Entanglement dynamics from universal low-lying modes

Shreya Vardhan

Stanford University

Strings, CERN June 6th, 2024

work in progress with Sanjay Moudgalya and Tibor Rakovszky

Entanglement entropy and thermalization

- In any strongly chaotic time-evolution, we expect that the interactions lead to growth of correlations between different parts of the system.
- Such correlations are conventionally probed with two-point functions of few-body operators.

Entanglement entropy and thermalization

- In any strongly chaotic time-evolution, we expect that the interactions lead to growth of correlations between different parts of the system.
- Such correlations are conventionally probed with two-point functions of few-body operators.
- A more fine-grained probe of the growth of correlations is the entanglement entropy of a subsystem.

Entanglement entropy and thermalization

- In any strongly chaotic time-evolution, we expect that the interactions lead to growth of correlations between different parts of the system.
- Such correlations are conventionally probed with two-point functions of few-body operators.
- A more fine-grained probe of the growth of correlations is the entanglement entropy of a subsystem.
- We expect that the strong interactions in chaotic systems cause them to thermalize: any initial state starts to resemble a thermal state at sufficiently late times.
- This leads to the universal expectation that irrespective of the initial state, entanglement entropy saturates to an extensive value at late times.

Universality in approach to equilibrium

- Evolution of entanglement entropy in generic chaotic time-evolutions is very difficult to study analytically.
- But the few analytically tractable examples we can study suggest surprising universality.
- In both random circuits and holographic CFTs, the evolution of entanglement entropy at late times can be expressed in terms of a membrane formula.
- Conjectured to hold universally in Jonay, Huse, Nahum.

Membrane picture for entanglement growth

- In one spatial dimension, suppose we want to find the entanglement entropy of the left half-line at time *t*.
- Extend the system in time direction from $\tau = 0$ to $\tau = t$, and consider all possible curves:



• We can integrate a function $\mathcal{E}(v)$ along the curve, and minimize over all possible curves.

• While this formula turns out to hold in both random circuits and holographic CFTs, the methods used in the two cases are very different.

- While this formula turns out to hold in both random circuits and holographic CFTs, the methods used in the two cases are very different.
- In random circuits, Haar averages for *n*-th Renyi entropy.

- While this formula turns out to hold in both random circuits and holographic CFTs, the methods used in the two cases are very different.
- In random circuits, Haar averages for *n*-th Renyi entropy.
- In holographic CFTs:

- While this formula turns out to hold in both random circuits and holographic CFTs, the methods used in the two cases are very different.
- In random circuits, Haar averages for *n*-th Renyi entropy.
- In holographic CFTs:
 - Thermalization in boundary is dual to gravitational collapse in bulk.

- While this formula turns out to hold in both random circuits and holographic CFTs, the methods used in the two cases are very different.
- In random circuits, Haar averages for *n*-th Renyi entropy.
- In holographic CFTs:
 - Thermalization in boundary is dual to gravitational collapse in bulk.
 - Liu and Suh, Hartman and Maldacena applied HRT formula Hubeny, Rangamani, Takayanagi to find the evolution of von Neumann entropy in this setup.

- While this formula turns out to hold in both random circuits and holographic CFTs, the methods used in the two cases are very different.
- In random circuits, Haar averages for *n*-th Renyi entropy.
- In holographic CFTs:
 - Thermalization in boundary is dual to gravitational collapse in bulk.
 - Liu and Suh, Hartman and Maldacena applied HRT formula Hubeny, Rangamani, Takayanagi to find the evolution of von Neumann entropy in this setup.
 - Mezei showed that for large system size and time, we can get rid of the radial direction in the bulk, and reduce the HRT formula to a minimization problem in the boundary.

- While this formula turns out to hold in both random circuits and holographic CFTs, the methods used in the two cases are very different.
- In random circuits, Haar averages for *n*-th Renyi entropy.
- In holographic CFTs:
 - Thermalization in boundary is dual to gravitational collapse in bulk.
 - Liu and Suh, Hartman and Maldacena applied HRT formula Hubeny, Rangamani, Takayanagi to find the evolution of von Neumann entropy in this setup.
 - Mezei showed that for large system size and time, we can get rid of the radial direction in the bulk, and reduce the HRT formula to a minimization problem in the boundary.
 - The resulting membrane tension satisfies non-trivial constraints from Jonay, Huse, Nahum.

• What is the physical meaning of the entanglement membrane, and the source of this universality?

- What is the physical meaning of the entanglement membrane, and the source of this universality?
- Heuristically, if there is a tensor network representation of the state, we may think of the membrane as a "minimal cut."

- What is the physical meaning of the entanglement membrane, and the source of this universality?
- Heuristically, if there is a tensor network representation of the state, we may think of the membrane as a "minimal cut."
- But we would like to have a more precise understanding of the following questions:

- What is the physical meaning of the entanglement membrane, and the source of this universality?
- Heuristically, if there is a tensor network representation of the state, we may think of the membrane as a "minimal cut."
- But we would like to have a more precise understanding of the following questions:
 - 1. What is the source of the velocity-dependent function $\mathcal{E}(v)$?

- What is the physical meaning of the entanglement membrane, and the source of this universality?
- Heuristically, if there is a tensor network representation of the state, we may think of the membrane as a "minimal cut."
- But we would like to have a more precise understanding of the following questions:
 - 1. What is the source of the velocity-dependent function $\mathcal{E}(v)$?
 - 2. Is there an underlying structure in terms of low-lying modes, which we could look for in a continuum theory such as a holographic CFT?

• In this talk, we will propose a possible common underlying structure, involving certain universal low-lying modes.

- In this talk, we will propose a possible common underlying structure, involving certain universal low-lying modes.
- We will make use of a family of time-dependent Hamiltonians:

$$H(t) = \sum_{lpha} J_{lpha}(t) H_{lpha},$$

where the H_{α} are local operators, and $J_{\alpha}(t)$ are random numbers, uncorrelated for different times and different α .

- In this talk, we will propose a possible common underlying structure, involving certain universal low-lying modes.
- We will make use of a family of time-dependent Hamiltonians:

$$H(t) = \sum_{lpha} J_{lpha}(t) H_{lpha},$$

where the H_{α} are local operators, and $J_{\alpha}(t)$ are random numbers, uncorrelated for different times and different α .

• Previously, such models have allowed a derivation of diffusion in two-point functions Moudgalya and Motrunich; Ogunnaike, Feldmeier, Lee.

• Observables of interest, such as the *n*-th Renyi entropy, can be written as a transition amplitudes under $(U \otimes U^*)^n$ in any system.

• Observables of interest, such as the *n*-th Renyi entropy, can be written as a transition amplitudes under $(U \otimes U^*)^n$ in any system.

$$e^{-(n-1)S_n^{(A)}} = \operatorname{Tr}_A \rho_A^n = \operatorname{Tr}_A \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} U \rho_0 U^{\dagger} \right)^n$$

• Observables of interest, such as the *n*-th Renyi entropy, can be written as a transition amplitudes under $(U \otimes U^*)^n$ in any system.

$$e^{-(n-1)S_n^{(A)}} = \operatorname{Tr}_A \rho_A^n = \operatorname{Tr}_A \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} U \rho_0 U^{\dagger} \right)^n$$



• Observables of interest, such as the *n*-th Renyi entropy, can be written as a transition amplitudes under $(U \otimes U^*)^n$ in any system.

$$e^{-(n-1)S_n^{(A)}} = \operatorname{Tr}_A \rho_A^n = \operatorname{Tr}_A \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} U \rho_0 U^{\dagger} \right)^n$$



• The key simplification in Brownian models is that the Lorentzian evolution on 2*n* copies can be replaced with a Euclidean evolution:

$$\overline{(U(t)\otimes U(t)^*)^{\otimes n}} = e^{-P_{2n}t}$$

• Let us derive the Euclidean evolution explicitly in the two-copy case (n = 1).

- Let us derive the Euclidean evolution explicitly in the two-copy case (n = 1).
- Divide time-evolution into small steps of size ϵ .

$$egin{aligned} & H(t) = \sum_{lpha} J_{lpha}(t) H_{lpha} \ & \overline{J_{lpha}(t)} = 0, \quad \overline{J_{lpha}(t) J_{lpha'}(t')} = rac{1}{2} rac{\delta_{lpha lpha'} \delta_{tt'}}{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

- Let us derive the Euclidean evolution explicitly in the two-copy case (n = 1).
- Divide time-evolution into small steps of size ϵ .

$$egin{aligned} & H(t) = \sum_lpha J_lpha(t) H_lpha \ \hline J_lpha(t) &= 0, \quad \overline{J_lpha(t) J_{lpha'}(t')} = rac{1}{2} rac{\delta_{lpha lpha'} \delta_{tt'}}{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

• Then we have:

$$e^{iH_a(t)\epsilon}\otimes e^{-iH_b(t)^T\epsilon}$$

- Let us derive the Euclidean evolution explicitly in the two-copy case (n = 1).
- Divide time-evolution into small steps of size ϵ .

$$egin{aligned} & H(t) = \sum_{lpha} J_{lpha}(t) H_{lpha} \ & \overline{J_{lpha}(t)} = 0, \quad \overline{J_{lpha}(t) J_{lpha'}(t')} = rac{1}{2} rac{\delta_{lpha lpha'} \delta_{tt'}}{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

• Then we have:

$$e^{iH_a(t)\epsilon} \otimes e^{-iH_b(t)^T\epsilon}$$

$$\approx (1+iH_a(t)\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}H_a(t)^2\epsilon^2 + \dots) \otimes (1-iH_b(t)^T\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}H_b(t)^{T^2}\epsilon^2 + \dots)$$

- Let us derive the Euclidean evolution explicitly in the two-copy case (n = 1).
- Divide time-evolution into small steps of size ϵ .

$$egin{aligned} & H(t) = \sum_{lpha} J_{lpha}(t) H_{lpha} \ & \overline{J_{lpha}(t)} = 0, \quad \overline{J_{lpha}(t) J_{lpha'}(t')} = rac{1}{2} rac{\delta_{lpha lpha'} \delta_{tt'}}{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

• Then we have:

$$e^{iH_a(t)\epsilon} \otimes e^{-iH_b(t)^T\epsilon}$$

$$\approx (1 + iH_a(t)\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}H_a(t)^2\epsilon^2 + \dots) \otimes (1 - iH_b(t)^T\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}H_b(t)^{T^2}\epsilon^2 + \dots)$$
$$= 1 - \epsilon P_2 + O(\epsilon^2) \quad \approx \quad e^{-\epsilon P}$$

where

$$P_2 = \sum_{lpha} (H_{\mathbf{a},lpha} - H_{\mathbf{b},lpha}^T)^2$$



• The *n*-th Renyi entropy can be expressed as a transition amplitude under Euclidean evolution with a non-negative Hamiltonian P_{2n} .

- The *n*-th Renyi entropy can be expressed as a transition amplitude under Euclidean evolution with a non-negative Hamiltonian P_{2n} .
- The equilibrium saturation value of the *n*-th Renyi entropy is determined by the zero energy states of *P*_{2n}.

The result is consistent with the equilibrium approximation of Liu and SV.

- The *n*-th Renyi entropy can be expressed as a transition amplitude under Euclidean evolution with a non-negative Hamiltonian P_{2n} .
- The equilibrium saturation value of the *n*-th Renyi entropy is determined by the zero energy states of *P*_{2n}.

The result is consistent with the equilibrium approximation of Liu and SV.

• Approach to equilibrium is determined by low energy eigenstates, which have a universal structure.

 P_4 has two degenerate ground states:

The low-energy excitations include a "one-particle" band approximately given by:

 $|\psi_k\rangle = \sum_x e^{i\,k\,x} |\downarrow\rangle|\downarrow\rangle|\downarrow\rangle|\downarrow\rangle|\downarrow\rangle|\downarrow\rangle|\downarrow\rangle|\downarrow\rangle|\downarrow\rangle_x |\phi_{x+1,\dots,x+d}\rangle|\uparrow\rangle_{x+d+1}|\uparrow\rangle|\uparrow\rangle|\uparrow\rangle|\uparrow\rangle|\uparrow\rangle|\uparrow\rangle|\uparrow\rangle$

for some O(1) d.

The low-energy excitations include a "one-particle" band approximately given by:

for some O(1) d.

• This structure leads to the membrane picture.

The low-energy excitations include a "one-particle" band approximately given by:

for some O(1) d.

- This structure leads to the membrane picture.
- The one-particle excitations have a gapped dispersion relation E(k), which is related to $\mathcal{E}(v)$ by Legendre transformation.

The low-energy excitations include a "one-particle" band approximately given by:

for some O(1) d.

- This structure leads to the membrane picture.
- The one-particle excitations have a gapped dispersion relation E(k), which is related to $\mathcal{E}(v)$ by Legendre transformation.
- Dispersion relation at O(1) values of k is physically important for satisfying certain constraints on $\mathcal{E}(v)$.

- Introduce expression for the second Renyi entropy as a transition amplitude, and the definition of $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$.
- Derive the low-energy excitations in a simplifying limit.
- Discuss how the structure remains robust more generally.

• Second Renyi entropy involves two forward and two backward copies of *U*:

$$e^{-S_{2,A}(t)} = \operatorname{Tr}_{A} \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} U \rho_{0} U^{\dagger} \right)^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{a \quad b \quad c \quad d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{c \quad d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{ } \stackrel$$

• Second Renyi entropy involves two forward and two backward copies of *U*:

$$e^{-S_{2,A}(t)} = \operatorname{Tr}_{A} \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} U \rho_{0} U^{\dagger} \right)^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{a \quad b \quad c \quad d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{c \quad d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{} \stackrel{f}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{} \stackrel{f}{ } \stackrel{$$

• Let us introduce the following "spins" on four copies of a single site in particular, Zhou and Nahum

$$\left|\uparrow\right\rangle = \left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{ab}}\left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{cd}}, \quad \left|\downarrow\right\rangle = \left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{ad}}\left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{bc}}$$

• Second Renyi entropy involves two forward and two backward copies of *U*:

$$e^{-S_{2,A}(t)} = \operatorname{Tr}_{A} \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} U \rho_{0} U^{\dagger} \right)^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{a \quad b \quad c \quad d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{c \quad d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{} \stackrel{f}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{} \stackrel{f}{ } \stackrel{$$

• Let us introduce the following "spins" on four copies of a single site in particular, Zhou and Nahum

$$\left|\uparrow\right\rangle = \left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{ab}}\left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{cd}}, \quad \left|\downarrow\right\rangle = \left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{ad}}\left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{bc}}$$

• Evolution of second Renyi entropy is given by

$$e^{-\mathcal{S}_{2,A}(t)} = \langle \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}} | (U \otimes U^*)^2 \ket{
ho_0} \ket{
ho_0}$$

• Second Renyi entropy involves two forward and two backward copies of *U*:

$$e^{-S_{2,A}(t)} = \operatorname{Tr}_{A} \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{A}} U \rho_{0} U^{\dagger} \right)^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{a \quad b \quad c \quad d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{c \quad d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{d}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{} \stackrel{f}{\blacklozenge} \stackrel{f}{} \stackrel{f}{ } \stackrel{$$

• Let us introduce the following "spins" on four copies of a single site in particular, Zhou and Nahum

$$\left|\uparrow\right\rangle = \left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{ab}}\left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{cd}}, \quad \left|\downarrow\right\rangle = \left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{ad}}\left|\mathrm{MAX}\right\rangle_{\textit{bc}}$$

• Evolution of second Renyi entropy is given by

$$e^{-\mathcal{S}_{2,\mathcal{A}}(t)} = \langle D_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} | (U \otimes U^*)^2 \ket{
ho_0} \ket{
ho_0}$$

where

Equilibrium value in models without conserved quantities

• P₄ generally has exactly two zero energy eigenstates:

$$|\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle, \quad |\downarrow \dots \downarrow\rangle$$

• This gives the Page value for the entropy of pure state at late times:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}S_{2,\mathcal{A}}(t)=\min(\log d_{\mathcal{A}},\log d_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}})$$

• We would now like to understand the approach to this value using the low-energy modes of *P*₄.

Low energy excitations: GUE model

GUE model

 Take each H_α(t) to be an i.i.d. random Hermitian matrix on adjacent sites drawn from the GUE ensemble:

$$H_lpha(t)=H_{i,i+1}^{
m (GUE)}(t)$$



GUE model

 Take each H_α(t) to be an i.i.d. random Hermitian matrix on adjacent sites drawn from the GUE ensemble:

$$H_lpha(t)=H_{i,i+1}^{
m (GUE)}(t)$$

$$\dim = q \longleftarrow \bigvee_{\alpha(t)}^{H_{\alpha}(t)} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$$

• Using the average over these random matrices, P_4 can be expressed entirely in terms of $|\uparrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\rangle$.

Analytically solvable large q limit

• In the large q limit, P₄ is exactly solvable, and has a very simple action on a single domain wall

$$\langle D_x | \equiv \langle \downarrow \downarrow \dots \downarrow_x \uparrow_{x+1} \uparrow \dots \uparrow |$$

$$\langle D_x | P_4 = \langle D_x | - \frac{1}{q} (\langle D_{x-1} | + \langle D_{x+1} |)$$

• This leads to the following band of lowest excited states:

$$\langle \psi_k | = \sum_x e^{ikx} \langle D_x |$$

 $E(k) = 1 - \frac{2}{q} \cos k$



Second Renyi entropy for half-line region

• Let us return to the second Renyi entropy of a half-line region:

$$e^{-S_2(y,t)} = \langle D_y | e^{-P_4 t} | \rho_0, e \rangle$$

• Since $\langle D_y |$ only evolves to a superposition of $\langle D_x |$ at other locations,

Membrane picture from one domain wall band

• Using one-particle eigenstates in domain wall propagator:

$$\langle D_y | e^{-P_4 t} | \bar{D}_x \rangle = \sum_k e^{ik(x-y)} e^{-E(k)t}$$

Membrane picture from one domain wall band

• Using one-particle eigenstates in domain wall propagator:

$$\langle D_y|e^{-P_4t}|\bar{D}_x\rangle = \sum_k e^{ik(x-y)}e^{-E(k)t}$$

• At late times: using saddle-point approximation for the propagator,

$$S_2(y,t) = \min_{v} [\mathcal{E}(v) t + S_2(y + vt, t = 0)]$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(v) = E(k_v) - ik_v v, \quad k_v ext{ is solution to } E'(k_v) = iv \,.$$



• Away from large q limit, interactions can cause domain walls to split, so the eigenstates and eigenvalues are modified.

• Away from large q limit, interactions can cause domain walls to split, so the eigenstates and eigenvalues are modified.

• From numerical diagonalization of P₄:



Gapped spectrum in all cases.

• Away from large q limit, interactions can cause domain walls to split, so the eigenstates and eigenvalues are modified.

• From numerical diagonalization of P₄:



Gapped spectrum in all cases.

• Is the structure of the eigenstates robust?

• Away from large q limit, interactions can cause domain walls to split, so the eigenstates and eigenvalues are modified.

• From numerical diagonalization of P₄:



Gapped spectrum in all cases.

- Is the structure of the eigenstates robust?
- Is there still a well-defined one-particle band within the continuum for q = 2?

Structure of eigenstates at finite q

• Let us consider a variational ansatz for the eigenstates:

$$|\psi_k\rangle = \sum_{x} e^{ikx} |\downarrow \dots \downarrow_x\rangle |\phi_{x+1,\dots,x+d}\rangle |\uparrow_{x+d+1} \dots \uparrow\rangle$$

• We can increase the value of d, and at each d, minimize

$$E_{
m var}(k) = \langle \psi_k | P_4 | \psi_k
angle$$

over all choices of $|\phi\rangle$.

• Rapid convergence of $E_{\rm var}(k)$ with d would tell us that the eigenstates are well-approximated by $|\psi_k\rangle$. Haegeman, Spyridon, Michalakis,

Nachtergaele, Osborne, Schuch, Verstraete

From minimizing $\langle \psi_k | A | \psi_k \rangle$ over all choices of $| \phi \rangle$ for various *d*:



From minimizing $\langle \psi_k | A | \psi_k \rangle$ over all choices of $| \phi \rangle$ for various *d*:



Good agreement with exact diagonalization results:



Still very good convergence with *d*:



Still very good convergence with *d*:



 Confirms that there is still a well-defined domain wall band within the continuum. (\langle D_x | will only have significant overlap with this band.)



Constraints on membrane tension

- Overall, we still get the membrane formula at finite q, with $\mathcal{E}(v)$ given by Legendre transform of exact dispersion relation.
- Using the numerically obtained dispersion relations, we can find $\mathcal{E}(v)$, and check that the general constraints Jonay, Huse, Nahum are satisfied

$$\mathcal{E}(v_B) = v_B, \quad \mathcal{E}'(v_B) = 1, \quad \mathcal{E}(v) \ge v, \quad \mathcal{E}''(v) > 0.$$

• First two constraints are needed to ensure entropy of equilibrium state does not increase. They translate to the following condition on the dispersion relation:

$$E(i\log q)=0$$

which cannot be satisfied by small k expansion.

- In cases where coupling operators are fixed, P₄ is no longer expressed entirely in terms of |↑⟩, |↓⟩. But similar variational calculation shows that low energy eigenstates still have a dressed domain wall structure.
- Can be seen as explicit realization of ideas of Zhou and Nahum emphasizing importance of |↑⟩, |↓⟩ in general chaotic systems.
- We find a similar dynamics of domain walls in higher dimensions. In the large q, small k limit, we obtain the same membrane tension as in (1+1)D.

Summary and further questions

• In Brownian models without conserved quantities, the membrane picture is a result of gapped low-energy modes that resemble plane waves of domain walls between permutations.

- How does this picture generalize to finite temperature?
 - How does the picture change in Brownian circuits with conserved quantities?
 - How can a similar set of modes emerge in systems without random averaging, including holographic CFTs?
- Can we quantitatively analyse the higher-dimensional case?
- Can these modes be used to formulate an effective field theory of hydrodynamics for entanglement?

Thank you!

