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Classically, an external observer is causally disconnected from the black hole interior and so can pretend that spacetime ends at the future event horizon.

The condition of smoothness of the event horizon implies a relationship between canonical momenta (conserved currents) and the induced gauge field and metric on the horizon. With appropriate definitions the boundary conditions at the event horizon ‘membrane’ can be reworded in familiar material terms (e.g. Ohm’s law).

Unfortunately Einstein’s equations are as difficult to solve just outside the event horizon as inside, so this elegant elimination does not buy much in practical terms.

In this talk I describe a context in which one can do better; replace the entire curved black hole space time with a membrane. The context is large $D$. 
The metric of a $D$ dimensional Schwarzschild black hole boosted to velocity $u_M$ in Kerr Schild coordinates:

$$g_{MN} = \eta_{MN} + \frac{(dr_M - u_M)(dr_N - u_N)}{\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{D-3}},$$

$$u_M = \text{const}, \quad u^2 = -1, \quad r^2 = x^M \mathcal{P}_{MN} x^N, \quad \mathcal{P}_{MN} = \eta_{MN} + u_M u_N$$

Following EST note that the black hole reduces to flat space at any $r > r_0$ that is held fixed as $D \to \infty$.

On the other hand if

$$r = r_0 \left(1 + \frac{R}{D - 3}\right)$$

and $R$ held fixed as $D \to \infty$ then

$$g_{MN} = \eta_{MN} + e^{-R}(dr_M - u_M)(dr_N - u_N)$$

Thus the ‘tail’ of the black hole extends only thickness $r_0/D$. 
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Collective Coordinate ansatz

Now consider the more general ansatz metric

\[ g_{MN}^0 = \eta_{MN} + \frac{(n - u)_M (n - u)_N}{\rho^{D-3}}, \]  

(1)

where \( \rho \) is an unspecified function in flat Minkowski space

\( u \) is a oneform ‘velocity’ field in flat space

\[
\begin{align*}
n &= \frac{d\rho}{\sqrt{\partial \rho^2}}, \\
u^2 &= -1, \\
u \cdot n &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

As above, the deviation of (1) from flat space is proportional to \( e^{-D(\rho - 1)} \). (1) is flat when \( \rho - 1 \gg \frac{1}{D} \).

Moreover it is easily checked that

\[
n \cdot n = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\rho^{D-3}} \right)
\]

Thus the codimension one submanifold \( \rho = 1 \) is null. Its generators are tangent to \( u^M \). Dissipative nature of equations will ensure that this surface is the event horizon.
Einstein’s equations on the ansatz

- Adopt philosophy of membrane paradigm: uninterested in interior. Moreover spacetime nontrivial only in thickness $\frac{1}{D}$ around $\rho = 1$. So can also forget about most of the exterior.
- Thus we focus entirely on the membrane region $\rho - 1 \sim \frac{1}{D}$.
- Evaluate Einstein’s equations, $R_{MN} = 0$. Assume that $\rho$ and $u$ vary on length scale unity. $\frac{1}{\rho^{D-3}}$ nonetheless varies on length scale $1/D$. Consequently generically $R_{MN} = \mathcal{O}(D^2)$. However if

$$u = \text{const}, \quad \rho = \frac{r}{r_0}, \quad \text{then} \quad R_{MN} = 0$$

This fact can be used to show that when

$$\nabla^2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{D-3}} \right) = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad \text{then} \quad R_{MN} = \mathcal{O}(D)$$

In other words velocity fields membrane shape are large $D$ collective coordinates.
Now consider the metric

\[ g_{MN} = g_{MN}^0 + \epsilon \frac{1}{D} g_{MN}^1 \ldots \]

Where \( g_{MN}^1 \), like \( g_{MN}^0 \), is built out of \( \frac{1}{\rho^{D-3}} \) along with \( u_M \) and \( \rho \) but is otherwise independent of \( D \). \( \epsilon \) is a counting parameter, eventually set to unity. Note

\[ R_{MN} = R_{MN}(g^0) + \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{D} \mathcal{O}(D^2) \right) = \mathcal{O}(D) + \epsilon \mathcal{O}(D) \]

Both terms above are of order \( \frac{1}{D} \). 2nd term linear differential operator on \( g_{MN}^1 \). Requiring \( R_{MN} \) vanishes at \( \mathcal{O}(D) \) yields inhomogeneous linear differential equations for \( g_{MN}^1 \).
Dynamical Equations

- Slices of constant $\rho$ give a foliation of spacetime. View Einstein’s equations as evolving forward in $\rho$.

- Dynamical equations: inhomogeneous second order differential equations for $g^1_{MN}$. Classify modes by $SO(D - 2)$ rotations orthogonal to $n$ and $u$.

- Equations for tensor decouple from vectors and scalars. Ordinary differential equations in $\rho$.

- Equation for vector decouples from scalar but mixes with the divergence of the tensor. Plugging in known tensor find 2nd order ordinary differential equation in $\rho$ with known source. Easily solved.

- Equation for scalars mixes with single divergence of vector and double divergence of tensor. Plugging in known solutions find 2nd order ordinary differential equation for scalars. Easily solved.
Uniqueness of solution

- In order to actually solve we pick a gauge, demand $\rho = 1$ is the event horizon and $u^M$ tangent to its generators even at subleading order in $\frac{1}{D}$.
- Crucially we also demand that $g^{1}_{MN}$ deays for $\rho - 1 \gg \frac{1}{D}$ (i.e. to the exterior of the membrane region) and that the solution is regular at the event horizon $\rho = 1$.
- The solution for $g^{1}_{MN}$ turns out to be unique, completely explicit and very simple. We find a low degree polynomial in $\frac{1}{\rho^{D-3}}$ and $\rho - 1$. Coefficients all local expressions constructed out of at most two derivatives of $u^M$ and the extrinsic curvature $K_{MN}$ of the $\rho = 1$ surface, viewed as a submanifold of flat space.
- Our solutions solve Einstein’s equations to a given order in $\frac{1}{D}$ in the membrane region $\rho - 1 \sim \frac{1}{D}$. More generally they can be shown to well approximate the true solution provided $\rho - 1 \ll 1$. 
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Once the dynamical equations have been solved we need solve the constraint equations only on a single constant $\rho$ slice. The event horizon $\rho = 1$ most convenient choice. Infact $g^1_{MN}$ happens to drop out of the constraint equations evaluated on this slice, so the constraints can be evaluated on the metric $g^0_{MN}$. By explicit evaluation we find

$$\nabla . u = 0$$

$$\mathcal{P}_L^A \left[ u \cdot \nabla u_A - u^B K_{BA} - \frac{\nabla^2 u_A}{\mathcal{K}} + \frac{u^C K_{CB} K^B_A}{\mathcal{K}} + \frac{\nabla_A \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}} \right] = 0$$

where $K_{AB}$ is the extrinsic curvature of the membrane and $\mathcal{K}$ is the trace of $K_{AB}$. Here $\mathcal{P}$ is the projector orthogonal to the velocity $u$ on the membrane.
We have $D - 1$ membrane equations. Same as number of variables ($D - 2$ velocities and one membrane shape). The membrane equations thus provide a dual autonomous description of black hole dynamics.

Equations reminiscent of the hydrodynamics of incompressible fluid but on a dynamical surface.

Constraint equations on the horizon also central to ‘old’ membrane paradigm. New element here: explicit construction metric in the vicinity of the event horizon in terms of collective coordinates. Transforms constraint into dynamical equations for a well posed initial value problem.

Can replace all of the black hole spacetime - not just interior - with a non gravitational membrane that lives on a timelike submanifold of flat space. New power result of new parameter, $\frac{1}{D}$. Our discussion can be systematically generalized to arbitrary order in $\frac{1}{D}$. 
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Membrane equations at subleading order

At next subleading order we find

\[ \nabla \cdot u = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{K}} \left( \nabla (AU_{B}) \nabla (CU_{D}) \mathcal{P}^{BC} \mathcal{P}^{AD} \right) \]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \frac{\nabla^2 u_A}{\mathcal{K}} - \frac{\nabla A \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}} + u^B K_{BA} - u \cdot \nabla u_A \right] \mathcal{P}^{A}_{C} \\
\left[ \left( - \frac{u^C K_{CB} K_{BA}^B}{\mathcal{K}} \right) + \left( \frac{\nabla^2 \nabla^2 u_A}{\mathcal{K}^3} - \frac{u \cdot \nabla \nabla A \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}^3} - \frac{\nabla B \mathcal{K} \nabla B u_A}{\mathcal{K}^2} - 2 \frac{K_{CD} \nabla C \nabla D u_A}{\mathcal{K}^2} \right) \right. \\
\left( - \frac{\nabla A \nabla^2 \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}^3} + \frac{\nabla A \left( K_{BC} K_{BC} K_{BA} \right)}{\mathcal{K}^3} \right) \right. + \left( \frac{u \cdot K \cdot u (u \cdot \nabla u_A)}{\mathcal{K}} - 3 \frac{(u \cdot K \cdot u) (u^B K_{BA})}{\mathcal{K}} \right. \\
6 \frac{(u \cdot \nabla \mathcal{K}) (u \cdot \nabla u_A)}{\mathcal{K}^2} + 6 \frac{(u \cdot \nabla \mathcal{K}) (u^B K_{BA})}{\mathcal{K}^2} + \frac{3}{(D - 3)} u \cdot \nabla u_A - \frac{3}{(D - 3)} u^B K_{BA} \right] \mathcal{P}^{A}_{C} = 0
\end{align*}
\]
The construction described above generalizes in a straightforward manner to the Einstein Maxwell system. Our collective coordinate construction is a simple generalization of the Reisnner Nordstrom solution in Kerr Schild coordinates. In addition to the shape and velocity field, our ansatz configurations depend on a charge density field $Q$.

The leading order charged equations of motion are

\[
\left( \frac{\nabla^2 u}{\mathcal{K}} - (1 - Q^2) \frac{\nabla \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}} + u \cdot K - (1 + Q^2)(u \cdot \nabla)u \right) \cdot \mathcal{P} = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla^2 Q - u \cdot \nabla Q - Q \left( \frac{u \cdot \nabla \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}} - u \cdot K \cdot u \right) = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot u = 0
\]

The extra ‘charge diffusion’ equation governs the dynamics of the additional charge degree of freedom. Note the diffusive and convective terms.
Quasinormal Modes about RN black holes

- Simplest solution: static spherical membrane. Dual to RN black hole. Linearizing the membrane equations about this

\[ r_0 \omega^r_{l=0} = 0 \]

\[ r_0 \omega^r_l = -i(l - 1) \pm \sqrt{(l - 1)(1 - lQ_0^4)} \quad (l \geq 1) \]

\[ r_0 \omega^Q_l = -il \quad (l \geq 0) \]

\[ r_0 \omega^\gamma_l = -i(l - 1) \quad (l \geq 1) \]

- Note highly dissipative. Can compare with direct gravity analysis of QNMs at large \( D \). Turns out two kinds of modes. Light, \( \omega \sim \frac{1}{r_0} \). Heavy, \( \omega \sim \frac{D}{r_0} \). Spectrum above in perfect agreement with light modes. Our membrane equations: nonlinear effective theory of light modes obtained after ‘integrating out’ the heavy stuff.
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Would like to find the radiation emitted in the course of an arbitrary membrane motion. For instance consider a large $D$ version of a black hole collision.

Initial state two spherical membranes (or rotating membranes, see below). Non interacting till they collide. After than the two spheres merge into one. After this our membrane equations take over, describing the transition from a smoothed out version of two touching spheres to a larger single sphere.

**Question:** what would a large $D$ LIGO experimentalist detect from such a collision? What radiation does a complicated membrane motion source?

Because our construction of the metric dual to a membrane motion is valid only for $\rho - 1 \ll 1$ we cannot just read off the radiation by setting $\rho$ large in our formulae. Need to be cleverer.
Membrane spacetimes good when $\rho - 1 \ll 1$. However gravity effectively linear for $\rho - 1 \gg \frac{1}{D}$. Thus when

$$\frac{1}{D} \ll \rho \ll 1$$

both approximations are good. Can use our membrane spacetimes to identify the effective linearized solution in overlap region, and then use linearized gravity to continue the solution to infinity to obtain radiation.

Turns out that there is an elegant way to state the answer to the second part of this programme at large $D$.

Given a linearized solution of gravity at large $D$ we evaluate its Brown York stress tensor on the membrane, and subtract from it a contribution that arises from the variation by a ‘boundary counterterm’ that can be computed order by order in $\frac{1}{D}$. By explicit computation
Conservation of the Stress Tensor

\[ S = \int \sqrt{R} + 2\nabla^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} \right) + \ldots \]

Where \( R \) is the Ricci scalar of the induced metric on the membrane. Counterterm action appears to receive contributions at all order in \( \frac{1}{D} \).

Can abstractly show that this procedure yields a world volume stress tensor \( T_{MN} \) on the membrane that is conserved on the membrane worldvolume viewed as a submanifold of flat space. Moreover \( T_{MN}K^{MN} = 0 \).

It is easy to check that these two properties ensure that

\[ T_{MN}^{st} = T_{MN}\sqrt{(\partial \rho)^2 \delta(\rho - 1)} \]

is a conserved in space time. \( T_{MN}^{st} \) is the effective source for gravitational radiation. Radiation obtained by convoluting against a retarded Greens function.
Explicit form of Stress Tensor

\[ 8\pi T_{AB} = \left( \frac{K}{2} \right) u_A u_B - \left( \frac{\nabla_A u_B + \nabla_B u_A}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) K_{AB} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( u_A \left( \frac{\nabla_B K}{K} + \nabla^2 u_B \right) - u_B \left( \frac{\nabla_A K}{K} + \nabla^2 u_A \right) \right) \]

\[ - \mathcal{P}_{AB} \left( \frac{1}{2} u \cdot K \cdot u + \frac{1}{2} \frac{K}{D} - \frac{K^{MN}}{2K} \left( \nabla_M u_N + \nabla_N u_M \right) \right) \]

- First term: leading order (order \(D\)). Is the stress tensor of dust with density \(K\). All remaining terms \(O(1)\). Second term shear viscosity. Will see below that \(\frac{\eta}{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\). Second line can be absorbed into a redefinition of the velocity. Last line is like a field dependent surface tension. Discussion easily generalized to charge current. We find

\[ J^A = \left[ QK u^A - \left( \frac{K}{D} \right) (Q(u \cdot \partial)u_C + \partial_C Q) \mathcal{P}^{CA} \right] \]
As we have explained above, we could abstractly demonstrate the conservation of the stress tensor dual to any linearized solution of Einstein’s equations. Interesting to see how it works in detail in the case of the membrane.

By explicit computation we find that $u^A \nabla_B T^{AB} \propto \nabla \cdot u$. And $\mathcal{P}^B_A \nabla_C T^{CB}$ is proportional to the other membrane equation of motion! In other words the membrane equations are simply the condition that the membrane stress tensor is conserved.

Similar story for the charge current. Explicit formula. Conservation gives the new charge equation of motion.
Energy loss in radiation

- The membrane stress tensor presented above is $O(D)$ and so is not small. One might thus incorrectly conclude that the energy lost in radiation is also substantial. This incorrect conclusion contradicts the conservation of the membrane energy and is also in tension with the locality of membrane equations.

- Even though the stress tensor is substantial, in actuality the loss of energy in radiation is actually extremely small. In particular it is of order $\frac{1}{D^D}$ and so is non-perturbatively small in the at large $D$.

- The explanation of this smallness lies not in the nature of Greens functions in a large number of dimensions as we now explain.
Greens functions at large $D$

- In order to study the structure of the retarded Green’s function for the operator $\nabla^2$ in $D$ dimensions it turns out to be useful to work in Fourier space in time but coordinate space in the spatial coordinates.

- Let the Greens function take the form $G_\omega(r)e^{-i\omega t}$. Let $G_\omega(r) = \psi_\omega(r)/r^{-(D-3)/2}$. Away from $r = 0$ it is easy to check that $\psi$ obeys the equation

\[-\partial_r^2 \psi_\omega + \frac{(D-4)(D-2)}{4r^2} \psi_\omega + \omega^2 \psi_\omega = 0\]

- Effective Schrodinger problem with $\hbar^2/2m = 1$, $E = \omega$ and

\[V(r) = \frac{(D-4)(D-2)}{4r^2}\]
The potential for the Schrodinger problem is positive and of order $O(D^2)$ while the energy $\omega$ is of order unity. A mode of order unity at $r = r_0$ decays as it tunnels to $r = \frac{D}{2\omega}$ where it finally begins to propagate as radiation field of amplitude $\frac{1}{D^D}$.

Restated, we have two kinds of light modes in black hole backgrounds: the light QNMs and light radiation far away from the black hole. The coupling between these two kinds of modes is nonperturbatively small at large $D$.

At large $D$ the near horizon geometry of a Schwarschild black hole decouples from the outside, much as the near horizon geometry of $D3$ branes decouples from the outside at low energies. Our membrane equations are the analogues of the hydrodynamics of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang Mills. Does there exist a quntum ‘atomic’ theory, the analogue of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang Mills Lagrangian?
As black holes are thermodynamical objects, the black hole membrane should carry an entropy current in addition to its stress tensor and charge current. As the membrane equations are local we expect the second law of thermodynamics to operate in a local manner. Consequently the divergence of the entropy current should always be non negative.

Our black hole membrane does indeed have such an entropy current. The area form on the event horizon defines an area form on the membrane, which measures the entropy carried by any part of the membrane. The entropy current is obtained by Hodge dualizing this form. The Hodge dual is taken w.r.t. the flat space induced metric on the membrane. The non negativity of divergence of this entropy current is then ensured by Hawking’s area theorem.
Using our explicit construction of the metric dual to any membrane motion we find

\[ J^S_M = \left( I + O \left( \frac{1}{D^2} \right) \right) \frac{u^M}{4} \]

At leading nontrivial order

\[ \nabla \cdot J^S = \frac{\nabla \cdot u}{4} == \frac{1}{8\kappa} \left( \nabla (A u_B) \nabla (C u_D) \mathcal{P}^{BC} \mathcal{P}^{AD} \right) + \ldots \]
Stationary solutions

- Entropy production must vanish on stationary solutions. It follows that $\sigma_{MN}$ vanishes on such solutions. Recall that $\nabla . u$ also vanishes. It can be shown that a velocity field has these properties if and only if it is proportional to a killing vector on the manifold on which it lives.

- In the simplest solution the membrane has a unique killing vector $\partial_t$. Easy to demonstrate that the lowest order membrane equation reduces to $\mathcal{K} = const$ in agreement with a direct analysis by EST of static solutions.

- Another simple situation: the manifold preserves some axial symmetries. In this case the velocity field has to be that of rigid rotations. Plugging this into the membrane equations we once again recover the equation $\mathcal{K} = \propto \gamma$ of EST ($\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}$). Easy to explicitly solve.

- Generalizes to charge. $Q \propto \gamma$. Can construct charged rotating solutions.
Conclusions

- We have demonstrated that the near horizon geometry of charged and uncharged black holes decouples from asymptotic infinity at large $D$. At the classical level the decoupled theory is governed by a set of equations that describe the propagation of a membrane in flat space.

- The degrees of freedom of this membrane are its shape and a velocity and a charge density. The membrane carries a conserved stress tensor and charge current whose explicit form we have determined at low orders. Membrane equations of motion are simply the statement of conservation of these currents and appear to define a well posed non gravitational initial value problem.

- Radiation reflects the failure of decoupling and occurs at order $1/D^D$. The explicit form of radiation fields is obtained by coupling the membrane stress tensor and charge current to the linearized exterior metric and gauge fields in the usual manner.
Future Directions?

- Have studied black holes in spaces that are flat away from the membrane region. Would be interesting to generalize to solutions that reduce to other solutions (e.g. waves) of Einstein equations. Also useful to generalize to gravity with a cosmological constant. In progress (see refs)

- It would be interesting to perform a structural analysis of the constraints on membrane equations that follow from the requirement that they carry a conserved entropy current. Perhaps this analysis could shed light on the still mysterious second law of thermodynamics in higher derivative gravity.

- Could be interesting to use the membrane to study the large $D$ versions of complicated gravitational phenomena. E.g. black hole collisions. $D = 4$?

- Could the membrane equations derived presented above turn out to be the hydrodynamical equations for a consistent quantum theory?