# Some comments on heterotic string theory

#### Yuji Tachikawa (Kavli IPMU)

based on a couple of ongoing projects with various subsets of Philip Boyle Smith, Justin Kaidi, Ying-Hsuan Lin, Kantaro Ohmori, Vivek Saxena, Mayuko Yamashita, Kazuya Yonekura and Yunqin Zheng.

#### Peking University, March 24, 2023

(Words typeset in purple are usually hyperlinked if you download the slides.)

The content of today's talk is not really new (except for the very last part).

Rather, I'd like to **revisit old issues** in string theory **using a more modern point of view**, **to understand them better**, or at least to shed new lights on them.

So what I'm going to do can be summarized as ...

副 warm 故 the old 而 and then 印 learn 祈 the new

[from Analects of Confucius = 論語, 為政第二]

We have all seen this figure:

#### [Polchinski vol.2]



Fig. 14.4. All string theories, and M-theory, as limits of one theory.

Are they really all?

We have all seen this figure:

#### [Polchinski vol.2]



Fig. 14.4. All string theories, and M-theory, as limits of one theory.

Are they really all? Today I'd like to concentrate on these two.

Are there only SO(32) and  $E_8 \times E_8$  heterotic strings?

If you are old enough, you might remember reading in textbooks such statement as

One may wonder whether there are other dimension 496 groups that satisfy (13.5.7). The answer is that there are two more. They are  $[U(1)]^{496}$ and  $E_8 \times [U(1)]^{248}$ . These satisfy (13.5.7) rather trivially, since the traces vanish for the U(1) factors. No string theories are known that correspond to either of these groups, and it appears extremely unlikely that any interesting theories can be based on either of them.

from [Green-Schwarz-Witten vol.2, p. 356], first printed in 1987, or

from [Polchinski vol.2, p. 101], first printed in 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> They also hold for  $E_8 \times U(1)^{248}$  and  $U(1)^{496}$ , but no corresponding string theories are known.

The argument restricting the gauge groups went as follows.

- Assume 10d  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supersymmetry.
- Then the only choice in the spectrum is in the gauge group *G*.
- Anomaly cancellation via the Green-Schwarz mechanism requires that  $\dim G = 496$  and a number of more complicated conditions.
- Going over all possibilities<sup>†</sup>, one only finds  $E_8 \times E_8$ , SO(32),  $U(1)^{496}$ ,  $U(1)^{248} \times E_8$ .

This was known already to [Green-Schwarz-Witten vol.2, 1987].

<sup>†</sup> By the way, I didn't know any place where the detail of searching for all possibilities was actually given. So I once assigned a summer undergraduate intern to do just this, whose result is available as [Antonelli 1507.08642]. He is now an astrophysicist, see https://aantonelli94.github.io

More recently, it was found in [Adams-DeWolfe-Taylor 1006.1352] that the compatibility of

the requirement of  $\mathcal{N}{=}1$  supersymmetry

and

the structure of the Chern-Simons modification of the **B**-field

only allows

and rules out

 $E_8 imes E_8, ~~ SO(32)$  $U(1)^{496}, ~~ U(1)^{248} imes E_8.$ 

There are also non-supersymmetric heterotic strings, discussed in [Green-Schwarz-Witten vol.2, Sec.9.5.3] and in [Polchinski vol.2, Sec.11.3]. If we take the latter, it starts as

#### 11.3 Other ten-dimensional heterotic strings

The other heterotic string theories can all be constructed from a single theory by the twisting construction introduced in section 8.5. The 'least twisted' theory in the same of heaving the smallest number of nath integral

A page later, one finds

two, three, four or five of the  $exp(\pi i F_i)$  and forming all products. The first of these produces the <u>E<sub>8</sub> × SO(16)</u> theory just described; the further twists produce the gauge groups <u>SO(24)×SO(8)</u>, <u>E<sub>7</sub>×E<sub>7</sub>×SO(4)</u>, <u>SU(16)×SO(2)</u>, and <u>E<sub>8</sub></u>. None of these theories is supersymmetric, and all have tachyons.

After a three-page discussion, one then finds

(NS-, NS+, NS-) sectors. The twists leave an  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$  gauge symmetry. Classifying states by their  $SO(8) \operatorname{spin} \times SO(16) \times SO(16)$  quantum numbers, one finds the massless spectrum

$$\begin{split} (\mathrm{NS+},\mathrm{NS+},\mathrm{NS+}) &: \quad (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{28},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{35},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}) \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{8}_{\upsilon},\mathbf{120},\mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{8}_{\upsilon},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{120}) \;, \\ (\mathrm{R+},\mathrm{NS-},\mathrm{NS-}) &: \quad (\mathbf{8},\mathbf{16},\mathbf{16}) \;, \\ (\mathrm{R-},\mathrm{R-},\mathrm{NS+}) &: \quad (\mathbf{8}',\mathbf{128}',\mathbf{1}) \;, \\ (\mathrm{R-},\mathrm{NS+},\mathrm{R-}) &: \quad (\mathbf{8}',\mathbf{1},\mathbf{128}') \;. \end{split}$$

This shows that a tachyon-free theory without supersymmetry is possible.

#### Construction looks really ad hoc.

#### Are we sure that there are no others?

For these non-supersymmetric cases, the spacetime anomaly cancellation doesn't tell us much.

What I'd like to do today is to

#### classify non-supersymmetric heterotic strings

by applying 2d CFT techniques to the worldsheet.

As a preliminary step, I also need to classify

#### supersymmetric heterotic strings

using the same worldsheet approach.

Somewhat mysteriously, this classification has some implications on

#### new exotic non-supersymmetric branes

in supersymmetric heterotic strings ...

Classification of supersymmetric heterotic string theories

# What is heterotic string theory?

Bosonic string theory requires 26 dimensions.

Supersymmetric string theory requires **10 dimensions**.

The worldsheet theory of the heterotic string combines

- Left-movers of the bosonic string in 26 dimensions
- Right-movers of the supersymmetric string in 10 dimensions

 $\implies$  Needs purely left-moving 2d CFT with  $c_L = 26 - 10 = 16$ .

(I assume that every CFT I referred to from now on is unitary.)

Classification of heterotic string theory = classification of purely left-moving 2d CFT with  $c_L = 16$ .

Only two such theories have been known:

 $E_8 \times E_8$ , SO(32)

(Today I'll be sloppy about the global structure of the groups.)

These two were found via explicit constructions using free bosons or free fermions.

Have we exhausted all possible free boson or free fermionic constructions?

Are we sure that there aren't genuinely interacting constructions?

We now have a mathematical proof [Dong-Mason math.QA/0203005] that these two are the only possibilities.

Let me give a physics translation of the proof.

We start from some assumptions.

- We assume that **the theory does not depend on the spin structure** on the 2d worldsheet. More on that later.
- It should have a partition function, rather than a partition vector, to be integrated over the moduli space of Riemann surfaces.
- So, random g<sub>k</sub> won't do: it has multiple conformal blocks on a single Riemann surface.
- The partition function can still change by a phase factor under a modular transformation. This comes from the gravitational anomaly.

• The gravitational anomaly polynomial is known to be

$$(c_L-c_R)rac{p_1}{24}.$$

• Modern theory of anomalies [Freed-Hopkins 1604.06527] says that it needs to be an integer multiple of

$$\frac{p_1}{3}$$
.

• We have  $c_R = 0$ , so  $c_L = 8k$ .

• Anomaly also dictates the modular transformation law of the torus partition function

$$Z( au)={
m tr}\,q^{L_0-c_L/24},\qquad q=e^{2\pi i au}$$

to be

$$Z(-rac{1}{ au}) = Z( au), \qquad Z( au+1) = e^{-k(2\pi i/3)}Z( au).$$

So you can employ the theory of modular functions.

- We also have  $Z(\tau) = q^{-k/3}(1+O(q)).$
- For k = 1 (c = 8) and k = 2 (c = 16) this uniquely fixes the partition function to be :

$$Z( au) = egin{cases} q^{-1/3}(1+248q+\cdots), & (c=8), \ q^{-2/3}(1+496q+\cdots), & (c=16). \end{cases}$$

Let me repeat:

$$Z( au) = {
m tr}\, q^{L_0-c/24} = egin{cases} q^{-1/3}(1+248q+\cdots), & (c=8), \ q^{-2/3}(1+496q+\cdots), & (c=16). \end{cases}$$

So there are 248 or 496 spin-1 operators, which necessarily form a Lie algebra.

A Lie algebra *G* of rank *r*, i.e. containing  $U(1)^r$ , has  $c \ge r$ .

Going over all possibilities, one finds that the only solution for c = 8 is

#### $E_8$

and the only two solutions for c = 16 is

 $E_8 \times E_8, \qquad SO(32).$ 

We are done for  $G=E_8$  for c=8 or  $G=E_8 imes E_8$  for c=16, since  $Z( au)=\chi_{G, ext{vacuum}}.$ 

In these cases, and the OPE in the vacuum representation is fixed by the affine Lie algebra symmetry.

For G = SO(32), we have

$$Z( au) = \chi_{G, ext{vacuum}} + \chi_{G, ext{spinor}}.$$

There is a unique consistent way to define OPEs in this case, too. Let us write the resulting theory as  $\overline{SO(32)}$ , to emphasize that it is not simply the vacuum rep. of the SO(32) affine algebra.

We are done.

Classification of non-supersymmetric heterotic string theories How do we get spacetime-non-supersymmetric heterotic strings?

People originally did the following: in the lightcone gauge, we have

 $\psi_L^{1,...,32}, \quad X_L^{1,...,8}, \quad X_R^{1,...,8}, \quad \psi_R^{1,...,8}.$ 

Now, group the fermions  $\psi_L^{1,...,32}$  and  $\psi_R^{1,...,8}$  into various subsets, and perform GSO projections for each subsets.

Then you get non-supersymmetric heterotic strings, when the projections imposed are consistent.

Hard to convince yourself if all possibilities are exhausted!

To be more systematic, recall that the heterotic worldsheet has  $\mathcal{N}=(0,1)$  supersymmetry, with a right-moving supercharge  $Q_R$ .

The summation over the spin structure for  $Q_R$  is part of the string perturbation theory, and automatically does one GSO projection for the common spin structure of  $\psi_R^{1,...,8}$ .

All other GSO projections simply modify the left-moving  $c_L = 16$  theory.

So we still have

some 
$$c_L = 16$$
 theory  $T$ ,  $X_L^{1,...,8}$ ,  $X_R^{1,...,8}$ ,  $\psi_R^{1,...,8}$ 

and we do a single GSO for the common spin structure of  $\psi_R^{1,\dots,8}$ .

The only new point compared to the spacetime supersymmetric case is that the  $c_L = 16$  theory T can have a discrete dependence on the spin structure!

NS-sector states of  $T \implies$  spacetime bosons R-sector states of  $T \implies$  spacetime fermions

If *T* does not depend on the spin structure, i.e. if it is bosonic, the spacetime spectrum becomes supersymmetric.

If *T* does depend on the spin structure, i.e. if it is fermionic, the spacetime spectrum becomes non-supersymmetric.

So we now want to classify

purely left-moving **fermionic** CFT with  $c_L = 16$ .

 How do we classify purely left-moving fermionic CFT with  $c_L = 16$ ?

We use the **modern theory of bosonization** / **fermionization**, which says that there is a one-to-one correspondence between

a fermionic theory  $T_F$ 

and

a bosonic theory  $T_B$  with non-anomalous  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, g\}$ .

The two theories are related by

$$T_F = rac{T_B imes {
m Arf}}{g(-1)^{F_{
m Arf}}}$$

where the Arf theory (also known as the Kitaev theory) is a theory with one-dimensional Hilbert space such that

> unique NS sector state has  $(-1)^{F_{Arf}} = +1$ , unique R sector state has  $(-1)^{F_{Arf}} = -1$ .

Conversely

$$T_B = rac{T_F}{(-1)^F}$$

where orbifolding w.r.t.  $(-1)^F$  is simply the sum over spin structure.

[Tachikawa 2018] [Karch-Tong-Turner 1902.05550]

### More explicitly,

$T_B$	untwisted	twisted		
$\mathbb{Z}_2$ even	$oldsymbol{S}$	$oldsymbol{U}$		
$\mathbb{Z}_2$ odd	T	$oldsymbol{V}$		
$\updownarrow$				
$T_F$	NS sector	R sector		
$(-1)^F = +1$	$oldsymbol{S}$	$oldsymbol{U}$		
$(-1)^F = -1$	V	T		

Basic example is

 $T_B =$  the Ising model  $\sigma$  $T_F =$  a Majorana fermion  $\psi$ 

So, in some sense,

modern theory of fermionization/bosonization =generalized Jordan-Wigner transformation.

Anyhow,

classification of chiral **fermionic** CFT with  $c_L = 16$ =classification of chiral **bosonic** CFT with  $c_L = 16$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  action.

We saw that only such bosonic theories are

either  $E_8 \times E_8$  or  $\overline{SO(32)}$ .

Finding all possible non-anomalous  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  actions is a (somewhat tedious) group theory problem.

#### The result:



where **blue lines are fermionization/bosonization** and **red lines are stacking with Arf=Kitaev**.

String theorists in the 80s didn't miss any!



# T-duality between non-susy and susy heterotic strings

We saw a number of non-supersymmetric heterotic strings. Where do they belong in this famous diagram?



Fig. 14.4. All string theories, and M-theory, as limits of one theory.

#### In fact they are part of it.

14.6 What is string theory? 209



Fig. 14.4. All string theories, and M-theory, as limits of one theory.

#### because they are T-dual to each other.

[Itoyama-Taylor '87] [Ginsparg-Vafa '87] [Itoyama-Nakajima 1905.10745] and various papers of [Itoyama, Koga, Nakajima] [Saxena-YT-Yonekura, to appear?] Take an example.

$$T_B = E_8 imes E_8$$
 with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  exchanging two factors  $T_F = (E_8)_2 imes \psi$ 

These two were related by fermionization/bosonization:

$$(E_8)_2 imes \psi = rac{(E_8 imes E_8) imes ext{Arf}}{ ext{diag}( ext{exchange}, (-1)^{F_{ ext{Arf}}})}$$

and conversely

$$E_8 imes E_8=rac{(E_8)_2 imes\psi}{(-1)^F}$$

Correspondingly, we consider

# $E_8 \times E_8$ on $S^1$ with antiperiodic spacetime fermion and the exchange of two $E_8$ factors around $S^1$

and

## $(E_8)_2 imes \psi$ on $ilde{S}^1$ with antiperiodic tachyon.

They are T-dual!

(This is a non-supersymmetric version of CHL strings, explored recently in [Nakajima 2303.04489].)

How do we see that? Two methods:

- Work out the spectra on both sides and compare them. outline was given in [Ginsparg-Vafa '87], and details were provided very recently in [Nakajima 2303.04489]
- More conceptual explanation. <= today

How do we construct the worldsheet theory for

 $E_8 \times E_8$  on  $S^1$  with antiperiodic spacetime fermion and the exchange of two  $E_8$  factors around  $S^1$ ?

We start from  $E_8 \times E_8$  on  $S^1$  with radius  $2R_r$ , and orbifold w.r.t.

half-shift  $\times \underbrace{(-1)^{F_{\text{spacetime}}}}_{\text{how do we do this}} \times \text{exchange of two } E_8$ 

36 / 53

Recall that

so  $(-1)^{F_{\text{spacetime}}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry for the R-sector-ness.

This is exactly what  $(-1)^F$  of the Arf theory does!

Recall the Arf theory has a one-dimensional Hilbert space such that

NS sector: 
$$(-1)^F = +1$$
  
R sector:  $(-1)^F = -1$ .

So

orbifolding by  $(-1)^{F_{\text{spacetime}}} =$ Adding the Arf theory on the worldsheet

and orbifolding by  $(-1)^{F_{\mathsf{Arf}}}$ 

So

 $E_8 \times E_8$  on  $S^1$  of radius **R** with antiperiodic spacetime fermion and the exchange of two factors around it

equals

 $\frac{S^1_{\mathbf{2R}} \times (E_8 \times E_8) \times \operatorname{Arf}}{\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{half-shift}, \operatorname{exchange}, (-1)^{F_{\operatorname{Arf}}})}.$ 

Let us now recall some abstract nonsense:

Suppose a 2d theory A has a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry.

Then the orbifold  $ilde{A}:=A/\mathbb{Z}_2$  has a  $\mathbb{\tilde{Z}}_2$  symmetry such that

$$ilde{A}/ ilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2 = A/\mathbb{Z}_2/ ilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2 = A.$$

For example, let  $A = S_{2R}^1$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  to be a half-shift. Clearly,

$$A/\mathbb{Z}_2=S^1_R= ilde{S}^1_{1/R}= ilde{A}.$$

By doing the half-shift of the T-dual circle, we have

$$ilde{A}/ ilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2 = ilde{S}^1_{1/R}/ ilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2 = ilde{S}^1_{1/(2R)} = S^1_{2R}.$$

Now, take two theories A and B with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry. Then we tautologically have



The RHS is the tachyonic  $(E_8)_2 \times \psi$  theory with a nontrivial  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  action on the tachyon (whose vertex operator is  $\psi$ ) around  $S^1$ .

So we successfully derived

and

 $E_8 imes E_8$  on  $S^1$  with antiperiodic spacetime fermion and the exchange of two  $E_8$  factors around  $S^1$ 

#### $(E_8)_2 \times \psi$ on $\tilde{S}^1$ with antiperiodic tachyon.

The same works with all other non-susy heterotic strings.

#### So we indeed have



Fig. 14.4. All string theories, and M-theory, as limits of one theory.

# Some exotic heterotic branes

We've seen that

non-susy heterotic strings are T-dual to susy heterotic strings.

We can also

#### use non-susy heterotic strings

to describe

#### some non-susy branes in susy heterotic strings.

[Kaidi-Ohmori-YT-Yonekura, to appear]

For example, consider the following setup in the  $E_8 \times E_8$  heterotic string



This should give a 7-brane in  $E_8 \times E_8$  theory.

How do we analyze it?

We are not very sure, but the following is suggestive:

Let's deform like this :



#### We can then do this



So the *throat* or *core* region is simply



which would flows in the IR to

 $\mathbb{R}^{1,7} imes \mathbb{R}^{ ext{linear dilaton}}_{>0} imes (E_8)_2$ 

which is perturbatively stable.

[Hellerman-Swanson 0710.1628] [Kaidi, 2010.10521]

Note that the solution

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,7} imes \mathbb{R}^{ ext{linear dilaton}}_{>0} imes (E_8)_2$$

is not too different from the long-known worldsheet description of heterotic NS5-brane, which is

 $\mathbb{R}^{1,5} imes \mathbb{R}^{ ext{linear dilaton}}_{>0} imes SU(2)_{-2} imes (E_8)_1 imes (E_8)_1.$ 

We can construct other non-supersymmetric heterotic branes in a similar manner:

	in which susy theory?	using which non-susy theory?
7-brane	$E_8  imes E_8$	$\overline{(E_8)_2} imes \psi$
6-brane	$\overline{SO(32)}$	$\overline{SU(16)_1} imes 2\psi$
4-brane	$E_8  imes E_8$	$\overline{(E_7)_1  imes (E_7)_1}  imes 4\psi$
0-brane	$\overline{SO(32)}$	$\overline{SO(24)_1} imes 8\psi$

## **Summary**

We classified susy and non-susy heterotic strings in 10d.

All are in the duality web:



14.6 What is string theory?

209

Fig. 14.4. All string theories, and M-theory, as limits of one theory.

Non-susy heterotic strings can be used to construct a few exotic non-susy branes in susy heterotic strings.

	in which susy theory?	using which non-susy theory?
7-brane	$E_8 imes E_8$	$\overline{(E_8)_2} imes ~\psi$
6-brane	$\overline{SO(32)}$	$\overline{SU(16)_1} imes 2\psi$
4-brane	$E_8  imes E_8$	$\overline{(E_7)_1  imes (E_7)_1}  imes 4\psi$
0-brane	$\overline{SO(32)}$	$\overline{SO(24)_1} imes 8\psi$

Any more questions?