $\Rightarrow$  moduli stabilization H: constant magnetic field m: units of magnetic flux n: brane wrapping A: area of the 2-cycle
  - Spin-dependent mass shifts for charged states ⇒ SUSY breaking
  - Exact open string description:  $\Rightarrow$  calculability  $qH \rightarrow \theta = \arctan qH\alpha'$  weak field  $\Rightarrow$  field theory
  - T-dual representation: branes at angles  $\Rightarrow$  model building (m, n): wrapping numbers around the 2-cycle directions

## Magnetic fluxes can be used to stabilize moduli

I.A.-Maillard '04, I.A.-Kumar-Maillard '05, '06, Bianchi-Trevigne '05

```
e.g. T^6: 36 moduli (geometric deformations)
internal metric: 6 \times 7/2 = 21 = 9+2 \times 6
type IIB RR 2-form: 6 \times 5/2 = 15 = 9+2 \times 3
\text{complexification:} \begin{cases} \text{K\"{a}hler class} & \textit{\textit{J}} \\ & \text{9 complex moduli for each} \\ \text{complex structure} & \tau \end{cases}
magnetic flux: 6 \times 6 antisymmetric matrix F complexification \Rightarrow
F_{(2,0)} on holomorphic 2-cycles: potential for 	au superpotential
F_{(1,1)} on mixed (1,1)-cycles: potential for J FI D-terms
```

### N=1 SUSY conditions $\Rightarrow$ moduli stabilization

- $F_{(2,0)} = 0 \Rightarrow \tau$  matrix equation for every magnetized U(1) need 'oblique' (non-commuting) magnetic fields to fix off-diagonal components of the metric  $\leftarrow$  but can be made diagonal
- ②  $J \wedge J \wedge F_{(1,1)} = F_{(1,1)} \wedge F_{(1,1)} \wedge F_{(1,1)} \Rightarrow J$ vanishing of a Fayet-Iliopoulos term:  $\xi \sim F \wedge F \wedge F - J \wedge J \wedge F$ magnetized  $U(1) \rightarrow$  massive absorbs RR axion one condition  $\Rightarrow$  need at least 9 brane stacks
- Tadpole cancellation conditions : introduce an extra brane(s)
   ⇒ dilaton potential from the FI D-term → two possibilities:
  - keep SUSY by turning on charged scalar VEVs
  - break SUSY in a dS or AdS vacuum  $d = \xi/\sqrt{1+\xi^2}$  [11]

I.A.-Derendinger-Maillard '08

$$F_{(2,0)} = 0 \Rightarrow \tau^{\mathrm{T}} p_{xx} \tau - (\tau^{\mathrm{T}} p_{xy} + p_{yx} \tau) + p_{yy} = 0$$

$$\uparrow^{0} \text{ parametrization: } (x^{i}, y^{i}) \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \qquad z^{i} = x^{i} + \tau^{ij} y^{i}$$

Non-trivial VEVs *v* for charged brane scalars ⇒

D-term condition is modified to:

$$q v^{2} (J \wedge J \wedge J - J \wedge F \wedge F) = -(F \wedge F \wedge F - F \wedge J \wedge J)$$
charge

I. Antoniadis (CERN) 10 / 19

# New gauge mediation mechanism

I.A.-Benakli-Delgado-Quiros '07

#### D-term SUSY breaking:

problem with Majorana gaugino masses lowest order R-symmetry
 broken at higher orders but suppressed by the string scale
 I.A.-Taylor '04, I.A.-Narain-Taylor '05

tachyonic squark masses

However in toroidal models gauge multiplets have extended SUSY  $\Rightarrow$ 

- Dirac gauginos without  $R \gg m_{1/2} \sim d/M$
- Squark masses can arise dominantly from gauginos  $\gg m_0^2 \sim d^2/M^2$

Also non-chiral intersections have N=2 SUSY  $\Rightarrow N=2$  Higgs potential

## oblique fluxes ⇒ non-commuting boundary conditions

boundary CFT similar to non-abelian orbifolds

However spectrum involves only 2 branes :  $a, b \leftarrow \mathsf{can}\ \mathsf{be}\ \mathsf{orientifold}\ \mathsf{image}$ 

$$\Rightarrow$$
 depends on relative flux :  $R_a R_b^{-1}$   $R_a \equiv (\mathbb{1} - F^a)(\mathbb{1} + F^a)^{-1}$ 

Bianchi-Trevigne '05

can go to a basis where  $R_a R_b^{-1}$  is diagonal  $\rightarrow$  mass eigenvalues

Multiplicities: 'intersection' matrix  $N^{ab} = F^a - F^b$ 

gives no of fermion 0-modes in all (1,1)-cycles

 $\Rightarrow$  total mutiplicity :  $I^{ab} = \det N^{ab}$ 

Non-commutativity shows in ineractions e.g. 3-pt functions

Yukawa couplings ≡ overlap integral of 3 wave functions

$$\lambda_{ijk} = g\sigma_{ijk} \int_{\mathcal{T}^6} \psi_i^{\mathcal{N}^{ab}} \psi_j^{\mathcal{N}^{bc}} \psi_k^{\mathcal{N}^{ca}} \qquad \mathcal{N}^{ab} + \mathcal{N}^{bc} + \mathcal{N}^{ca} = 0$$

commuting case in factorized  $T^6 = (T^2)^3 \Rightarrow \lambda$ 's products over 3  $T^2$ 's

on a  $T^2$ : chirality  $\rightarrow$  analyticity

$$\psi_i^N \propto \begin{cases} \theta_i(N\tau, Nz) & N > 0 + \text{ve helicity} \\ \theta_i^*(N\bar{\tau}, N\bar{z}) & N < 0 - \text{ve helicity} \end{cases}$$

fusion of 2 wave functions → orthogonality : Riemann theta identity

 $T^2 \rightarrow T^6$  with oblique fluxes  $\rightarrow 2$  main problems :

- wave function: analyticity vs general helicity
  - *N* : eigenvalues of different sign
- ② fusion generalization → express Yukawa's in a closed form

special case:  $N \, \mathrm{Im} au$  orthogonal and positive definite

 $\Rightarrow$  generalized  $\theta$ -functions  $\theta_i(N\tau, N\vec{z})$  Cremades-Ibanez-Marchesano '04

## wave functions and Yukawa's for oblique fluxes

#### General solution:

LA.-Panda-Kumar '09

- wave function: relax extra conditions
  - (i) fluxes: general hermitian matrices
  - (ii) relax positivity ⇒ general helicity map from all positive helicities to sign flip of one eignevalue
  - $\Rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \hat{\tau}\tau$  where  $\hat{\tau}[N^{ab}]$
- 2 Yukawa couplings : generalize Riemann  $\theta$ -function identity new mathematical identities not given in Mumford Tata lectures

$$\begin{array}{c|c} U(3) & U(2) \\ & Q \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ &$$

Full string embedding with all geometric moduli stabilized:

- all extra U(1)'s broken  $\Rightarrow$  gauge group just susy SU(5)
- gauge non-singlet chiral spectrum: 3 generations of quarks + leptons
- SUSY can be broken in an extra U(1) factor by D-term [18]

# SUSY SU(5) with stabilized moduli

12 brane-stacks: 
$$U_5$$
,  $U_1$ ,  $O_1$ , . . . ,  $O_8$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$  
$$U(5) \times U(1) \times U(1)^{10}$$

winding matrix W = 1, B-field  $B_{x_iy_i} = \frac{1}{2}$ 

- $I_{U_5 U_5^*} = I_{U_5^* U_1} = 3 \Rightarrow 3$  generations  $({f 10} + {f \bar{5}})$
- $I_{U_5U_1}=0 \Rightarrow \text{Higgs pairs } (\mathbf{5}+\mathbf{\bar{5}})$
- $I_{U_5a}+I_{U_5a^*}=0, \ \forall a \neq U_5, U_1 \Rightarrow$  no other SU(5) chiral states
- $O_1, \ldots, O_8$ : set of oblique fluxes for  $B \neq 0$  with diagonal induced 5-brane tadpoles

- SUSY conditions on  $U_5, O_1, \ldots, O_8 \Rightarrow$ fix all geometric moduli to diagonal metric  $U(1)^9$  massive (absorb the RR Kähler moduli)
- Tadpole cancellation ⇒ add branes A, B
- SUSY D-flatness on  $U_1, A, B \Rightarrow$
- charged scalar VEVs  $\neq 0$  on their intersections:
- satisfy perturbativity constraint
- break  $U(1)^3$
- $\Rightarrow$  leftover gauge group: SU(5)
  - gauge non-singlet chiral spectrum: 3 generations of quarks + leptons

I. Antoniadis (CERN) 17 / 19

Problem common in all D-brane GUTs: absence of top Yukawa coupling can be avoided in a  $U(3) \times U(2) \times U(1)$  3-stack model

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{U(3)} & \text{Q} \\ \text{V(1)} & \Rightarrow HQu^c, H'Qd^c \neq 0 \\ \text{U(1)} & \text{but unification is not guaranteed} \\ \text{although not excl} \\ \text{e.g. } \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 \text{ at } 1\% \text{ is guaranteed by:} \\ \text{(i) the correct SM spectrum: no chiral color states} \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow HQu^c H'Qd^c \neq 0$$
 all Yukawa's exist

although not excluded

e.g.  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3$  at 1% is guaranteed by:

- (i) the correct SM spectrum: no chiral color sextets, weak triplets and antiquark doublets
- (ii) weak magnetic fields  $\Rightarrow M_{\rm GUT/comp} \sim M_s/3$

#### **Conclusions**

```
Internal magnetic fields:
simple framework, exact string description,
N = 1 SUSY with chiral fermions
Moduli stabilization: 'oblique' magnetic fluxes
general: Kähler \Rightarrow complementary to 3-form fluxes
toroidal: all geometric + eventually the dilaton
Model building
natural implementation in intersecting branes
D-term SUSY breaking ⇒ new mechanism of gauge mediation
```

Dirac gauginos, N = 2 Higgs potential

I. Antoniadis (CERN) 19 / 19