# A tensor model for approximate conformal field theories

**Daniel L Jafferis** 

Strings 2023

Perimeter Institute

July 25

Appearing soon with Alex Belin, Jan de Boer, Pranjal Nayak, Julian Sonner

Ξł

#### Introduction

### Approximate CFTs

#### Random tensor model

#### ■ 3d gravity

# Ensembles for pseudo-random microdata

- Features of high energy microstates of chaotic quantum systems are pseudo-random.
- One can encode their statistical properties in an ensemble, such that typical elements preserve EFT observables.
- For quantum mechanics, this gives a random matrix model for the matrix elements of simple operators between the high energy microstates, for example H<sub>ij</sub>, O<sub>ij</sub>.
- For CFTs, there are also the three point functions of high dimension operators, so it is a random tensor model, C<sub>ijk</sub>.

#### Holographic matrix ensembles

- The Saad Shenker Stanford matrix model for Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity reinterprets a minimal string theory matrix model as the Wigner ensemble for the holographically dual Hamiltonian. The 't Hooft expansion of < Tr(e<sup>-β<sub>1</sub>H</sup>) Tr(e<sup>-β<sub>2</sub>H</sup>)... > gives the sum over 2d topologies, as dense Feynman diagrams fill out the surfaces in a double scaled limit.
- The lack of euclidean factorization is puzzling from the perspective of exact 2d holography.
- How does it work in higher dimensional versions of this?

[Mertens Turiaci; Collier Maloney Maxfield Tsiares; Cotler Jensen; Belin de Boer Liska; Belin de Boer Nayak Sonner; Anous Belin de Boer Liska; Chandra Collier Hartman Maloney ...]

## **CFTs**

- The microdata of a CFT consists of the dilation operator acting on the Hilbert space graded by spin, and the structure constants, C. These give a matrix and a tensor.
- The operators best characterized by an ensemble are in the dense part of the spectrum. For simplicity, could consider a large c 2d CFT, with no operators below the black hole threshold beside the identity Virasoro module.
- If there were light operators, these would define matrices  $C_{LHH}$
- At small c, the discussion applies to the high dimension region of the spectrum.

#### **OPE** statistics from 3d gravity

The Cardy formula gives the asymptotics of the spectral density

$$\rho \approx \exp\left[2\pi \left(\frac{c}{6}(h-\frac{c}{24})\right)\right] \exp\left[2\pi \left(\frac{c}{6}(\bar{h}-\frac{c}{24})\right)\right]$$

• The leading order variance of the structure constants is given by  $|C_{ijk}|^2 \approx C_0(h_i, h_j, h_k)C_0(\bar{h}_i, \bar{h}_j, \bar{h}_k)$ , where  $C_0$  is the Liouville three point function given by the DOZZ formula, normalized by the spectral density.

The associated Gaussian ensemble reproduces certain handlebody and euclidean wormhole topologies in 3d gravity.

[Chandra Collier Hartman Maloney]

## Higher d has more constraints

- An important point is that unlike QM, where any Hamiltonian is allowed, CFTs have many consistency constraints. 4 point crossing encodes locality, the vanishing of operator commutators outside the lightcone. In 2d, there is also torus 1 point function modular invariance.
- Therefore, we do not expect there to exist ensembles over exact CFT data, in contrast to QM Hamiltonians. No disordered exact duals are possible for higher dimensional gravity.
- We will define a notion of approximate CFT, which in an appropriate limit would localize to a true CFT.

#### Which gravity EFTs have UV completions?

- In AdS, this turns into a problem of classifying CFTs: is there an exact CFT whose light operators behave like a given EFT's correlation functions in AdS?
- Around the AdS vacuum, perturbative solutions to the bootstrap exist for any EFT. There are some sharp "naturalness" bounds on the Wilson coefficients from dispersion relations.

[Heemskerk Penedones Polchinski Sully; Caron-Huot Mazac Rastelli Simmons-Duffin]

- For black hole backgrounds, corresponding to thermal correlators, there are consistent ensembles for any EFT.
  [DLI Kolchmeyer Mukhametzhanov Sonner]
- Major constraints must come from mutually locality of black hole microstate operators among themselves.

## **Approximate CFTs**

- Consists of a full collection of CFT data, subject to the conditions that crossing is obeyed up to a tolerance T, subject to bounds on
  - Total number of insertions
  - Dimension of external operators
  - Genus
  - Avoidance of extreme Lorentzian kinematics (Regge regime)

• These allow  $e^S$  moduli of deformation away from a true CFT.

#### From EFT to microstate

- One can show that this implies approximate crossing for almost all operators, including heavy operators, above the previous cutoff. This is done by performing an appropriate inversion transform on a higher genus or higher point function to pick out contributions of (bands of) heavy operators in some channel.
- It follows from Moore-Seiberg that it is equivalent to having 4 point crossing and torus 1 point modular invariance approximately satisfied for almost all operators.
- The CFT data purely for light operators can be taken to be fixed, or approximately fixed.

### Variance of crossing

Although the expectation value of the crossing equation vanishes in the gaussian ensemble, individual instantiations strongly violate it and are not approximate CFTs. This is captured by a large variance, which must be cancelled by higher moments.

$$G_{1122}(x)|_{s} = \sum_{k} |C_{12k}|^{2} |\mathcal{F}_{1221}(O_{k}|x)|^{2}, \quad G_{1122}(x)|_{t} = \sum_{k'} C_{11k'} C_{22k'} |\mathcal{F}_{1122}(O_{k'}|1-x)|^{2}$$
$$\overline{s-t} = 0, \text{ but } \overline{(s-t)^{2}} = \overline{s^{2}} + \overline{t^{2}} - 2\overline{st} \neq 0$$

The st cross term vanishes in the Gaussian ensemble. Therefore there must be a quartic moment to cancel the variance.  $\overline{C_{ijk}C_{iml}C_{njl}C_{nmk}}\Big|_{c} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{O}_{k} & \mathcal{O}_{j} & \mathcal{O}_{i} \\ \mathcal{O}_{l} & \mathcal{O}_{m} & \mathcal{O}_{n} \end{array} \right\} = \left| \mathbf{F}_{kl} \begin{bmatrix} n & j \\ m & i \end{array} \right] C_{0}(h_{i}, h_{j}, h_{k})C_{0}(h_{k}, h_{n}, h_{m})\rho_{0}(h_{l})^{-1} \Big|^{2}$ 

#### "Local" version of crossing

The Ponsot-Teschner crossing kernel F is exactly the object which transforms s-channel to t-channel blocks.

$$\mathcal{F}_{ijmn}\left(O_{k}|x\right) = \int d[O_{l}] \mathbf{F}_{kl} \begin{bmatrix} n & j \\ m & i \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{imjn}\left(O_{l}|1-x\right)$$

Expand the crossing equation in s-channel principal series

$$\sum_{q} \left( C_{i_1 i_2 q} C_{i_3 i_4 q} \delta^{(2)} \left( P_s - P_q \right) - C_{i_1 i_4 q} C_{i_2 i_3 q} \left| \mathbf{F}_{P_q P_s} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} P_3 & P_4 \\ P_2 & P_1 \end{array} \right] \right|^2 \right) = 0 \,,$$

For Virasoro, the principal series are the above threshold physical weights. For other operators (including Id), the δ involves contour manipulation. In approximate CFTs, the restriction on kinematics implies the δ is smeared.

#### Tensor model

Instead of specifying moments, write an explicit ensemble:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int D[L_0, \bar{L}_0] D[C] \ e^{-a \, V[L_0, \bar{L}_0, C]}$$

The maximum ignorance ensemble consistent with crossing has V given by the sum of squares of the constraints.

$$V_{4} = 2 \sum_{i_{1}\cdots i_{4}} \sum_{p,q} \left( \frac{C_{i_{1}i_{2}p}C_{i_{3}i_{4}p}C_{i_{1}i_{2}q}C_{i_{3}i_{4}q}}{|\rho_{0}(p)C_{0}(12p)C_{0}(34p)|^{2}} \delta^{(2)} \left(P_{p} - P_{q}\right) - \frac{C_{i_{1}i_{2}p}C_{i_{3}i_{4}p}C_{i_{1}i_{4}q}C_{i_{2}i_{3}q}}{|C_{0}(12p)C_{0}(34p)C_{0}(23q)C_{0}(14q)|^{2}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{q} & \mathcal{O}_{4} & \mathcal{O}_{1} \\ \mathcal{O}_{p} & \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{3} \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

■ p=Id, 1=2, 3=4 gives the propagator.

$$V_2 = \sum_{ijk} \frac{C_{ijk}^2}{|C_0(ijk)|^2} \qquad \bigcirc$$

#### Tensor model

Instead of specifying moments, write an explicit ensemble:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int D[L_0, \bar{L}_0] D[C] \ e^{-a \, V[L_0, \bar{L}_0, C]}$$

The maximum ignorance ensemble consistent with crossing has V given by the sum of squares of the constraints.

$$V_{4} = 2 \sum_{i_{1}\cdots i_{4}} \sum_{p,q} \left( \frac{C_{i_{1}i_{2}p}C_{i_{3}i_{4}p}C_{i_{1}i_{2}q}C_{i_{3}i_{4}q}}{|\rho_{0}(p)C_{0}(12p)C_{0}(34p)|^{2}} \delta^{(2)} \left(P_{p} - P_{q}\right) - \frac{C_{i_{1}i_{2}p}C_{i_{3}i_{4}p}C_{i_{1}i_{4}q}C_{i_{2}i_{3}q}}{|C_{0}(12p)C_{0}(34p)C_{0}(23q)C_{0}(14q)|^{2}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{q} & \mathcal{O}_{4} & \mathcal{O}_{1} \\ \mathcal{O}_{p} & \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{3} \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

■ p=Id, 1=2, 3=4 gives the propagator.

$$V_2 = \sum_{ijk} \frac{C_{ijk}^2}{|C_0(ijk)|^2} \qquad \bigcirc$$

## Scaling limit

- The random tensor model is defined by truncating to a finite number of primaries, and then taking a triple scaled limit, in which the rank and overall coefficient *a* are taken to infinity, while the width of the smeared delta functions is taken to zero.
- In principle, in the strict limit, crossing is imposed exactly and one either finds a specific exact CFT or no solutions. What is interesting is to study the theory in the 't Hooft matrix + triple line tensor Feynman expansion. The expansion parameter is e<sup>-c</sup>
- The ultimate fate of the strict limit is a "doubly nonperturbative" question - sum over all topologies.

#### Modular invariance

Similarly, modular invariance of torus 1 point functions can be written in terms of the modular inversion kernel, S, and we take its square as part of the potential.

$$V_{\mathbf{S},\neq\mathbf{1}} = 2\sum_{i,j,k} |\rho_0(P_i)C_0(P_i, P_i, P_j)|^2 C_{iij}C_{kkj} \left(\delta^{(2)} (P_i - P_k) - \left|\mathbf{S}[\mathcal{O}_j]_{P_iP_k}\right|^2\right)$$

This is another quadratic term. With the Id inserted, one gets

$$V_{\mathrm{S},\mathbf{1}} = 64 \left( \sum_{i,j}' \left| \sinh(2\pi bP_i) \sinh\left(2\pi \frac{P_i}{b}\right) \right|^2 \delta^{(2)}(P_i - P_j) - \sum_i' \left| \sinh(2\pi bP_i) \sinh\left(2\pi \frac{P_i}{b}\right) \right|^2 - 8 \sum_{i,j}' \left| \sinh(2\pi bP_i) \sinh\left(2\pi \frac{P_i}{b}\right) \cos(4\pi P_i P_j) \right|^2 \right) = 0$$

T invariance imposes integrality of spin

$$V_T = \operatorname{Tr} \sin^2(\pi (L_0 - \bar{L}_0))$$

## 3d gravity

- Conjecture: in the case with no fixed primaries aside from Id (thus a gap to the black hole threshold), then in e<sup>-c</sup> expansion, the triple scaled limit of the tensor model is exactly pure 3d gravity, including the sum over all hyperbolic 3-manifolds!
- Building block of the tensor part is the 4 boundary wormhole associated to the 6j vertex. The index loops are filled in with 't Hooft diagrams of the matrices leading disk corresponds to BTZ filling of solid torus.



[Collier Eberhardt Zhang]

#### 2 and 4 point resummed

However, the bare propagator of the tensor model has a factor of  $-\frac{1}{a}$ . This is similar to imposing  $\langle x^2 \rangle = v$  by an integral

$$\int dx \ e^{-a(x^2/v-1)^2} \sim \int dx \ e^{-a(-2x^2/v+x^4/v^2)}$$

Need to resum infinitely many diagrams. One can check
Schwinger-Dyson equations

 Very similar to simplicial 3d gravity of Regge, ... Boulatov also involving 6j symbol vertices. Here matrix part also plays important role. But there is a sum over many diagrams for each 3-manifold. Integrability seems to imply that they are all proportional! So also like Turaev-Viro, Collier-Eberhardt-Zhang.

### **Relation to M5 branes**

An intriguing fact is that the reduction of the 2 M5 brane theory on S<sup>3</sup> in the context of N=2 sphere partition functions is exactly the integration cycle for SL(2,C) Chern-Simons theory that gives TTQFT on the 3-manifold.

[Dimofte Gaiotto Gukov; Cordova DLJ; Mikhaylov]

- There is probably a connection of the tensor model to the M5 brane similar to the matrix model/minimal string.
- A distinction again is that here the sum over all of the complicated 3-manifold topologies ends up imposing exact crossing, rigidifying the model.

## Summary

- Defined a notion of approximate CFT, which is CFT data that obeys crossing up to small corrections away from extreme kinematics.
- One can define ensembles of such data, which must be strongly non-Gaussian.
- Leads to a tensor model that is completely determined by conformal symmetries – maximum ignorance ensemble.
- Its expansion is related to 3d gravity, connecting simplicial gravity and VTQFT.