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- If there is an exact worldsheet CFT description, we can perform ordinary string perturbation theory which is $\alpha^{\prime}$-exact at each order in $g_{s}$.
- However, such a description is not always known explicitly. In the NSR formalism for superstrings, we lack such a description for backgrounds involving Ramond-Ramond (RR) fluxes, including most of $\operatorname{AdS}$ and flux compactifications (alternative formalisms may be present).
- In contrast, low energy supergravity description provides the Einstein equation whose solutions correspond to 'string' backgrounds. But it comes with a limitation that observables beyond the protected quantities are difficult to access.
- It will be great if there is a 'stringy' version of supergravity.
- String field theory (SFT) comes close at least conceptually (but with some limitations which we will discuss soon). Today, we discuss how it can be useful even in practice for some interesting cases.
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- Perturbative nature: $S[\phi]$ is perturbative in $g_{s}$ and the number of fields (in the presence of dynamical closed strings). Its terms can be computed using the worldsheet CFT correlators of $\mathcal{T}_{0}$ on Riemann surfaces of generic genera and punctures.
- Computability: If one is interested in computing observables up to a specific order in $g_{s}$ and $\phi$, terms in $S[\phi]$ beyond some finite order are not relevant. $S[\phi]$ provides the Feynman rules we can use to systematically compute physical quantities order by order.
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- Several interesting things: rigorous string perturbation theory around $\mathcal{T}_{0}$, D-instanton perturbation theory, mass renormalization, discovering new 2d CFT boudary states, tachyons, open-closed duality, .... $\Rightarrow$ see Ted Erler and Xi Yin's review talk.
- This talk: study string backgrounds!
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- EOM: $\delta S[\phi]=0 \Rightarrow$ solution: $\phi_{*}$
- Just as solutions to the Einstein equation describe GR backgrounds around which we can study the physics, $\phi_{*}$ represents a string background. Due to the limitation of the current formulation of SFT ( $\infty$-many vertices), $\phi_{*}$ can at best be obtained as some expansion around the original background $\mathcal{T}_{0}$ (may converge though).
- Within this limiation, there are still interesting backgrounds we can study, such as $A d S_{5}$ with its inverse radius as the expansion parameter around the flat background.
- $S_{*}[\varphi]:=S\left[\phi=\phi_{*}+\varphi\right]$ is the action expanded around the solution $\phi_{*}$. Its linearized EOM gives free string spectrum of $\phi_{*}$, and its Feynman rules can be used to obtain stringy observables of $\phi_{*}$.
- $S_{*}[\varphi]$ provides a spacetime description of strings in the background $\phi_{*}$. It still computes 'stringy' physics.
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- Expand a general state $|\psi\rangle$, which is generically off-shell / not $Q_{B}$-closed, in a basis $\left|s_{i}\right\rangle:|\psi\rangle=\sum_{i} \phi_{i}\left|s_{i}\right\rangle$. $\phi_{i}$ are string fields.
- For superstrings, NS states should be in -1 picture while $R$ states are in $-\frac{3}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ pictures. GSO projections are also imposed. RR fields are also part of the string fields.
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- We expect the variation of the kinetic term of $S[\phi]$ to produce the free EOM $Q_{B}|\psi\rangle=0$. This leads to $S_{k i n}[\phi]=\frac{1}{2 g_{s}^{g}}\langle\psi| c_{0}^{-} Q_{B}|\psi\rangle\left(c_{0}^{-}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{0}-\bar{c}_{0}\right)\right)$. In Siegel gauge, the corresponding propagator is given by $\sim \frac{b_{0}^{+}}{L_{0}^{+}},\left(b_{0}^{+}=b_{0}+\bar{b}_{0}\right.$, $\left.L_{0}^{+}=L_{0}+\bar{L}_{0}\right)$.
- The vertices of $S[\phi]$ are obtained in a way similar to the usual amplitude computation $\mathcal{A}^{g, n}=\int d \mathcal{M}_{g, n}\left\langle\psi^{\otimes n}\right\rangle_{\Sigma_{g, n}}^{C F T}$. The key differences are:
- We are integrating over only a part of the moduli space called the vertex region. This is such that the Feynman diagrams built by propagators and vertices cover the moduli space exactly once.
- One needs to specify the local charts around the punctures since $\psi$ is off-shell.
- It was shown (Hata, Zwiebach 93, Sen 14-15) that different choices of local charts are related via field redefinitions and thus does not affect the on-shell quantities.
- For superstrings, PCO locations also enter the definition of the vertices.
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Different $r$ 's correspond to different cubic vertices.
- $\frac{1}{3!}\left\{\left\{\psi^{\otimes 3}\right\}\right\}=\frac{1}{3!}\left\langle\psi^{\otimes 3}\right\rangle_{r}=\frac{1}{3!} \sum_{i, j, k} \mathcal{U}_{i j k}(r) \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \phi_{k} \subset g_{s}^{2} S[\phi]$.
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- Can use propagator and two cubic vertices to draw 4pt Feynman diagram with a propagator. For the Riemann surfaces, we have a plumbing construction of the four-punctured sphere via $w_{0} \tilde{w}_{0}=q:=e^{-s-i \theta}$ with $0 \leq s, 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi$.
- This produces two real parameter family of four-punctured sphere, equipped with local charts around four punctures induced from the cubic vertex.
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- Feynman diagrams with a propagator generically cannot cover the full moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,4}$. We cover the missing parts with the 4 pt vertex.
- 4pt vertex is defined by a choice of local charts around each punctures over the blue region of $\mathcal{M}_{0,4}$.
- Any local chart choices are fine, as long as there are no 'boundaries.' This guarantees that null-states decouple from the on-shell amplitudes and thus is intimately related to the gauge invariance.
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- $\frac{1}{4!}\left\{\left\{\psi^{\otimes 4}\right\}\right\}=\frac{1}{4!} \int d \mathcal{M}_{0,4}^{\text {vert }}\left\langle\psi^{\otimes 4}\right\rangle_{\text {vert }}=\frac{1}{4!} \sum_{i, j, k, l} \mathcal{U}_{i j k l} \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \phi_{k} \phi_{l} \subset g_{s}^{2} S[\phi]$.
- Can repeat the exercise of plumbing, filling in the missing pieces by vertices, etc. to higher points/genus. No-boundary condition to all orders is called geometric master equation whose solutions are known (e.g. MC 19). It implies that $S_{Q}[\phi]$ thus obtained solves the quantum BV master equation (a technical name for $S_{Q}[\phi]$ being consistent in the sense of gauge invariance).
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- Collect 1PI diagrams and obtain 1PI effective action
$S[\phi]=\frac{1}{g_{s}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle\psi| c_{0}^{-} Q_{B}|\psi\rangle+\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!}\left\{\psi^{\otimes n}\right\}\right)$.
- EOM: $\delta S[\phi]=0 \Rightarrow Q_{B}|\psi\rangle+\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!}\left|\left[\psi^{\otimes n}\right]\right\rangle=0$, where $\left\langle\psi_{1}\right| c_{0}^{-}\left|\left[\psi_{2} \ldots \psi_{n}\right]\right\rangle=\left\{\psi_{1} \ldots \psi_{n}\right\}$.
- To find a solution in some perturbative expansion, take the ansatz $|\psi\rangle=\left|\phi_{*}\right\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^{k}\left|U_{k}\right\rangle$, where $\mu$ is the expansion parameter. Plug into EOM and solve order by order in $\mu$.
- For classical (sphere) solutions, we have $\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{1}\right): Q_{B} U_{1}=0$ $\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{2}\right): Q_{B} U_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]=0$ where $\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]$ is variation of the sphere 3 pt vertex involving two $U_{1}$ 's. It roughly corresponds to the OPE of two $U_{1}$ 's, but evaluated in some special local charts.
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- $U_{2}=w_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{b_{0}^{+}}{L_{0}^{+}}\left(1-P_{0}\right)\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]$, where the 'massless' part $w_{2}$ satisfies $P_{0} w_{2}=w_{2}$ and $Q_{B} w_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} P_{0}\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]$.
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- How do we solve $Q_{B} U_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]=0$ ?
- Split the equation into 'massless' and 'massive' sectors. Introduce $P_{0}$, which projects to the $L_{0}^{+}$-nilpotent sector. $\left(1-P_{0}\right)$-projected space is where $Q_{B}$ can be inverted. Choose Siegel gauge condition for ( $1-P_{0}$ )-projected space.
- $U_{2}=w_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{b_{0}^{+}}{L_{0}^{+}}\left(1-P_{0}\right)\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]$, where the 'massless' part $w_{2}$ satisfies $P_{0} w_{2}=w_{2}$ and $Q_{B} w_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} P_{0}\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]$.
- If $P_{0}\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]$ is NOT $Q_{B}$-exact, it means that the EOM cannot be solved. This corresponds to the possible existence of massless tadpoles.


## Linearized EOM for the spectrum

## Linearized EOM for the spectrum

- $S_{*}[\varphi]:=S\left[\phi_{*}+\varphi\right]$ is the action describing the physics around the vacuum $\phi_{*}$ (equivalently $\left|\psi_{*}\right\rangle$ ). Its terms are organized order by order in $\mu$.


## Linearized EOM for the spectrum

- $S_{*}[\varphi]:=S\left[\phi_{*}+\varphi\right]$ is the action describing the physics around the vacuum $\phi_{*}$ (equivalently $\left|\psi_{*}\right\rangle$ ). Its terms are organized order by order in $\mu$.
- By varying the quadratic term of $S_{*}[\varphi]$, we obtain the linearized EOM

$$
\left(Q_{B}+K\right)|\varphi\rangle=: \hat{Q}_{B}|\varphi\rangle=0, \text { where } K|A\rangle=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left|\left[\phi_{*}^{\otimes n} A\right]\right\rangle .
$$
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- $S_{*}[\varphi]:=S\left[\phi_{*}+\varphi\right]$ is the action describing the physics around the vacuum $\phi_{*}$ (equivalently $\left|\psi_{*}\right\rangle$ ). Its terms are organized order by order in $\mu$.
- By varying the quadratic term of $S_{*}[\varphi]$, we obtain the linearized EOM

$$
\left(Q_{B}+K\right)|\varphi\rangle=: \hat{Q}_{B}|\varphi\rangle=0, \text { where } K|A\rangle=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left|\left[\phi_{*}^{\otimes n} A\right]\right\rangle .
$$

- Using that $\phi_{*}$ is a background solution, it is straightward to show that $\hat{Q}_{B}^{2}=0$. Therefore, the free string spectrum of the background $\phi_{*}$ is given by $\hat{Q}_{B}$-cohomology.


## Linearized EOM for the spectrum

- $S_{*}[\varphi]:=S\left[\phi_{*}+\varphi\right]$ is the action describing the physics around the vacuum $\phi_{*}$ (equivalently $\left|\psi_{*}\right\rangle$ ). Its terms are organized order by order in $\mu$.
- By varying the quadratic term of $S_{*}[\varphi]$, we obtain the linearized EOM

$$
\left(Q_{B}+K\right)|\varphi\rangle=: \hat{Q}_{B}|\varphi\rangle=0, \text { where } K|A\rangle=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left|\left[\phi_{*}^{\otimes n} A\right]\right\rangle
$$

- Using that $\phi_{*}$ is a background solution, it is straightward to show that $\hat{Q}_{B}^{2}=0$. Therefore, the free string spectrum of the background $\phi_{*}$ is given by $\hat{Q}_{B}$-cohomology.
- One can solve for the spectrum order by order in $\mu$.


## Example: $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ (unpublished, w/ Agmon, Collier, Yin)

## Example: $\operatorname{AdS}_{5} \times S^{5}$ (unpublished, w/ Agmon, Collier, Yin)

- Reverse-engineer the statement that $\operatorname{AdS}$ at large radius is flat. We start with IIB on $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$, and find background solution for AdS order by order in $\mu=R^{-1}$.
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- Reverse-engineer the statement that $\operatorname{AdS}$ at large radius is flat. We start with IIB on $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$, and find background solution for $A d S$ order by order in $\mu=R^{-1}$.
- At order $\mu$, we turn on $F_{5}$. EOM was $Q_{B} U_{1}=0$. We take $U_{1}=N_{R}\left(\gamma^{01234}\right)_{\alpha \beta} c \bar{c} e^{-\phi / 2} S^{\alpha} e^{-\bar{\phi} / 2} \bar{S}^{\beta}$ for some normalization $N_{R}$.


## Example: $\operatorname{AdS}_{5} \times S^{5}$ (unpublished, w/ Agmon, Collier, Yin)

- Reverse-engineer the statement that $\operatorname{AdS}$ at large radius is flat. We start with IIB on $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$, and find background solution for $A d S$ order by order in $\mu=R^{-1}$.
- At order $\mu$, we turn on $F_{5}$. EOM was $Q_{B} U_{1}=0$. We take $U_{1}=N_{R}\left(\gamma^{01234}\right)_{\alpha \beta} c \bar{c} e^{-\phi / 2} S^{\alpha} e^{-\bar{\phi} / 2} \bar{S}^{\beta}$ for some normalization $N_{R}$.
- At order $\mu^{2}$, the EOM reads
$\left(R_{\mu \bar{\mu}}=\left(\eta_{a b},-\delta_{i j}\right)_{\mu \bar{\mu}}, \mu=0,1, \ldots, 9, a=0, \ldots, 4, i=5, \ldots, 9\right)$
$Q_{B} w_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} P_{0}\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]=32 \pi N_{R}^{2} C_{0}^{+} R_{\mu \bar{\mu}} c \bar{c} e^{-\phi} \psi^{\mu} e^{-\bar{\phi}} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{\mu}}$.


## Example: $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ (unpublished, w/ Agmon, Collier, Yin)

- Reverse-engineer the statement that $\operatorname{AdS}$ at large radius is flat. We start with IIB on $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$, and find background solution for $\operatorname{AdS}$ order by order in $\mu=R^{-1}$.
- At order $\mu$, we turn on $F_{5}$. EOM was $Q_{B} U_{1}=0$. We take $U_{1}=N_{R}\left(\gamma^{01234}\right)_{\alpha \beta} c \bar{c} e^{-\phi / 2} S^{\alpha} e^{-\bar{\phi} / 2} \bar{S}^{\beta}$ for some normalization $N_{R}$.
- At order $\mu^{2}$, the EOM reads
$\left(R_{\mu \bar{\mu}}=\left(\eta_{a b},-\delta_{i j}\right)_{\mu \bar{\mu}}, \mu=0,1, \ldots, 9, a=0, \ldots, 4, i=5, \ldots, 9\right)$
$Q_{B} w_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} P_{0}\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]=32 \pi N_{R}^{2} C_{0}^{+} R_{\mu \bar{\mu}} c \bar{c} e^{-\phi} \psi^{\mu} e^{-\bar{\phi}} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{\mu}}$.
- The solution can be obtained straightforwardly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{2}=N_{N S} C \bar{c}\left(G_{2 \mu \bar{\mu}} e^{-\phi} \psi^{\mu} e^{-\bar{\phi}} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{\mu}}-3 G_{2 \nu}^{\nu}\left(\eta \bar{\partial} \bar{\xi} e^{-2 \bar{\phi}}-\partial \xi e^{-2 \phi} \bar{\eta}\right)\right), \\
& G_{2 \mu \bar{\mu}}(X)=\delta_{\mu}^{a} \delta_{\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{a}} X_{a} X_{\bar{a}}-\delta_{\mu}^{i} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{i}} X_{i} X_{\bar{i}}, \quad N_{N S}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi}, \quad N_{R}=\frac{1}{8 \pi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: $\operatorname{AdS}_{5} \times S^{5}$ (unpublished, w/ Agmon, Collier, Yin)

- Reverse-engineer the statement that $\operatorname{AdS}$ at large radius is flat. We start with IIB on $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$, and find background solution for $A d S$ order by order in $\mu=R^{-1}$.
- At order $\mu$, we turn on $F_{5}$. EOM was $Q_{B} U_{1}=0$. We take $U_{1}=N_{R}\left(\gamma^{01234}\right)_{\alpha \beta} c \bar{c} e^{-\phi / 2} S^{\alpha} e^{-\bar{\phi} / 2} \bar{S}^{\beta}$ for some normalization $N_{R}$.
- At order $\mu^{2}$, the EOM reads
$\left(R_{\mu \bar{\mu}}=\left(\eta_{a b},-\delta_{i j}\right)_{\mu \bar{\mu}}, \mu=0,1, \ldots, 9, a=0, \ldots, 4, i=5, \ldots, 9\right)$
$Q_{B} w_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} P_{0}\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]=32 \pi N_{R}^{2} c_{0}^{+} R_{\mu \bar{\mu}} c \bar{c} e^{-\phi} \psi^{\mu} e^{-\bar{\phi}} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{\mu}}$.
- The solution can be obtained straightforwardly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{2}=N_{N S} c \bar{c}\left(G_{2 \mu \bar{\mu}} e^{-\phi} \psi^{\mu} e^{-\bar{\phi}} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{\mu}}-3 G_{2 \nu}^{\nu}\left(\eta \bar{\partial} \bar{\xi} e^{-2 \bar{\phi}}-\partial \xi e^{-2 \phi} \bar{\eta}\right)\right), \\
& G_{2 \mu \bar{\mu}}(X)=\delta_{\mu}^{a} \delta_{\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{a}} X_{a} X_{\bar{a}}-\delta_{\mu}^{i} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{i}} X_{i} X_{\bar{i}}, \quad N_{N S}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi}, \quad N_{R}=\frac{1}{8 \pi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $G_{2 \mu \bar{\mu}}(X)$ is just the $\mathcal{O}\left(R^{-2}\right)$ part of the $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ metric expanded in $R^{-1}$.


## Example: $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$

- We can also solve for the spectrum using the linearized EOM e.g. axion field $P_{0} \varphi=f_{\alpha \beta} c \bar{c} e^{-\phi / 2} S^{\alpha} e^{-\bar{\phi} / 2} \bar{S}^{\beta}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{3}\right)$, where $f=f_{0}+\mu f_{1}+\mu^{2} f_{2}+\ldots=\gamma^{\mu} f_{\mu}^{(1)}$ is the 1-form field strength.


## Example: $\operatorname{AdS}_{5} \times S^{5}$

- We can also solve for the spectrum using the linearized EOM e.g. axion field $P_{0} \varphi=f_{\alpha \beta} c \bar{c} e^{-\phi / 2} S^{\alpha} e^{-\bar{\phi} / 2} \bar{S}^{\beta}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{3}\right)$, where $f=f_{0}+\mu f_{1}+\mu^{2} f_{2}+\ldots=\gamma^{\mu} f_{\mu}^{(1)}$ is the 1-form field strength.
- Solution is given by: $f_{0 \mu}=\partial_{\mu} c_{0}$ with $\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} c_{0}=0, \quad f_{1}=0, \quad f_{2 \mu}=\partial_{\mu} c_{2}+k_{\mu}(X)$, where $k_{\mu}(X)$ is determined by $c_{0}$, and $c_{2}$ satisfies

$$
\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} c_{2}+\left(X^{a} X^{b} \partial_{a} \partial_{b}+5 X^{a} \partial_{a}-X^{i} X^{j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}-5 X^{i} \partial_{i}\right) c_{0}=0 .
$$

This equation is nothing but $\nabla_{A d S_{5} \times S_{5}}^{2} c=0$ expanded to order $R^{-2}$.

Example: $\operatorname{AdS}_{3} \times S^{3} \times T^{4}(1811.00032 \mathrm{w} /$ Collier, Yin $)$

Example: $\operatorname{AdS}_{3} \times S^{3} \times T_{(1811.00032}$ w/ Collier, Yin $)$

- IIB supergravity background:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d s^{2}=R^{2}\left(d s_{A d S_{3}}^{2}+d s_{S^{3}}^{2}\right)+d s_{T^{4}}^{2} \\
& H_{3}=2 q R^{2}\left(w_{A d S_{3}}+w_{S^{3}}\right), \quad F_{3}=2 \sqrt{1-q^{2}} R^{2}\left(w_{A d S_{3}}+w_{S^{3}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q=1-\frac{\mu^{2}}{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{3}\right), q R^{2}=\alpha^{\prime} k, k \in \mathbb{N}$.
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- IIB supergravity background:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d s^{2}=R^{2}\left(d s_{A d S_{3}}^{2}+d S_{S^{3}}^{2}\right)+d s_{T^{4}}^{2} \\
& H_{3}=2 q R^{2}\left(w_{A d S_{3}}+w_{S^{3}}\right), \quad F_{3}=2 \sqrt{1-q^{2}} R^{2}\left(w_{A d S_{3}}+w_{S^{3}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q=1-\frac{\mu^{2}}{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{3}\right), q R^{2}=\alpha^{\prime} k, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $q=1$ : pure NSNS background with exact worldsheet CFT $S L(2, \mathbb{R})_{k+2} \oplus S U(2)_{k-2} \oplus U(1)^{4} \oplus 10$ free fermions (Maldacena, Ooguri $00-01)$. We take this background as $\mathcal{T}_{0}$.


## Example: $\operatorname{AdS}_{3} \times S^{3} \times T_{(1811.00032}$ w/ Collier, Yin $)$

- IIB supergravity background:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d s^{2}=R^{2}\left(d s_{A d S_{3}}^{2}+d S_{S^{3}}^{2}\right)+d s_{T^{4}}^{2} \\
& H_{3}=2 q R^{2}\left(w_{A d S_{3}}+w_{S^{3}}\right), \quad F_{3}=2 \sqrt{1-q^{2}} R^{2}\left(w_{A d S_{3}}+w_{S^{3}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q=1-\frac{\mu^{2}}{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{3}\right), q R^{2}=\alpha^{\prime} k, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $q=1$ : pure NSNS background with exact worldsheet CFT $S L(2, \mathbb{R})_{k+2} \oplus S U(2)_{k-2} \oplus U(1)^{4} \oplus 10$ free fermions (Maldacena, Ooguri $00-01)$. We take this background as $\mathcal{T}_{0}$.
- We study the mixed flux solution. Turn on $F_{3}$ profile at the leading order: $U_{1} \sim c \bar{c} e^{-\phi / 2} S_{+}^{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} \Theta_{+} e^{-\bar{\phi} / 2} \tilde{S}_{+}^{\beta \beta^{\prime}} \tilde{\Theta}_{+}\left(V_{j=-\frac{1}{2}}^{s l}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left(V_{j^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}}^{s u}\right)_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}$.


## Example: $\operatorname{AdS}_{3} \times S^{3} \times T_{(1811.00032}$ w/ Collier, Yin $)$

- IIB supergravity background:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d s^{2}=R^{2}\left(d s_{A d S_{3}}^{2}+d s_{S^{3}}^{2}\right)+d s_{T^{4}}^{2} \\
& H_{3}=2 q R^{2}\left(w_{A d S_{3}}+w_{S^{3}}\right), \quad F_{3}=2 \sqrt{1-q^{2}} R^{2}\left(w_{A d S_{3}}+w_{S^{3}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q=1-\frac{\mu^{2}}{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{3}\right), q R^{2}=\alpha^{\prime} k, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $q=1$ : pure NSNS background with exact worldsheet CFT $S L(2, \mathbb{R})_{k+2} \oplus S U(2)_{k-2} \oplus U(1)^{4} \oplus 10$ free fermions (Maldacena, Ooguri $00-01)$. We take this background as $\mathcal{T}_{0}$.
- We study the mixed flux solution. Turn on $F_{3}$ profile at the leading order: $U_{1} \sim c \bar{c} e^{-\phi / 2} S_{+}^{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} \Theta_{+} e^{-\bar{\phi} / 2} \tilde{S}_{+}^{\beta \beta^{\prime}} \tilde{\Theta}_{+}\left(V_{j=-\frac{1}{2}}^{s l}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left(V_{j^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}}^{s u}\right)_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}$,
- At order $\mu^{2}$, we have $U_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{b_{0}^{+}}{L_{0}^{+}}\left(1-P_{0}\right)\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]$.


## Spectrum of pulsating strings

- Study spectrum of pulsating strings in mixed flux. At the pure NSNS point, the corresponding vertex operator is

$$
\varphi_{0}=c \tilde{c} e^{-\phi-\tilde{\phi}} V_{j_{0, j}, n}, n, \quad V_{j_{0}, j^{\prime}, n} \sim \psi^{-} \tilde{\psi}^{-}\left(J_{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\tilde{J}_{-1}\right)^{n} V_{j_{0}, j_{0}, j_{0}}^{s l} V_{j^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}^{s u} V_{T^{4}} .
$$

$j_{0}$ is $S L(2)$ quantum number for discrete representations, while $j^{\prime}$ is $S U(2)$ quantum number which is a nonnegative half integer.

## Spectrum of pulsating strings

- Study spectrum of pulsating strings in mixed flux. At the pure NSNS point, the corresponding vertex operator is

$$
\varphi_{0}=c \tilde{c} e^{-\phi-\tilde{\phi}} V_{j_{0}, j^{\prime}, n}, \quad V_{j_{0}, j^{\prime}, n} \sim \psi^{-} \tilde{\psi}^{-}\left(J_{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\tilde{J} \tilde{J}_{-1}\right)^{n} V_{j_{0}, j_{0}, j_{0}}^{s l} V_{j^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}^{s u} V_{T^{4}} .
$$

$j_{0}$ is $S L(2)$ quantum number for discrete representations, while $j^{\prime}$ is $S U(2)$ quantum number which is a nonnegative half integer.

- On-shell condition $Q_{B} \varphi_{0}=0$ leads to $-\frac{j_{0}\left(j_{0}-1\right)}{k}+n+\frac{j^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}+1\right)}{k}+h_{T^{4}}=0$. We study how this dispersion relation gets deformed as $F_{3}$ is turned on. Since $j^{\prime}$ and $n$ are discrete labels, only $j_{0}$ will change: $j=j_{0}+\delta j$. This corresponds to change in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ mass/energy.


## Spectrum of pulsating strings

- Study spectrum of pulsating strings in mixed flux. At the pure NSNS point, the corresponding vertex operator is

$$
\varphi_{0}=c \tilde{c} e^{-\phi-\tilde{\phi}} V_{j_{0}, j^{\prime}, n}, \quad V_{j_{0}, j^{\prime}, n} \sim \psi^{-} \tilde{\psi}^{-}\left(J_{-1}\right)^{n}\left(\tilde{J}_{-1}\right)^{n} V_{j_{0}, j_{0}, j_{0}}^{s l} V_{j^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}^{s^{\prime}} V_{T^{4}} .
$$

$j_{0}$ is $S L(2)$ quantum number for discrete representations, while $j^{\prime}$ is $S U(2)$ quantum number which is a nonnegative half integer.

- On-shell condition $Q_{B} \varphi_{0}=0$ leads to $-\frac{j_{0}\left(j_{0}-1\right)}{k}+n+\frac{j^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}+1\right)}{k}+h_{T^{4}}=0$. We study how this dispersion relation gets deformed as $F_{3}$ is turned on. Since $j^{\prime}$ and $n$ are discrete labels, only $j_{0}$ will change: $j=j_{0}+\delta j$. This corresponds to change in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ mass/energy.
- At order $\mu$, due to $P_{0}\left[U_{1} \varphi_{0}\right]=0$, we take the same solution as the zeroth order solution.


## Spectrum of pulsating strings (non-BPS)

- To order $\mu^{2}$, we take the solution of the form

$$
\varphi_{2}=c \tilde{c} \tilde{c}^{-\phi-\tilde{\phi}} V_{j_{0}+\mu^{2} j_{2}, j^{\prime}, n}+\text { (ghosts, descendants). }
$$

Plugging this into the linearized EOM, we obtain

$$
\frac{2 j_{2}\left(2 j_{0}-1\right)}{k}=\mathcal{A}\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{0}, U_{1}, U_{1}\right)
$$

where the RHS is the usual on-shell 4 pt amplitude.

## Spectrum of pulsating strings (non-BPS)

- To order $\mu^{2}$, we take the solution of the form

$$
\varphi_{2}=c \tilde{c} e^{-\phi-\tilde{\phi}} V_{j_{0}+\mu^{2} j_{2}, j^{\prime}, n}+\text { (ghosts, descendants) }
$$

Plugging this into the linearized EOM, we obtain

$$
\frac{2 j_{2}\left(2 j_{0}-1\right)}{k}=\mathcal{A}\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{0}, U_{1}, U_{1}\right),
$$

where the RHS is the usual on-shell 4 pt amplitude.

- Sample results for $\delta h=-\frac{\alpha^{\prime} \delta m^{2}}{4}=\frac{\mu^{2} j_{2}\left(2 j_{j}-1\right)}{k}$ with $n=1, h_{T^{4}}=0$ :

|  | $j^{\prime}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4.51353 | 7.7253 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 2.61214 | 3.18173 | 5.03926 | 38.0435 |  |
| 8 | 1.97318 | 2.21068 | 2.76008 | 4.25035 | 15.9923 |

## Example: Flux compactification (2311.04959 + W.I.P, w/ Kim)

## Example: Flux compactification (2311.04959 + W.I.P, w/ Kim)

- GKP (Giddings, Kachru, Polchinski 01): use fluxes, D-branes, and O-planes to obtain IIB supergravity backgrounds with less SUSY and moduli stabilization.
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- GKP (Giddings, Kachru, Polchinski 01): use fluxes, D-branes, and O-planes to obtain IIB supergravity backgrounds with less SUSY and moduli stabilization.
- Due to RR fluxes, stringy observables in GKP backgrounds have been considered very tough to study e.g. stringy corrections to Kahler potential.


## Example: Flux compactification (2311.04959 + W.I.P, w/ Kim)

- GKP (Giddings, Kachru, Polchinski 01): use fluxes, D-branes, and O-planes to obtain IIB supergravity backgrounds with less SUSY and moduli stabilization.
- Due to RR fluxes, stringy observables in GKP backgrounds have been considered very tough to study e.g. stringy corrections to Kahler potential.
- Maybe SFT can help? The relevant open-closed-unoriented super-SFT has recently been constructed (Moosavian, Sen, Verma 19).


## Example: Flux compactification

- Consider IIB flux compactification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d s^{2}=G_{A B} d X^{A} d X^{B}=e^{2 A(y)} d x_{\mu} d x^{\mu}+e^{-2 A(y)} g_{i j}(y) d y^{i} d y^{j}, \\
& \tilde{F}_{5}=\left(1+*_{10}\right) d \alpha(y) d x^{0} d x^{1} d x^{2} d x^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu=0, \ldots, 3$ are non-compact flat directions while $i=1, \ldots, 6$ are along the compact CY 3 -fold $\mathcal{X}$. Consider the orientifold $\mathcal{X} / \mathcal{I}$ with $\mathcal{I}^{2}=1$.
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- Consider IIB flux compactification:
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\begin{aligned}
& d s^{2}=G_{A B} d X^{A} d X^{B}=e^{2 A(y)} d x_{\mu} d x^{\mu}+e^{-2 A(y)} g_{i j}(y) d y^{i} d y^{j} \\
& \tilde{F}_{5}=\left(1+*_{10}\right) d \alpha(y) d x^{0} d x^{1} d x^{2} d x^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu=0, \ldots, 3$ are non-compact flat directions while $i=1, \ldots, 6$ are along the compact CY 3 -fold $\mathcal{X}$. Consider the orientifold $\mathcal{X} / \mathcal{I}$ with $\mathcal{I}^{2}=1$.

- $H_{3}$ and $F_{3}$ fluxes only have $i, j$ components, and D3-branes and O3-planes are spacetime-filling along $x^{\mu}$ (no D7/O7 for simplicity).


## Example: Flux compactification

- Consider IIB flux compactification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d s^{2}=G_{A B} d X^{A} d X^{B}=e^{2 A(y)} d x_{\mu} d x^{\mu}+e^{-2 A(y)} g_{i j}(y) d y^{i} d y^{j} \\
& \tilde{F}_{5}=\left(1+*_{10}\right) d \alpha(y) d x^{0} d x^{1} d x^{2} d x^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu=0, \ldots, 3$ are non-compact flat directions while $i=1, \ldots, 6$ are along the compact CY 3 -fold $\mathcal{X}$. Consider the orientifold $\mathcal{X} / \mathcal{I}$ with $\mathcal{I}^{2}=1$.

- $H_{3}$ and $F_{3}$ fluxes only have $i, j$ components, and D3-branes and O3-planes are spacetime-filling along $x^{\mu}$ (no D7/O7 for simplicity).
- Plug the ansatz into IIB supergravity EOM:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ISD: } *_{6} G_{3}=i G_{3}, \quad G_{3}=F_{3}-\tau H_{3}, \\
& \text { Bianchi : } d H_{3}=d F_{3}=0, d \tilde{F}_{5}=H_{3} \wedge F_{3}+2 \mu_{3} \kappa_{10}^{2} \rho_{D 3}^{l o c} d V o l_{\mathcal{X} / \mathcal{I}}, \\
& \text { where } \rho_{D 3}^{\text {oc }}=\sum_{y_{D 3}} \delta^{(6)}\left(y-y_{D 3}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{y_{O 3}} \delta^{(6)}\left(y-y_{O 3}\right), \\
& \text { and } e^{4 A(y)}=\alpha(y) \Rightarrow \nabla^{2}\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{31 \mathrm{~m} \tau}\left|G_{3}\right|^{2}+\mu_{3} \kappa_{10}^{2} \rho_{D 3}^{\text {Ioc. } .}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Flux compactification

- For $\mathcal{O}(1)$ quantized fluxes, ISD condition requires $g_{i j}(y)$ to be correlated with $g_{s}$. This may be achieved by having special choices of 3 -form fluxes and large complex structure moduli ( $\sim g_{s}^{-1}$ ) (Demirtas, Kim, McAllister, Moritz 19), where perturbative $4 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=1$ SUSY is preserved simultaneously.


## Example: Flux compactification

- For $\mathcal{O}(1)$ quantized fluxes, ISD condition requires $g_{i j}(y)$ to be correlated with $g_{s}$. This may be achieved by having special choices of 3-form fluxes and large complex structure moduli ( $\sim g_{s}^{-1}$ ) (Demirtas, Kim, McAllister, Moritz 19), where perturbative $4 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=1$ SUSY is preserved simultaneously.
- An explicit example of toroidal orientifold $T^{6} / \mathcal{I}$ (Cicoli, Licheri, Mahanta, Maharana 22):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{6}: Z^{i} \sim Z^{i}+1 \sim Z^{i}+u_{i}, \quad Z^{i}=y^{2 i-1}+u_{i} y^{2 i}, \quad i=1,2,3, \\
& \mathcal{I}:\left(Z^{1}, Z^{2}, Z^{3}\right) \rightarrow-\left(Z^{1}, Z^{2}, Z^{3}\right) \Rightarrow 64 \mathrm{O}, \\
& \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2} \alpha^{\prime}} F_{3}=4 d y^{2} d y^{3} d y^{5}-2 d y^{1} d y^{4} d y^{5}-2 d y^{1} d y^{3} d y^{6}, \\
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\end{aligned}
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- At string tree-level, $4 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=1$ superpotential is given by $W_{\text {tree }}=\int_{\mathcal{X} / \mathcal{I}} G_{3} \wedge \Omega$ (Gukov, Vafa, Witten 99). Non-renormalization theorem states that this is perturbatively exact in $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $g_{s}: W_{\text {pert }}=W_{\text {tree }}$ (Burgess, Escoda, Quevedo 05).
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In particular, $W_{\text {pert }}=0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}=1$ SUSY perturbatively preserved.
Integrated Bianchi identity $\Rightarrow$ number of spacetime-filling D3 $=4$.
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- Complex structure moduli $u_{i}$ are inversely proportional to the string coupling $g_{s}$. Therefore, string perturbation theory should treat $u_{i}^{-1}$ on the same footing as $g_{s}$.


## Example: Flux compactification

- How do we perform the string perturbation theory / SFT for this case? We start with open-closed-unoriented IIB on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times T^{6} / \mathcal{I}$ with 4 D 3 and 64 O 3 as $\mathcal{T}_{0}$.
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- We can take these $H_{3}$ and $F_{3}$ as the leading order $\left(g_{s}^{1 / 2}\right)$ perturbative solution of SFT, and subsequently build higher order solutions
$\Rightarrow \underline{\text { SFT solution in } \mu=g_{s}^{1 / 2} \text { expansion. }}$
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- $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$ solution:
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- $\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{2}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(g_{s}\right): D^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$ boundary states also contribute: $Q_{B} U_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[U_{1}^{\otimes 2}\right]_{S^{2}}+[]_{D^{2}+\mathbb{R}^{P^{2}}}=0$. The solution is given by:
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- If we started with some generic choice of $u_{i} \sim g_{s}^{-1}$, quantized $H_{3}$ and $F_{3}$, then the requirement that RHS of $Q_{B} P_{0} U_{2}=\ldots$ is $Q_{B}$-exact leads to the integrated Bianchi identity and ISD conditions that GKP had in IIB supergravity analysis.
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- If we started with some generic choice of $u_{i} \sim g_{s}^{-1}$, quantized $H_{3}$ and $F_{3}$, then the requirement that RHS of $Q_{B} P_{0} U_{2}=\ldots$ is $Q_{B}$-exact leads to the integrated Bianchi identity and ISD conditions that GKP had in IIB supergravity analysis.
- Linearized EOM at ghost number one in (R,NS)/(NS,R) sector leads to the expected Killing spinor equations, where nontrivial spinor solutions exist only if $G_{3}$ is $(2,1)$-form so that $W_{\text {pert }}$ vanishes.
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- SFT can also accomodate nonperturbative D-instanton contributions using the recently developed D-instanton perturbation theory. In GKP, whether there are CY3's with large/small complex structure moduli still allowing for suppressed (non-BPS) D-instanton contributions is an important question that should be investigated more thoroughly.
- In principle, SFT knows how to deal with time-dependent backgrounds. An example which was studied intensively in the past is the open string rolling tachyon (Sen 02). Can we address closed string cosmology (Rodriguez 23)?
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