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## Punch-line and an highlight

- In $\mathrm{AdS}_{5}$, string amplitudes with complex topologies can be cut into rectangular, pentagonal or hexagonal patches which can be Bootstrapped using Integrability at any 't Hooft coupling.
- Amplitudes are given as infinite sums and integrals arising from stitching back these patches.
- Sometimes we can re-sum (part of) these sums/integrals (often finding hints of yet to be understood structures).
- Comparisons with weak and strong coupling computations work (so far) and they are key in developing new integrability tools themselves. "Shut up, calculate and contemplate"



## Outline

- 2D and Integrability
- Spectrum (i.e. cylinder)
- Beyond the Spectrum (i.e. other topologies)
- Open problems


## Start in 2D

- Strings are two dimensional.
- 4D large N gauge theories are also string theories when properly thought of.
- Correlation functions of n single trace operators $=\mathrm{n}$ closed strings
- Flux tubes = open strings

```
string tension = \sqrt{}{\lambda}
string coupling = 1/N
```



## A Zoo of 2D Possibilities

Cylinder
[Beisert et al review 2009]


Pair of pants


Sphere with Four Punctures


Sphere with Four Punctures and one Handle


Disk with Circular Boundary
[Giombi, Roiban, Tseytlin 2017]


Disk with Null Polygonal Boundary [Alday, Maldacena 2007,...]
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## Integrability


Generic case
Oliver: So Walter, roughly speaking what are the chances that the world is going to be destroyed?
Walter: It is $50 \%$. If you have something that can happen and something that won't necessarily happen, its gonna either happen or not happen and so the best is 1 in $2 \ldots$
Oliver: I'm not sure that's how probability works Walter.
$P_{\text {initial }}=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right\}$


## Integrability

In 2D

$$
Q_{1}=\sum p_{j}, Q_{2}=\sum p_{j}^{2}, \Rightarrow\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}=\left\{p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Integrability : If

$$
\exists Q_{3}=\sum p_{j}^{3} \Rightarrow\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right\}=\left\{p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, p_{3}^{\prime}\right\}
$$



## Integrable Spin Chains at Weak Coupling,

## Integrable Classical Ripples at Strong Coupling

composite operator in the gauge theory:


Integrable Spin Chain
[Minahan, Zarembo; Beisert, Staudacher]

Integrability persists at any coupling (true but not proved)

dual string state:


## Integrable Classical String



$$
\mathcal{N}=4 S Y M
$$

## Unusual and rich 2D particle theory



$$
E(p)=\sqrt{1+16 g^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{p}{2}}
$$

half spin-chain magnon, HALF RELATIVISTIC PARTICLE
$S\left(p^{\prime}, p\right)$


## Rapidity u



$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e^{i p(u)}=\frac{x(u+i / 2)}{x(u-i / 2)} \\
E(u)=\frac{2 i g}{x(u+i / 2)}-\frac{2 i g}{x(u-i / 2)} \Leftrightarrow E(p)=\sqrt{1+16 g^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{p}{2}} \\
x(u)=\frac{u+\sqrt{u^{2}-4 g^{2}}}{2 g}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Crossing particle into antiparticle is a path in $u$ :

A mirror transformation - or Wick rotation - is half that.

Both are non-perturbative


## Analogue of usual hyperbolic rapidity

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}p(\theta)=m \sinh (\theta) \\ E(\theta)=m \cosh (\theta)\end{array} \Leftrightarrow E(p)=\sqrt{m^{2}+p^{2}}\right.$
Crossing here is just translation of rapidity by $\mathbf{i} \pi$. A mirror transformation - or Wick rotation - is half that.

## The Planar Spectrum of a Gauge Theory



## Quantum Spectral Curve



## Cute spectrum plots

[Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuuk, Sizov, 2015]


Figure 1: Riemann surface of the function $S(\Delta)$ for twist-2 operators. Plot of the real part of $S(\Delta)$ for complex values of $\Delta$, generated from about 2200 numerical data points for $\lambda \approx 6.3$. We have mapped two Riemann sheets of this function. The thick red lines show the position of cuts. The upper sheet corresponds to physical values of the spin. Going through a cut we arrive at another sheet containing yet more cuts.
[Gromov, Kazakov, Korchemsky, Negro, Sizov 2017]


Figure 8. Real and imaginary part of the scaling dimension of the nine lowest lying states with $J=3$. The curve that starts at $\Delta(0)=3$ corresponds to the operator $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{1}^{3}\right)$. The pair of states that start at $\Delta(0)=3+2 k$ with $k=1,2,3,4$ correspond to the operators of the form (1.2) (or rather to their linear combinations diagonalizing the dilatation operator).

> N=4 SYM with extreme imaginary twists [Gurdogan, Kazakov 2015]

## That is it about the spectrum

Cylinder
[Beisert et al review 2009]


Pair of pants


Sphere with Four Punctures


Sphere with Four Punctures and one Handle


Disk with Circular Boundary
[Giombi, Tseytlin 2017]


Disk with Null Polygonal Boundary [Alday, Maldacena 2007,...]

... with hindsight, the spectrum was in The Book.
(The Bethe ansatz story; the more sophisticated quantum spectral curve story is definitely new)

The rest is less obvious as it involves dealing with Integrable theories on spaces of various topologies.

## Same wonderful 't Hooft world-sheet fabric



As such, we should be able to tame any physical observable with a good large N limit - as well as any $1 / \mathrm{N}$ correction to it.

## What can we do?

- Local operators are not the most natural thing in a string theory. After all, in quantum gravity (2d word-sheet gravity in this case) we have no local observables. We have S-matrices. They were key in the spectrum solution.




## Creative Patchwork

Pentagon transition amplitude

Spoiler:
Pentagons control scattering amplitudes and Wilson loops.
Hexagons govern correlation functions.

## Free Relativistic Massive Boson



## Free Relativistic Massive Boson


$\frac{f_{n}\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)}{f_{n}\left(\theta^{\prime}, \theta\right)}=1, \quad f_{n}\left(\theta+i \pi, \theta^{\prime}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\theta^{\prime}-\theta} \times 1, \quad f_{n}\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}-i \pi\right) \sim \frac{1}{\theta^{\prime}-\theta} \times 1 \quad f_{n}\left(\theta+i n \frac{\pi}{2}, \theta^{\prime}\right)=f_{n}\left(\theta^{\prime}, \theta\right)$

## Free Relativistic Massive Boson



## Free Relativistic Massive Boson



## N=4 SYM one can bootstrap two cases:

## Global AdS:

[Basso, Komatsu, PV]
[Bereinstein, Maldacena, Nastase]


## Fundamental relation


(Holds both for the GKP pentagons and for the BMN hexagons)

## Why?

No idea

Null Wilson Loops and Scattering Amplitudes

## * In planar N=4 SYM WL = Scattering Amplitudes

[Alday, Maldacena; Drummond, Korchemsky, Sokatchev;
Brandhuber, Heslop, Travaglini; Drummond, Henn, Korchemsky, Sokatchev; Berkovits, Maldacena]


Amplitudes = Sum over Flux Tube states
= Open String Partition Function


## Basic idea

1. Use the spectrum to describe the propagation
2. Tesselate the flux tube world-sheet as quilt to tame the null polygonal geometry


4D Amplitudes as 2D Flux Tube Gas
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## 4D Amplitudes as 2D Flux Tube Gas



## Tree Level Example

[Caron-Huot;Mason,Skinner]
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## Tree Level Example



From Integrability, a totally different computation yields

$$
S\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i p_{1}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i p_{2}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{i p_{1}}{2}-\frac{i p_{2}}{2}\right)}{\prod\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i p_{2}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i p_{1}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{i p_{2}}{2}-\frac{i p_{1}}{2}\right)}
$$

## Weak Coupling @ many loops

$$
\mathcal{W}_{\text {hex }}=1+e^{-\tau}\left(e^{i \phi}+e^{-i \phi}\right) \mathcal{A}+e^{-2 \tau}\left(e^{2 i \phi}+e^{-2 i \phi}\right) \mathcal{B}+e^{-2 \tau} \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-3 \tau}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}=g^{2}\left[e^{\sigma}(2 \sigma-1)+\ldots\right]+g^{4}\left[e^{\sigma}(4-4 \sigma) \tau+e^{\sigma}\left(-\frac{2 \pi^{2} \sigma}{3}-4 \sigma+6\right)+\ldots\right]+g^{6}\left[e^{\sigma}(4 \sigma-6) \tau^{2}+e^{\sigma}\left(-4 \sigma^{2}+\frac{8 \pi^{2} \sigma}{3}+24 \sigma-\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{3}-36\right) \tau+e^{\sigma}\left(-6 \sigma^{2}+\frac{22 \pi^{4} \sigma}{45}+\frac{5 \pi^{2} \sigma}{3}+36 \sigma\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4 \zeta(3)-\pi^{2}-60\right)+\ldots\right]+g^{8}\left[e^{\sigma}\left(\frac{16}{3}-\frac{8 \sigma}{3}\right) \tau^{3}+e^{\sigma}\left(8 \sigma^{2}-4 \pi^{2} \sigma-48 \sigma-8 \zeta(3)+\frac{14 \pi^{2}}{3}+80\right) \tau^{2}+e^{\sigma}\left(-\frac{8 \sigma^{3}}{3}+4 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2}+48 \sigma^{2}-\frac{12 \pi^{4} \sigma}{5}-\frac{52 \pi^{2} \sigma}{3}-240 \sigma-24 \zeta(3)+\frac{4 \pi^{4}}{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{52 \pi^{2}}{3}+400\right) \tau+e^{\sigma}\left(-\frac{16 \sigma^{3}}{3}+\frac{14 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2}}{3}+80 \sigma^{2}-\frac{146 \pi^{6} \sigma}{315}-\frac{4 \pi^{4} \sigma}{3}-\frac{52 \pi^{2} \sigma}{3}-400 \sigma-8 \sigma^{2} \zeta(3)-16 \sigma \zeta(3)^{2}+24 \sigma \zeta(3)-40 \zeta(5)-\frac{4 \pi^{2} \zeta(3)}{3}-48 \zeta(3)+\frac{71 \pi^{4}}{90}+\frac{40 \pi^{2}}{3}+700\right)+\ldots\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{10}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}=g^{2}\left[e^{2 \sigma}\left(-\sigma-\frac{1}{4}\right)+\ldots\right]+g^{4}\left[e^{2 \sigma}\left(3 \sigma-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tau+e^{2 \sigma}\left(2 \sigma^{2}+\frac{\pi^{2} \sigma}{3}+\frac{\sigma}{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-\frac{3}{8}\right)+\ldots\right]+g^{6}\left[e^{2 \sigma}\left(-\frac{9 \sigma}{2}+\frac{21}{8}\right) \tau^{2}+e^{2 \sigma}\left(-\frac{7 \sigma^{2}}{2}-2 \pi^{2} \sigma-\frac{9 \sigma}{2}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{8}+\frac{27}{4}\right) \tau+e^{2 \sigma}\left(-\frac{4}{3} \pi^{2} \sigma^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{27 \sigma^{2}}{8}-\frac{11 \pi^{4} \sigma}{45}-\frac{13 \pi^{2} \sigma}{24}-\frac{3 \sigma}{4}-\frac{5 \zeta(3)}{2}-\frac{11 \pi^{4}}{90}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{16}+\frac{105}{16}\right)+\ldots\right]+g^{8}\left[e^{2 \sigma}\left(\frac{9 \sigma}{2}-\frac{9}{2}\right) \tau^{3}+e^{2 \sigma} \tau^{2}\left(\frac{3 \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{9 \pi^{2} \sigma}{2}+\frac{35 \sigma}{2}+5 \zeta(3)-\frac{13 \pi^{2}}{8}-\frac{113}{4}\right)+e^{2 \sigma} \tau\left(-\frac{7 \sigma^{3}}{2}+\frac{7 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{29 \sigma^{2}}{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{9 \pi^{4} \sigma}{5}+\frac{13 \pi^{2} \sigma}{4}+\frac{91 \sigma}{4}+\frac{37 \zeta(3)}{2}+\frac{17 \pi^{4}}{90}-\frac{13 \pi^{2}}{6}-\frac{629}{8}\right)+e^{2 \sigma}\left(-\frac{4 \sigma^{4}}{3}-\frac{5 \sigma^{3}}{6}+\frac{6 \pi^{4} \sigma^{2}}{5}+\frac{41 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2}}{24}+\frac{\mathbf{7 9} \sigma^{2}}{4}+\frac{\mathbf{7 3 \pi} \pi^{6} \sigma}{315}+\frac{47 \pi^{4} \sigma}{90}+\frac{3 \pi^{2} \sigma}{2}+\frac{\mathbf{2 1 \sigma}}{8}+5 \sigma^{2} \zeta(3)+8 \sigma \zeta(3)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{5 \sigma \zeta(3)}{2}+25 \zeta(5)+4 \zeta(3)^{2}+\frac{5 \pi^{2} \zeta(3)}{6}+\frac{39 \zeta(3)}{2}+\frac{73 \pi^{6}}{630}+\frac{121 \pi^{4}}{1440}-\frac{17 \pi^{2}}{24}-\frac{5815}{64}\right)+\ldots\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{10}\right) \\
& \mathcal{C}=g^{2}\left[4 \sigma-2 e^{2 \sigma}+\ldots\right]+g^{4}\left[8 e^{2 \sigma} \sigma \tau+e^{2 \sigma}\left(4 \sigma^{2}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{2}}{\mathbf{3}}+\frac{\mathbf{7}}{\mathbf{2}}\right)-\frac{\mathbf{4 \boldsymbol { \pi } ^ { 2 }} \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\mathbf{3}}+\ldots\right]+g^{6}\left[e^{2 \sigma}\left(-8 \sigma^{2}-8 \sigma-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3}+8\right) \tau^{2}+\boldsymbol{e}^{2 \sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}\left(-8 \sigma^{2}-\frac{\mathbf{1 6} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{2} \sigma}{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{6 \sigma}+\mathbf{8 \zeta}(\mathbf{3})-\frac{\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{2}}{\mathbf{3}}\right)+\frac{\mathbf{4 4} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{4} \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\mathbf{4 5}}\right. \\
& \left.+e^{\mathbf{2} \sigma}\left(-\frac{\mathbf{1 0}}{\mathbf{3}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{4 \sigma ^ { 2 }}-\frac{\mathbf{2 \boldsymbol { \pi } ^ { 2 } \sigma}}{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{1 2 \sigma}-\mathbf{8 \sigma} \boldsymbol{\zeta}(\mathbf{3})+\mathbf{8 \zeta}(\mathbf{3})-\frac{\mathbf{3 \pi ^ { 4 }}}{\mathbf{1 0}}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\mathbf{2}}}{\mathbf{3}}-\frac{\mathbf{1 4 1}}{\mathbf{4}}\right)+\ldots\right]+g^{8}\left[e^{2 \sigma} \tau^{3}\left(\frac{32 \sigma^{3}}{9}+\frac{32 \sigma^{2}}{3}+\frac{8 \pi^{2} \sigma}{9}-\frac{32 \sigma}{3}-16 \zeta(3)+\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{9}\right)+\boldsymbol{e}^{\mathbf{2} \sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{2}\left(-\frac{\mathbf{3 2} \sigma^{\mathbf{3}}}{\mathbf{3}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{32 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2}}{3}+28 \sigma^{2}+\frac{16 \pi^{2} \sigma}{3}+16 \sigma-16 \sigma \zeta(3)-32 \zeta(3)+\frac{14 \pi^{4}}{15}-3 \pi^{2}-\frac{87}{2}\right)+e^{2 \sigma} \tau\left(-\frac{8}{9} \pi^{2}-\frac{80 \sigma^{3}}{3}+\frac{32 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2}}{3}+48 \sigma^{2}+\frac{226 \pi^{4} \sigma}{45}-\frac{16 \pi^{2} \sigma}{3}+22 \sigma+16 \sigma^{2} \zeta(3)\right. \\
& \left.-64 \zeta(5)-\frac{16 \pi^{2} \zeta(3)}{3}-24 \zeta(3)+\frac{14 \pi^{4}}{15}+4 \pi^{2}+8\right)+e^{2 \sigma}\left(-\frac{8 \pi^{2} \sigma^{3}}{9}-\frac{64 \sigma^{3}}{3}+\frac{10 \pi^{4} \sigma^{2}}{3}+5 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2}+\frac{137 \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{22 \pi^{4} \sigma}{45}-8 \pi^{2} \sigma-168 \sigma+16 \sigma^{3} \zeta(3)-32 \sigma^{2} \zeta(3)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+64 \sigma \zeta(5)+\frac{16}{3} \pi^{2} \sigma \zeta(3)+56 \sigma \zeta(3)-64 \zeta(5)-\frac{16 \pi^{2} \zeta(3)}{3}-48 \zeta(3)+\frac{296 \pi^{6}}{945}-\frac{\pi^{4}}{20}+\frac{25 \pi^{2}}{12}+\frac{3217}{8}\right)-32 \sigma \zeta(3)^{2}-\frac{292 \pi^{6} \sigma}{315}+\ldots\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{10}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This data was used intensively by Dixon et al in the so called Hexagon program [Dixon,Drummond,Henn],[Dixon,Duhr,Pennington,Von Hippel],[Dixon, Drummond, Duhr,Pennington],[Dixon,Von Hippel],... With some Steinmann technology, this is no longer needed (up to 5 loops)! Integrability derivation?
[Caron-Huot,Dixon,Von Hippel 2017]

## Strong Coupling. The Emergence of Strings

$$
\mathcal{W}^{\text {string }} \simeq \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi} Y Y_{c}\right)=1-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi}\left(e^{i \phi}+e^{-i \phi}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d \theta}{\pi \cosh ^{2}(2 \theta)} e^{-\sqrt{2} \tau \cosh \theta+i \sqrt{2} \sigma \sinh \theta}
$$

Direct computation of the Area. (using classical Integrability of the string sigma model)

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i 0} \frac{d \theta}{\pi \sinh ^{2}(2 \theta)} e^{-2 \tau \cosh \theta+2 i \sigma \sinh \theta}+\ldots
$$

Purely Geometrical Problem.
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$$
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Purely Geometrical Problem.
We see two excitations with mass $\sqrt{2}$ and one excitation with mass 2


We see two excitations with mass $\sqrt{2}$ and one excitation with mass 2


The two lightest modes are the transverse excitations of the flux tube. The sum over multi-particle gluons exponentiates at strong coupling yielding precisely the corresponding terms in the Y -system.


We see two excitations with mass $\sqrt{2}$ and one excitation with mass 2

The mass 2 excitation corresponding to the missing direction in AdS, is an emergent excitation which arises at strong coupling as a sort of bound-state made out of two fermionic excitations each of mass 1 .
[Basso,Sever,PV]
Counterpart to the QCD flux tube axion excitation proposed by Flauger, Dubosky and Gorbenko? (next talk)


## Tailoring 3pt Functions



The Hexagon twist operators can then once again be Bootstrapped using Integrability and the results can be then compared against direct perturbative computations:
[Basso, Komatsu, PV, 2015] = [Dolan, Osborn 2001] up to 2 loops
[Basso, Gonçalves, Komatsu, PV, 2016] = [Eden 2012; Chicherin, Drummond, Heslop, Sokatchev ] @ 3 loops [Basso, Gonçalves, Komatsu, 2017] = [Gonçalves 2017; Eden, Paul 2016] @ 4 loops

The last one is more than just a check as it also fixes some ambiguities in the original prescription.

## Four-Point Functions


[Basso, Coronado, Komatsu, Tat Lam, PV, Zhong, 2017]

## Four-Point Functions



One loop example from Fleury and Komatsu:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a} \int d v \frac{\left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}}\right)^{a / 2}-\left(\frac{\bar{z}}{z}\right)^{a / 2}}{\left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}}\right)^{1 / 2}-\left(\frac{\bar{z}}{z}\right)^{1 / 2}} \times \frac{a}{v^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}} \times(z \bar{z})^{-i v} \\
& \quad \propto \frac{2 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)-2 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(\bar{z})+\log z \bar{z} \log \frac{1-z}{1-\bar{z}}}{z-\bar{z}} \quad\left(=\frac{x_{13}^{2} x_{24}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \int \frac{d^{4} x_{5}}{x_{15}^{2} x_{25}^{2} x_{35}^{2} x_{45}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[Fleury, Komatsu 2017] (see also Eden,Stronfrini 2017)

## An alternative different story full of bootstraps?



## Unified Picture

Cylinder


Pair of pants


Sphere with Four Punctures


Sphere with n Punctures and $h$ handles


Disk with Circular Boundary and $n$ insertions

[Kim, Kiryu 2017]
[Kim, Kiryu, Komatsu, Nishimura to appear]
Disk with null n-gon boundary


## Open Problems

- Carry out some non-planar example in detail. Work in progress with Bargheer, Basso, Caetano, Komatsu, Fleury
- Connect Hexagons and Pentagons. (Large spin perhaps...) Inspiring first connection a few weeks ago by Basso and Dixon.
- Is there a master Quantum Curve for all quantities in $\mathrm{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ re-summing all these gluing sums and integrals?
Very nice partial recent results by Bajnok, Janik. Are strong coupling Y-systems hints or red herrings? Partial resummations at strong couplings by Jiang, Komatsu, Kostov, Serban, see also Kazama, Komatsu, Nishimura
- Find interesting physical limits where the expressions simplify. Bulk Locality, Regge limit, Rastelli and Zhou's results, Heavy-Heavy-Light's...
- Relate the CFT/OPE cutting to the String theory/Hexagonalization cutting. Work in progress with Coronado and Komatsu
- General lessons for CFT's? General lessons for string theory? Can we define closed String theories as collections of hexagons obeying some set of consistency relations?

